

2. Estimates will
also be prepared for
bridging the Seniyar
and Pamban rivers.

W
Crops
19.5.94

L
19.5

No. 1272 W. d. 22 May 1896

T
1/10
3/9

S. E. H. Cole ✓ 22/5

P. 19/5

20. 23/5

INDEXED
24/5

GOVT. of (1894) Madras.

Local and Municipal Department.

To

The Public Works Department

Dec. 16. 5. 94

Proceedings, dated 11th May 1894,
No. 856 L. Mis

Subject.

Road

Issuing orders for improving
the condition of the — from
Periyakulam to the top of the
Pinnelghat, in the Madura
District.

24/5
17.5.94

8569 22/5

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1272 W d 22nd May 1894.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Proceedings Local & Municipal

Sept. ——— (H.E. No. 856 L. Mis of 11/5/94)

Communicated
to the Supt. Engineer
who will arrange
for the immediate
preparation of an
estimate for bridging
the Varahanadi ^{at Periyakulam},
and for the execution
of the work with the
least possible delay
on sanction to this
estimate being accorded
and funds made
available.

Periyakulam Municipality with more than a minor portion of it. The District Board will therefore be requested to reconsider this question and in consultation with the Municipal Council to report to Government what proportion of the cost it is prepared to meet. The Municipal Council should submit a similar report. In the meantime, as a bridge over the Varahanadi is unquestionably an urgent need, an estimate for its construction will be prepared in the Public Works Department, so that the work may be set in hand with as little delay as possible. As the Chief Engineer has suggested, the Rs. 15,000 which the District Board is prepared to contribute in the current year toward the cost of bridging the Tenizar, should be made available for expenditure on the Varahanadi bridge, the construction of which should be entrusted to the Public Works Department.

5. Orders will at the same time be issued in the Public Works Department for the preparation of estimates for bridging the Tenizar and Pambur. As soon as these are

sanctioned the District Board will be requested to report how it proposes to find the funds required, and, if necessity exists, the Government will then be prepared to lend the money rather than permit the indefinite postponement of the construction of works of public utility which are so urgently needed.

6. In conclusion the attention of the District Board will be drawn to paras: 13 and 14 of the Chief Engineer's note with the remark that Government entirely concurs in that officer's observations.

(True Extract)

A. B. G. Shetty

Capt. R.D.

Under Secretary P.W.D.

for Chief Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department

" President, District Board, Madurai

" Chairman, Municipal Council, Periyakulam

with copies of L.D's No. 210 L dated 3rd Feb. 1894

and No. 699 L dated 14th April 1894.

M.S.

No. 265X1

Superintending Engineer's Office,

Camp Gurus
II CIRCLE,

Dated 7 May 1894.
12

From,

Major W. L. C. Baddley Esquire,

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office
letter No. 21045d/2nd May 1894, reporting
that I took over charge of the 2nd Circle
from Mr. Russell at Madras
I have the honor to request that you
will be so good as to obtain the
sanction of Government for my
having done so under article 67
of the Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant,

W. L. C. Baddley

Major R. E.

Supg Engr II Circle.

11.5.94

should be searching - leads him to form
regarding the individual responsibility of these
persons for the condition of the road.

3. The President should, further, in company
with the District Board Engineer personally inspect
the whole of the road including the ghat itself
and report upon its present state. In order that
no time may be lost in remedying the critical
condition of the ghat, the District Board
Engineer should be instructed to prepare a
special estimate for its repair which should
be submitted to Government for approval
with the least possible delay.

4. The question of bridging the Varahanadi
Tenigar and Pambar now remains for con-
sideration. The Chief Engineer has pointed
out the fallaciousness of the District Board's
reasons for desiring to construct a bridge over
the Tenigar first of all and the Government
agrees with him in considering that the
cost of bridging the Varahanadi is a legiti-
mate charge upon Local Funds and
that it would be unfair to saddle the

Periyathur

A. 1888e
9-5-94