(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 38
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/92
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண். 620.W. அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 8.3.1893. ~~198~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

10th October 1889, No. 2821 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 15th May 1889, Mis. No. 2894.

The Hon. J. Grose, M.A.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 27th April 1889, No. 255 :—

I have the honor to forward herewith an estimate for Rs. 2,720 received from the Superintending Engineer for the repair of the Tranquebar Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry, and to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government to the same.

Resolution—dated 15th May 1889, Mis. No. 2894.

The estimate for Rs. 2,720 for the repair of the Sub-Magistrate's office at Tranquebar is submitted for the sanction of Government and provision of funds in the Public Works budget.

2. The necessity for the repairs is fully explained by the Superintending Engineer in his report accompanying.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

No. 3711, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

Ootacamund,
15th June 1889.

From the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 9th September 1889, No. 1256 T.J.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 3rd September 1889, Mis. No. 5331.

The Hon. J. Grose, M.A.

Read—the following papers :—

(1) Government Order, Revenue Department, dated 30th July 1889, Mis. No. 4773, and reference thereon, dated 13th August 1889.

(2) And the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, dated 23rd August 1889, No. 574.

In reply to Board's reference on Government Register No. 1605, dated 13th August 1889, I have the honor to report that the building therein referred to is at Poraiyar adjoining Tranquebar. The officer there is styled Sub-Magistrate of Tranquebar.

2. The Government order is returned.

Resolution—dated 3rd September 1889, Mis. No. 5331.

Submitted to Government with reference to G.O., dated 30th July 1889, Mis. No. 4773.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
Secretary.

No. 5910, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with reference to the office note from that department, dated 2nd July 1889, No. 441 D.

Fort St. George,
18th September 1889.

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

Order—dated 10th October 1889, No. 2821 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,720, for repairing the Sub-Magistrate's office with its subsidiary buildings at Poraiyar in the Tanjore district, is sanctioned.

2. As funds are not available with the Government, the Superintending Engineer will, if possible, propose a reappropriation from the grants for other works in his circle.

3. The Superintending Engineer will furnish the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, direct with a copy of the abstract estimate.

4. The sanction accorded in para. 1 of these Proceedings has been registered as Provincial No. 71 W. of 1889-90 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with four enclosures.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1889} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated October, 10th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 10th Oct. 1889, No. 2821 W.

OCTOBER.

Sub-Magistrate's office with subsidiary buildings at Poraiyar, Tanjore District

Estimate for repairing the —, sanctioned.

3/620

18TH MARCH 1892, No. 892 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From A. H. GARRETT, Esq., Executive Engineer, Madura Division, to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 6th February 1892, No. 4593.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 1539 T.C., dated the 22nd January 1892, prohibiting Executive Engineers from sanctioning estimates for works which should legitimately be charged to "Petty construction and repair," I have the honour to inquire to what class of buildings the reservation in Code para. 1316, clause 5, applies. All revenue, judicial and registration buildings are borne on the returns of this department, and if any alterations or improvements to any of these buildings estimated to cost Rs. 1,000 or under have to be made, the code paragraph would appear to imply that the work should be carried out by the Public Works Department; but as this interpretation conflicts with your orders, I should like to have the rules more clearly defined. If the portion of clause 5 be modified or cancelled, there will be no room for doubt.

In connection with this subject I should like to have orders passed defining whether estimates for works of "Petty construction and repair" should be prepared by this department as an invariable rule, and, if not, under what restrictions and whether departmental officers are to furnish Executive Engineers with any return giving cost of improvements made for altering the book value entered in the register of building.

No. 1168 M.D.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of orders in continuation of G.O., No. 313 W., dated 2nd February 1892.

(Signed) A. C. SMITH, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Suptg. Engr., VI Circle.

10th February 1892.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 9th March 1892, No. 366 S.A.

[Your office-note No. 759 C. of 13th February 1892.]

Government of India Code, volume I, chapter III, para. 72, makes Executive Engineers responsible for the preservation of all the buildings and works in their divisions. On the reduction of Public Works establishments and divisions in 1879, Government however ordered (see G.O., No. 2522 W., dated 7th October 1879, para. 10) that Post offices excepted the execution of ordinary repairs to Civil buildings and the construction of petty or temporary buildings of all classes limited to Rs. 1,000 in each case should be undertaken by the department concerned. The reservation therefore in clause 4 under para. 1316 of the Madras Public Works Code applies apparently to buildings that have been specially placed in charge of Executive Engineers for the purpose of repairs, &c., such as Public Works buildings, post offices and buildings in the Nílgiri and Presidency divisions—*vide* G.Os., Nos. 3107 W. and 739 W., dated 21st November 1882 and 7th March 1883, respectively.

2. In the cases cited in para. 1 of the Executive Engineer's letter, only one of the two conditions specified in clause 4 of Code para. 1316, viz., that the buildings are borne on the returns of the department as recognized State buildings is fulfilled, but not the other, viz., that they should be in charge of the department for the purpose of repair.

