(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18-

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 7.8.91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

# தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணி துறை

அரசாணை எண். / மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1672 W.

அச்சகம் / பலவகை

நாள் : 26-6-1893 198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

MSP.

ஆவண கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

26th June 1893, No. 1672 W., Public Works.

1672/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

**General.**
**Establishment.**

Read—the following papers:—

Supplemental statement of [illegible] for temporary establishment for the year 1893-94.

SUPPLEMENTAL statement of demand for temporary establishment for the year 1893–94.

| ORDER SANCTIONING PRESENT ESTABLISHMENT. | | Office to which the proposition relates. | NATURE OF CHANGE. | | | | | | | | | | | | PROPOSAL. | | | | | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Present scale. | | | | | | Proposed scale. | | | | | | Permanent. | | Temporary. | | | | |
| No. | Date. | | No. | Designation. | Pay. | | | | No. | Designation. | Pay. | | | | Increase per month. | Decrease per month. | Increase per month. | | Decrease per month. | | |
| | | | | | Minimum. | Increment. | Maximum. | Average or fixed. | | | Minimum. | Increment. | Maximum. | Average or fixed. | Amount. | Amount. | Period. | Amount. | Period. | Amount. | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 2258 W. | 20th August 1891. | Kurnool. | 1 | Sub-overseer, second grade, temporary. | RS. 35 | RS. .. | RS. .. | RS. 35 | 1 | Sub-overseer, second grade, temporary. | RS. 35 | RS. .. | RS. .. | RS. 35 | RS. .. | RS. .. | 7<br>and 12 | RS. 35<br>35 | .. | RS. .. | For seven months in the year 1892–93, the sanction accorded in G.O., No. 2258 W., dated 20th August 1891, having expired by the end of August 1892, and for twelve months in the current official year. |

No. 144.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for sanction, in continuation of this office No. 3351 of 15th March 1893.

BELLARY,
17th April 1893.

(Signed) A. T. FRASER, Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, III Circle.

---

1672
2

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 3rd May 1893, No. 1518 C.

Returned, the "present scale" having been checked and found correct.

---

From the Executive Engineer, Kurnool Division, to the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, dated 6th June 1893, No. 89.

With reference to your endorsement No. 418, dated 15th May 1893, on memo. No. 136 D., dated 10th May 1893, from the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, I have the honour to represent that I considered that the application made in my proposition statement submitted on 13th February 1892 was sanctioned for 1892–93, that is to say that sanction would expire in March 1893.

2. The Examiner has audited the sub-overseer's salary up to 9th January 1893, but has disallowed it after that date.

3. Almost continuously since 1888 sub-overseers have been employed on the canal and last year I had two till October, when, finding that one was sufficient, a transfer was made of the second to Cuddapah.

4. There is always a great quantity of petty work to be attended to on the canal and I consider the services of the sub-overseer are still necessary.

---

No. 643.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to memo. No. 136 D., dated 10th May 1893.

BELLARY,
10th June 1893.

(Signed) A. T. FRASER, Col., R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, III Circle.

---

ORDER—dated 26th June 1893, No. 1672 W., Public Works.

The sanction accorded in G.O., No. 2258 W., dated 20th August 1891, to the employment of a sub-overseer on Rs. 35 per mensem in the Kurnool division is extended to the end of the current official year.

2. It would appear from the Executive Engineer's letter No. 89, dated 6th June 1893, that a proposition statement, providing for an extension of the sanction to the end of 1892–93, was submitted on the 13th February 1892. This does not seem to have reached the Government. The Superintending Engineer will explain.

3. It is further not understood how the pay of the establishment was passed by the Examiner up to the 9th January 1893, seeing that the sanction accorded in G.O., No. 2258 W., dated 20th August 1891, expired on the 20th August 1892.

4. The sanction accorded in para. 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 30 W. of 1893–94 and classified as Establishment, Temporary.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, III Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

# Govt. of (1893) Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 26th.

Recd. Encl.

---

G.O., 26th June 1893, No. 1672 W.

---

JUNE.

---

**Establishment**

Orders regarding the continued employment of a sub-overseer on Rs. 35 per mensem in the Kurnool division to the end of the current official year.

---

5484

The Ex. Eng. reports 1672/93 that he was under the impression that sanction had been accorded to the employment of a Sub Ov. up to end of March 1893 - I can find nothing in this file to lead to such a conclusion. From the remarks column of the proposition statement it was evidently known that the sanction terminated in August 1892.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

# Govt. of {1893} Madras.
## PUBLIC WORKS.

*Dated June, 26th.*

*Recd.* *Exd.*

**G.O., 26th June 1893, No. 1672 W.**

**JUNE.**

### Establishment

Orders regarding the continued employment of a sub-overseer on Rs. 35 per mensem in the Kurnool division to the end of the current official year.

