(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் March 91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் Lory

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். —
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2788(W), 89(W) அச்சகம் பல்வகை

நாள் : 2-11-1893 198 /.

8

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

தேபி

ஆவண: கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2788/93
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

From Major W. L. C. BADDELEY, R.E, Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 27th September 1893, No. 909 T.C.

The Superintendent, Railway Mail Service, T. Division, in a letter No. 2204 of the 26th July 1893, asked my predecessor to furnish him with a certificate showing what portion of the entire building occupied by him was used by the office and to fix a reasonable rent for the rooms taken for his personal use, stating at the same time that the Inspector-General, Mail Service, required the certificate under rule 33, chapter 35 of the Civil Account Code. This letter was forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly division, for compliance.

2. The required certificate was granted by the Executive Engineer, but it was not accepted and another with my countersignature was required. In forwarding this other certificate, I asked the Superintendent to bring to the notice of the Inspector-General that in other departments certificates of Executive Engineers are directly applied for and accepted in cases similar to that in question, that I did not see any reason why the same course should not be followed by the Railway Mail Service, and that there was no rule in the Public Works Department Code requiring the certificate to be furnished or countersigned by the Superintending Engineer.

3. The Inspector-General, in a letter No. 9917 of the 1st September 1893 to the address of the Superintendent, states that the Civil Account Code rule above quoted prescribes submission of certificates from Superintending Engineers and that where such officers are available certificates from the Executive Engineers are not accepted by the Comptroller of the Post Office.

4. I think it is undesirable that Superintending Engineers should be called upon for certificates that ought to be given by Executive Engineers, and I have therefore the honour to submit the question for the orders of Government.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 14th Oct. 1893, No. 1002 T.C.

ORDER—dated 2nd November 1893, No. 2788 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

[Here enter No. 2789 W., dated 2nd November 1893.]

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.

Exd. C. A. Muniswmy.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 2nd.

Recd. *Encl,*

G.O., 2nd Nov. 1893, No. 2788 W.

NOVEMBER.

Certificates

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed on the subject of the grant of — by Executive Engineers instead of by Superintending Engineers for rents of buildings occupied by civil officers.

2788, 1/2

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General,
Account.

LETTER—from

COLONEL J. PENNYCUICK, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In forwarding the accompanying copy of a letter from the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, I am directed to suggest that the ruling in paragraph 33, chapter 35, volume II, Civil Account Code, may be so altered as to admit of the share of rent to be paid by a civil officer occupying a portion of a building hired by Government being determined by Executive Engineers instead of Superintending Engineers. It is needless to point out the inconvenience which may result if a Superintending Engineer has to visit remote stations in his circle with no other object than to inspect buildings, the rent of which has to be apportioned between Government and the officers occupying the same.

Procs. of Govt., No. 2788 W., dated 2nd November 1893.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
2nd November 1893.

Exd C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

2788,9
3
2788
3

GENERAL.
ACCOUTN.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 2nd.

Recd. *Encl.*

2nd November 1893, No. 2789 W.

NOVEMBER.

Rent

Suggesting an alteration of the ruling in the Civil Account Code so as to admit of the share of — to be paid by a civil officer occupying a portion of a building hired by Government being determined by Executive Engineers instead of Superintending Engineers.

No. 909 T.C. Pencil

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly, 27th September 1893.

2786/4

From Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.,
The Superintending Engineer,
6th CIRCLE.

To 2788/4
The Chief Engineer,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

The Superintendent, Railway Mail Service, T. Division, in a letter No. 2204 of the 26th July 1893, asked my predecessor to furnish him with a certificate showing what portion of the entire building occupied by him was used by the office, and to fix a reasonable rent for the rooms taken for his personal use, stating, at the same time, that the Inspector General, Mail Service, required the certificate under rule 33 Chap. 25 of the Civil accounts Code. This letter was forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Div. for compliance.

2. The required certificate was framed by the Executive Engineer, but it was not accepted, and another with my countersignature was required. It is for-

— warding this & other certificate, I asked the Superintendent to bring to the notice of the Inspector General that in other departments certificates of Executive Engineers are directly applied for and accepted in cases similar to that in question, that I did not see any reasons why the same course should not be followed by the Railway Mail Service, and that there was no rule in the D.S.W. Code req[illegible] the certificate to be countersigned by the Superintending Engineer.