3. In regard to the question whether estimates for petty construction and repair should be prepared by this department, it would appear from Code paras. 1315 and 1316 that the Public Works Department need not prepare any estimates; and as regards the inquiry whether the departmental officers *should* furnish Executive Engineers with any returns showing cost of improvements, &c., it seems that such return is unnecessary, as, presumably, Civil officers would not carry out improvements of any importance.

4. The Superintending Engineer's letter is herewith returned.

ORDER—dated 18th March 1892, No. 892 W., Public Works.

The Government agrees in opinion with the Examiner so far as ordinary repairs are concerned. His remarks will be communicated to the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, for information and guidance. Repairs of a special character requiring professional supervision can be dealt with by special orders.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

4

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 18th.

....

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 18th Mar. 1892, No. 892 W.

MARCH.

Buildings

Orders as to the interpretation of the rules regarding the classes of public — for the preservation of which the Public Works Department is responsible.

4/620

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
No. 473 M. Chepâk, 7th November 1892

From
W. Hughes Esquire
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Enclosures.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that about two years ago repairs were executed by this Department to the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Poriar in the Tanjore Division on an estimate of Rs 2720. Owing to the bad quality of the tiles (due to difficulty in obtaining good earth there) the roof has become leaky and ~~an~~ Estimate has been prepared by the Executive Engineer for rectifying this. in which he

he has made provision for having the tiles manufactured at a place three miles distant - specially selected by him for the purpose. The esti=
=mate is less than Rs 1000, and I request with reference to G.O. No 892W dated 18th March 1892 that sanction may be given under the circumstances of the case to the estimate being carried out by this Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

W. Hughes
Supdg. Engineer V Circle

G

P. W. CURRENT No. 11159

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P. W. Department.

Dated 7th
Recd. 8th November 1892.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 473 W

Deputy Tasildar's Cutchery at Porear in the Tanjore Division.

Requisite Sanction for the repair Estimate of less than Rs1000 for —— under the Circumstances of the case within mentioned being carried out by this Department.

No. 2821 W d/ 10-10-89
" 892 W - 18-3-92

In the circumstances stated the execution of the work by the P. W. Dept. is sanctioned.

14-11-92

Who put in the bad tiles two years ago? The explanation is none.

15 Nov.

S. E. V circle

Exr. P. W. Acct.

Hu. 14/11. R 16/11

8/620

No 4821 C d/ 18-11-92 P.W. Dept.

Memo:

With reference to his letter No 473 W d/ 7th Novr/92, the Suptg: Engr: V. Circle is requested to report the name of the officer who allowed bad tiles to be used in the repairs to the Dy: Tahsildar's office at Poriar. The explanation offered for the bad work in question is insufficient.

16/11

S. E. V circle 18/11

[illegible]

Tanjore Division Office
Camp Govinthakudi. 15th December
1892.

No. 4286.

7/620

From

G. B. Lambert Esqre.
Executive Engineer
Tanjore Division

To.

The Superintending Engineer
5th Circle.
Madras.

Sir.

With reference to your No. 3797/21-11-92 I have the honour to report that the work was carried out under the orders of the present Sub Division officer T. T. Raghava Chariar, but it appears to have been carried out to the satisfaction of the Revenue Department vide No. 135/10-3-91 from the Deputy Tahsildar (Copy herewith enclosed)

I have the honour to
(Sd) G. B. Lambert.
Executive Engineer.
Tanjore Dn.

No.

No. 135.

Elagamangalam
10 March 1891.

From

The Deputy Tahsildar
of Tranquebar
Mayavaram Taluq

To

The Sub Division Officer
Mayavaram.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 3003/32-91, I have the honour to inform you that the repairs to the tiled Roof of my whole office Building have been satisfactorily done and the leaks have been properly closed.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
(Signed) Anandaramier
Deputy Tahsildar.

No

No. 525 W.

8/20

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P. W. Department, with reference to his No. 4821 C dated 18 November 1892. The Sub Divisional Officer should have known whether the work was good or bad and cannot shelter himself under the Deputy Tahsildar's Certificate.

The Sub Divisional Officer should have refused to allow the use of bad tiles. If it be true that good tiles can be made only three miles from the work as expected by the Executive Engineer I do not think there is any excuse for him. If good tiles were not procurable even within a much further distance it would still have been better to pay the cost of extra carriage rather than use bad tiles.

W. Hughes
Superintg. Engr.
5th Circle

December 1892

W. CURRENT No. 12633

From
The Supdg Engineer
Fifth Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Dated 20th } Decr 1892
Recd 27th }

No 525 W
~~Deputy Tahsildar's~~
Office at Porur.

Reports the name of the Officer who allowed bad tiles to be used in the repairs to the—

Memo

Submitted to the Hon. Member with reference to his remarks on Govt Memo Dec 11159

The undersigned agrees with the Supdg Engr.

Supdg.

[illegible]

No 12 C of 9th January 1893 P.W.D.

9/620

Memo.

With reference to endorsement from the Supdg Engr V Circle No 525 W of 20 Decr 1892, relative to the use of bad tiles in the repairs of the Dy. Tahsildar's Office at Porur, the undersigned has the honour to inquire whether the Ex. Engr Tanjore division saw the work, & passed it, either while in progress or after completion.