---

I do not understand how the Exr. passed the sub-ovr's salary to 9-1-93 -

The continued employment of the sub-ovr. seems to have been necessary, & it might be sanctioned to end of current official year -

OMW
13-6-93

yes. Sanction
but I think
this matter shd
be brought to the Ex's notice

13-6

---

W

Letter from S.E. III Circle
No. 3 d/ 10 June 93. &
vious papers.

1692
4

Before submitting
is draft order for
proval, it seems
essary that the
le should be verified.
lease have this
ne carefully.
It seems strange
t the matter should
ve been overlooked in
th the S.E.'s & C.E.'s
ffices. We must
ake sure of the
acts.

13-VI-93.

C.A.W.

No further papers on the subject can be traced -

Please make inquiries in C.E.'s office as to how the pay of the sub-overseer on Rs 35/- per mensem was passed up to 9.1.93

---

1692
5

ead of Office.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

**Govt. of {1893} Madras.**
**PUBLIC WORKS.**

*Dated June, 26th.*

*Recd.* *Encl.*

**G.O., 26th June 1893, No. 1672 W.**

**JUNE.**

## Establishment

Orders regarding the continued employment of a sub-overseer on Rs. 35 per mensem in the Kurnool division to the end of the current official year.

---

when the sanction accorded in G.O. No 2258 W of 20.8.91 expired at the end of August 1892 –

The explanation is as follows –

The appointment was filled for broken periods in 1892 by the undermentioned individuals

| | months – days |
|---|---|
| Manuel Raju – from 1st Nov. 91 to 27th Jany 92 | 2 — 27 |
| Mahadeva Mud. – from 9th April 92 to 9th Jany 93 | 9 – 3 |
| Total | 12 months |

*

C. office held that the sanctioned period of 12 months expired on 9.1.93 & disallowed pay from 10.1.93 – renewed sanction being required only from the latter date –

Cr
16/6/93

* This contention seems inadmissible – a sanction given for a fixed period lapses by efflux of time

R.S.
19-6-93

---

Supplemental General Temporary

Statement of ~~Proposal~~ for ~~revision of~~ Establishment ~~to accompany Letter No.~~ for the year 1893-94. , dated ______ 189

| ORDER SANCTIONING PRESENT ESTABLISHMENT. No. | Date. | Office to which the proposition relates. | PRESENT SCALE. No. | Designation. | Pay. Minimum. | Increment. | Maximum. | Average or fixed. | PROPOSED SCALE. No. | Designation. | Pay. Minimum. | Increment. | Maximum. | Average or fixed. | PROPOSAL. PERMANENT. Increase per month. Amount. | Decrease per month. Amount. | TEMPORARY. Increase per month. Period. | Amount. | Decrease per month. Period. | Amount. | REMARKS. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| | | | | | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | | | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | RS. | | RS. | | RS. | |
| 2258 W | 20/8/91 | Kurnool | 1 | Sub overseer 2nd Grade tempy: | 35 | " | " | 35 | 1 | Sub Overseer 2nd Grade tempy | 35 | " | " | 35 | " | " | 7<br>&<br>12 | 35<br>35 | " | " | For 7 months in the year 1892-93, the sanction accorded in G.O. No: 2258 W d/ 20-8-91 having expired by the end of Augt/92 and for 12 months in the Current Official year |

No: 144 D/ 17th April/93.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. for sanction in continuation of this office No: 3351 of 15-3-93

*1399 C.E. 24-3-93 with 33 D. 29-4-93 at ref. – 5th right [illegible] the demand for 1 watchman for Stores in III Circle included in the Statt of [illegible] for 93-4

1692
5.

STATION, Bellary
Dated 17th April 1893.

C W Fraser C.H.R.
Signature and rank of Head of Office.
Supdg: Engineer

## NOTES.

REFERENCE TO MADRAS P. W. D. CODE,
EDITION OF 1886.

PARAS. 25 & 26.

1. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the *sanctioned scale for the time being* and not the strength of the actual (whether above or below sanctioned) establishment as it happens to stand at the time. The "present scale" should be verified by the examiner before the proposal is submitted to government for sanction (paras. 25 and 26).

2. In the column "average or fixed pay," financial value, not mean value, should be given.

3. The financial value should in the case of progressive appointments be calculated as follows:—

(1) If the period of rise from minimum to maximum is 5 years, the average will be the minimum *plus* two-thirds, or if the appointment is a ministerial one, *plus* three-fourths, of the difference between the minimum and maximum (C. S. R., Art. 164).

(2) If the period of growth exceeds five years (as in the case of accountants) the $\frac{3}{4}$th fraction should be decreased by $\frac{1}{60}$th of the difference for every year by which the period exceeds five; the fraction for periods in excess of five years will therefore be as follows:—

$$6 \text{ years} = \tfrac{3}{4} - \tfrac{1}{60} = \tfrac{44}{60}$$
$$7 \text{ years} = \tfrac{3}{4} - \tfrac{2}{60} = \tfrac{43}{60}$$
$$8 \text{ years} = \tfrac{3}{4} - \tfrac{3}{60} = \tfrac{42}{60}$$

and so on; so that when the period of growth is 20 years the financial value will be the exact mean.

