(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

BWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். / மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 966	அச்சகம் / பலவகை
நாள் : 11-4-1893	~~198~~.

4

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

574
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

From I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 23rd February 1893, No. 468 C.

The following is forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras, for information.

ENCLOSURE.

From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 23rd February 1893, No. 467 C.

With reference to para. 2 of your letter No. 143 G., dated 3rd February 1893, I have the honour to explain the following material differences between the state of grant on the 20th February 1893 and the probable outlay of the year as shown in form D for the Madras Presidency forwarded to you on the 20th instant.

2. (35) *Construction of Protective Irrigation Works—*

	RS.
Grant	5,12,400
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	4,56,868
Difference ...	55,532

The difference is mainly due to the adjustment of Rs. 46,679, being value of English stores for famine relief works in the Madras Presidency charged off by the Secretary of State to the Imperial head "Famine Relief and Insurance." The Chief Engineer for Irrigation has been asked to arrange for a transfer of grant from India to England to meet the above debit, and if this is effected the anticipated lapse will be within 2 per cent.

3. (43) *Minor Works and Navigation, Provincial—*

	RS.
Grant	33,58,750
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	33,66,777
Difference ...	8,027

The excess is trifling, but may be explained as chiefly due to the inclusion under this head of a sum of Rs. 1,52,891, being portion of cash and drawing account balances on 1st February 1893.

4. (43) *Minor Works and Navigation, Local—*

	RS.
Grant	21,877
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	16,877
Difference ...	5,000

The grants for Bellary and Salem divisions have not been fully utilised.

5. It is observed that a lump sum of Rs. 22,000 has been entered as the revised estimate in the "statement showing the estimated amount of receipts and charges" embodied in the preliminary issue of the Minor Works and Navigation budget for 1893-94. The Chief Engineer for Irrigation has been asked to state whether it is the intention of Government to reduce any portion of this grant when preparing the final revised estimate for 1892-93.

6. (44) *Military Works, Imperial*—

	Rs.
Grant	97,956
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	84,422
Difference ...	13,534

The lapse is chiefly due to the balance of reserve with Government amounting to Rs. 7,000 not having been appropriated to divisions and also to the grants of the following divisions not having been fully worked up to:—

Divisions.	Grant on 20th February 1893.	Probable outlay to end of March 1893.	Difference.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	1,300	923	377
Godavari Eastern	540	281	259
Vizagapatam	2,500	1,992	508
West Coast	47,941	45,356	2,585
Total ...	52,281	48,552	3,729

7. (45) *Civil Works, Imperial*—

	Rs.
Grant	37,364
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	32,215
Difference ...	5,149

The difference which represents short outlay is chiefly noticeable in the following divisions:—

Divisions.	Grant on 20th February 1893.	Probable outlay to end of March 1893.	Difference.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Bellary	2,389	1,730	659
Kistna Eastern	500	220	280
Madura	2,553	240	2,313
Salem	1,163	907	256
Trichinopoly	1,800	1,457	343
Vizagapatam	1,480	1,227	253
West Coast	1,860	1,144	716
Total ...	11,745	6,925	4,820

8. (45) *Civil Works, Local*—

	Rs.
Grant	1,25,082
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	1,88,241
Excess ...	63,159

In G.Os., Nos. 923 W. and 396 W., dated 1st and 14th February 1893, respectively, it is stated that the Financial Department has been requested to place a further sum aggregating Rs. 53,262 at the disposal of the Public Works Department, thus increasing the revised estimate to Rs. 1,78,000 in round numbers. There is therefore a difference of Rs. 10,000 for which an additional grant is still required and in respect of which a reference has been made to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

574
2

ORDER—dated 4th March 1893, No. 574 W., Public Works.

Extract of paras. 1 to 5 of the Examiner's letter to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, has been communicated to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

2. In respect to the grants for Civil and Military works, the Examiner's figures will be communicated to Superintending Engineers for information and for such action as may be necessary. The expenditure under these heads has been subjected to careful review month by month in connection with progress reports submitted to the Chief Engineer, and Superintending Engineers have been repeatedly reminded of the necessity for either utilising the funds at their disposal or surrendering them in time for profitable utilisation elsewhere. From the replies received from those officers it is understood that arrangements have been made for spending all existing allotments.

3. The Examiner anticipates an excess of Rs. 10,000 under Incorporated Local Civil Works. He is requested to specify the works upon which excess outlay has already been incurred and the divisions for which excess credits have been applied for.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March 4th.

Read.

Encl.

G.O., 4th Mar. 1893, No. 574 W.

MARCH.

Funds

Orders on endorsement from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, forwarding for information copy of his letter to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, regarding the difference between the grant and expenditure under each fund.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

No. 1052 TC

Trichinopoly 10th March 1893.

5 10/-

From J. Hughes Esquire 574/3
The Superintending Engineer,
6th CIRCLE.

To
The Chief Engineer,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Thro' The Examiner of Public Works accounts.

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to para 7 of the Examiner's letter printed with G.O. No: 574. W. of the 4th March 93, I have the honor to observe that the outlay under Civil Works - Imperial - in the Madura and Trichinopoly Divisions up to January 93 was Rs 724 and 1,752, respectively as per Progress Reports received for that month, whereas Rs 240 and 1,467 are shown by the Examiner as the "probable outlay to end of March 1893," against the grants of Rs 2,553 and 1,800 respectively. It is not understood how the outlay has been arrived at by that officer.

2. In the case of Trichinopoly the

the reduced grant of Rs 1,726 has been already fully utilised, and the short outlay in Madura is chiefly due to the late allotment of funds for improvements to the combined Post and Telegraph office at Ramnad, but it is reported that the grant will be fully utilised

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

W Hughes
Supdg. Engineer
N Division

No. 840-Cdy/15-3-93.

To E.W.

Forwarded with the remark that the outlay of Rs.240 and Rs.I,457 in the Madura and Trichinopoly Divisions respectively is arrived at as shown below:-

Divisions.	Actuals as per Ex.Engineer's accounts to end of December 1892	Credit for January to March 1893.	Total
Madura.....	240	,,	240
Trichinopoly...	I,357	I00	I,457

2. The grant for the Trichinopoly Division has since been reduced to Rs.I,723 as stated by the Superintending Engineer in para 2 of his letter.

for C.

15/3

P. W. CURRENT No. 2540

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

From the Examiner for Audit

No. 10527C

Dated 10 } March 1893.
Received 16 }

Enclosures.

pointing out the discrepancy in the figures given by the Examiner in the statement embodied in GO No. 574WR 4th March 93 regarding theodolite outlay.

J. C. 13/4

No. 966W dated 11th April 1893

B/

Recorded.

Recorded.

13/3/93

B/Asst

Note. No action is necessary as the Examiner points that the grant for the theodolite has already been entered and that the grant for the Aneroid will be fully explained in the statement to be given.

13/4