3. The Inspector General in a letter No. 9917 of the 1st September 93 to the address of the Superintendent, states that the Civil Account Code rule alone quoted prescribes submission of certificates from Superintending Engineers, and that where such officers are available certificates from the Executive Engineers are not accepted by the Comptroller of the Post offices

2788/7

4. I think it is undesirable that Superintending Engineers should be called upon for certificates that ought to be given by Executive Engineers, and I have therefore the honour to submit the question for the orders of Government.

2788/5

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
McBaddeley Major R.E.
Superintending Engineer
III Circle

6/4

CW. CURRENT No. 8276

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 909 TC

Dated 27 September 1893,
Received 28

Enclosures.

Submits for orders of Govt the question regarding certificates to be furnished for the P. W. D. buildings by Supt. Engrs.

No 3324 C dated 11 October 1893 from Mears

with reference to letter from the Supt Engr Trichi No 909 T.C dy 27 Sept 1893, the undersigned has the honor to observe that the rule in chapter 25 of the Civil account Code run from 469 to 507 & that no rule can be traced therein requiring certificates to be furnished by Supt. Engrs in support of claims preferred by officers of the Railway mail service. The Supt Engr is requested to obtain a correct quotation of the rule under which the Supt Engr Railway mail service demands the certificates in question in view to the Govt of India being addressed if necessary.

DESPATCHED
11 OCT 93
S.E. VI Circle

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6th CIRCLE.

No. 1002 TC

Trichinopoly, 14 October 1893.

From

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Superintending Engineer,

6th CIRCLE.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 3324 C dated 11th instant, regarding Certificates for Railway Mail Service Buildings, I regret to inform you that by a Chapter of the Civil Account Code as stated at 25 instead of 35 in my letter No. 909 T.C. of the 27th September 1893. I have the honor to request that the required correction be made in that letter.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

W. L. C. Baddeley
Major R.E.

Superintending Engineer

P. W. CURRENT No. 8725

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

No 3324 e 3/11. 10-93.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 1002-TC

Dated 14 October 1893.
Received 14

Enclosures.

Certificates pr Railway mail service Buildings :—

Requesting to make correction in his letter No. 909-TC of the 27 Sept/93, the chapter of the civil Account Code as 35 instead of 25 mentioned therein.

Off 3/9
Hm 20-10
23-10
A 25/10

No 27882 dt 2 Nov 1893

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

27882/3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

Letter from the Supt Engineer VI Circle No 909 TC dt 27.9.93
do do No 1002 TC dt 14.10.93

READ the following

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

(P.E. No 2788 W dt 2 Nov 93)

To The Secretary to the Government of India P.W. Deptt.

Sir

In forwarding the accompanying Copy of a letter from the Supt. Engineer VI Circle, I am directed to suggest that the ruling in para 33, Chapter 35, Vol. II Civil Account Code may be so altered as to admit of the share of rent to be paid by a Civil officer occupying a portion of a building hired by Government being determined by

DESPATCHED 16 NOV 93

To S. E. VI Circle
Deptt

P.G No 2788 W dt 2 Nov 93

M11 21 Oct

(40—2,000—13-6-93.)

Engineers instead of
Supdtg. Engineers. It
is needless to point out
the inconvenience
which may result if
a Supdtg. Engineer has
to visit remote stations
in his Circle with no
other object than to
inspect turbines the
cost of which has
to be apportioned
between Government
and the officer oc-
cupying the Lane
planted.

Pl.
20/10/93

DESPATCHED
16 NOV. 93

2988.9
8

C No 8725

Govt. of [illegible] Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

2.0.4+1

2-10-3 = 2785

2785

Certificates

Ordered that the [illegible] be addressed on the subject of the grant of — by Executive Engineers instead of by SEs for units of building occupied by Civil officers.

Sent [illegible]

Suggesting an alteration in the C.A. Code so as to admit of the share of — to be paid to a Civil officer occupying a portion of a building wholly but being determined by Executive & SEs. [illegible]

50/2

70/2