75/4

No. 1862 C d/ 26th April 1893

To

C

For favour of check as regards "Present scale".

24.4.93

No. 8344 W d/ 12-12-90
" 2258 W d/ 20.8.91

DW.

R 26.4.93

400—6-5-91.

o/s 10/4
M 24/4

0/6/5

o/s 6/5

---

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~3697~~

P. W. D. FORM,
No. M 184.

28 APR 1893

4177

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

---

### Tabular Statement showing the proposed Changes in the Establishment of the P. W. Department, Madras Presidency.

---

The entries within under the head, "Present scale" have been checked and found correct [subject to exceptions noted below *].

5.5.93
Recd: ~~18.4.93~~

Memo No. 753 C d/ 12.2.91
" " 1335 C d/ 10.3.91
" " 1449 C d/ 14.3.91
" " 2325 C d/ 30.4.91
" " 2430 C d/ 8.5.91
" " 2763 C d/ 3.6.91
" " 2819 C d/ 9.6.91
" " 2949 C d/ 17.6.91
P. B. No. 2258 W d/ 20.8.91
" " 457 W d/ 21.2.90

No. 1518 C dated 3rd May 1893

Returned, the "Present Scale" having been checked and found correct.

For Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras.

0/24/4

1/5

* The words in brackets should be scored out when there are no exceptions to be noted.

B

---

Letter No: 89 dated 6th June /93 from
the Executive Engineer Kurnool division
to the Superintending Engineer III
Circle Bellary.

1672/-6-

With reference to your endorse
-ment No: 418 dated 15-5-93 on memo
No: 136 D dated 10-5-93 from the Chief
Engineer P. W. D, I have the honor to
represent that I considered that the
application made in my proposition
statement submitted on 13th February/92
was sanctioned for 1892-93 that is to
say that sanction would expire in
March 1893.

2. The Examiner has audited the
Sub Overseer's salary up to 9-1-93 but
has disallowed it after that date.

3. Almost continuously since 1888-
Sub Overseers have been employed on
the Canal and last year, I had two-
till October, when finding that one
was sufficient a transfer was made
of

of the 2nd. to Cuddapah

4 There is always a great quantity of petty work to be attended to on the Canal and I consider the services of the Sub-Overseer are still necessary

No 643

---

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D with reference to memo No. 136 D dated 10th May/93.

Co Hase Col. R.E.
Supt.g Engineer
III Circle

Bellary
10th June/93

1672
7

P. W. CURRENT No. 5484

No. 3304 W d/ 12.12-90
" 2258 W d/ 20.8-91
" 136 D d/ 10.5-93

From, Col. A. T. Fraser R.E.
~~The~~ Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To,
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.
with ~~the Governor~~
Stone House Hill

Dated 10th } June 1893.
Received 18th }

Enclosures.

No. 643

Subject.

---

Continued Services of Sub overseer.

Forwarding explanation for -

No. 136 D d/ 10-5-93
2258 W d/ 20-8-91
3304 W d/ 12-12-90

O.S. 2/6

22/6

0/16/6

For orders

---

7/

Genl. Estabt.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1672 W d/ 26th June 1893.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1672
28

READ the following

From the Supdg. Engr. III Circle No. 144 d/ 17 April 93.
" Exr. P. W. Accts. 1518 C. d/ 3rd May 1893.
" / Supdg. Engr. III Circle No. 643. d/ 10th June 1893.

Paid

The sanction accorded in G.O. No. 2258. W. d/ 20 August 1891 to the employment of a Sub Overseer on Rs 35 per mensem in the Kurnool Division, is extended to the end of the current official year.

2. It would appear from the Executive Engineer's letter No. 89 d/ 6th June 1893 that a proposition statement providing for an extension of the sanction to the end of 1892-93 was submitted on the 13th February 1892. This does not seem to have reached the Government.

40—800—23-3-93.

The

The Supdg. Engineer will explain.

3. It is further not understood how the pay of the Establishment was passed by the Examiner up to the 9th January 1893, seeing that the Sanction accorded in G.O. 2258 W. dy 20 August 1891 expired on the 20th August 1892.

4. The Sanction accorded in para 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 30 W of 1893-94 and Classified as Establishment Temporary.

JH
9/6

OUU
19/6/93

WG
21 June

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

DESPATCHED
6 JUL 95

20

The S. E. III Circle
" Exr. Pal Audt

1672/9

C No. 5484

Govt. of (189 ) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated [illegible]

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 26 June 1893 No. 1672 el.

Establishment

Orders regarding the continued employment of a sub overseer on Rs 35 p.m. in the Kurnool Dn to the end of the c/o year.

60/3

L.C. 27/6 29/6