JWB 26.12.92
27/12/92

To the S. E. V Circle

D 10/1

Government of Madras.

1893

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 739

From S. E. V Circle

ENCLOS.-

No. 30 W

Dated 24-1-93

Received 26 " "

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Executive Engineer Tanjore reports that Mr. A H Garrett, the Ex: Engineer at the time inspected (on the 26th August 1890) the repairs to the Dy: Tahsildar's office at Polur

DISPOSAL.

No. 485 C dated 3rd Feby 1893

Referred, with copies of the undermentioned correspondence to the Supdg Engineer V Circle for any remarks which Mr. Garrett may wish to make as to his having passed the work which is now said to have been bad:-

Letter from the Supdg Engr: V Circle No. 473 W d/ 7-11-92

Memo to the same officer No. 4821 C d/ 18-11-92

From the same officer No. 625 W d/ 20-12-92

Memo to the same officer No. 12 C d/ 9-1-93

(Sd/.) C. J. W.

D.W.

D 4/2

No. 12 C d/ 9-1-93
4821 C d/ 18-11-92
892 W d/ 18-3-92

1665

Cur 739

To W

For orders, with reference to your pencil note on Current No. 12638, attached to memo. No. 12 C of 9.1.93.

26.1.93

D.W.

The file should be sent to the S.E. for any remarks he may wish to make as to his having passed the work which is now said to have been bad

1/2/93

SUPDG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
6 - FEB. 93
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

Letter from the Superintending Engineer VI Circle No 473 W dated 7th November '92.

I have the honor to state that about two years ago repairs were executed by this department to the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Ariar in the Tanjore Division on an estimate of Rs 2720. Owing to the bad quality of the tiles (due to difficulty in obtaining good earth there) the roof has become leaky and an estimate has been prepared by the Executive Engineer for rectifying this in which he has made provision for having the tiles manufactured at a place three miles distant specially selected by him for the purpose. The estimate is less than Rs 1000 and I request with reference to G O No 892 W dated 18th March 1892 that sanction may be given under the circumstances of the case to the estimate being carried out by this department.

Letter to the Superintending Engineer VI circle No 4821 C d/18 November '92.

With reference to his letter No 473 W dated 7th November 1892, the

Superintending

for any remarks [illegible]

Superintending Engineer V circle is requested to report the name of the officer who allowed bad tiles to be used in the repairs to the Dy Tahsildar's office at Porear. The explanation offered for the bad work in question is insufficient.

Letter from the Executive Engineer Tanjore Division to the Superintending Engineer V circle No 4286 d/15 Decr 1892:—

With reference to your No 3797 J/21.11.92 I have the honor to report that the work was carried out under the orders of the present Sub division officer T. T. Raghava Chariar, but it appears to have been carried out to the satisfaction of the Revenue department. vide No 135/10.3.91 from the Dy Tahsildar (Copy herewith enclosed)

Enclosure.

Letter from the Dy Tahsildar of Tranquebar, to the Sub Division Officer Mayaveram No 135 d/ 10th March 1891.

With reference to your letter No 2003/5.3.91, I have the honor to inform you that the repairs to the tiled roof of my whole office Building have been satisfactorily done and the

leaks

leaks have been properly closed.

Endorsement thereon by the Super-intending Engineer V circle No. 525 W d/ 20 December 1892:—

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. department, with reference to his No 4821 C d/ 18 November 1892. The Sub divisional officer should have known whether the work was good or bad and cannot shelter himself under the Dy: Tahsildar's certificate. The Sub divisional officer should have refused to allow the use of bad tiles. If it be true that good tiles can be made only three miles from the work as expected by the Executive Engineer, I do not think there is any excuse for him. If good tiles were not procurable even within a much further distance it would still have been better to pay the cost of extra carriage rather than use bad tiles.

Memorandum to the Suptg Engineer V circle No 12 C d/ 9 January 93.

With reference to endorsement

from

om the Superintending Engineer V circle No 525 W d/20 December 1892, relative to the use of bad tiles in the repairs of the Dy Tahsildar's office at Poriai, the undersigned has the honor to enquire whether the Executive Engineer Tanjore Division saw the work, and passed it, either while in progress or after completion.

(True Copies)

R. W. Lyons.

E7/ Head Clerk, P.W. Secretariat.

P.W. 1665/93

Ex - DM

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V. Circle, Madras, 13/620
No. 30 W. Chepâk, 24th January 1893.

From
J. Hannan Esquire
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to your Memorandum No. 12 C d/9th January 1893, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer Tanjore reports that Mr. A. H. Garrett, the Executive Engineer at the time, inspected on the 26th August 1890 the repairs to the Deputy Tahsidar's office at Poriar and that he has remarked that they have been completed satisfactorily.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
J. Hannan
Supdg. Engineer V Circle

P. W. CURRENT No.

1665/93

SUPDG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No 1061
6 - FEB. 93
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Regd. No. 1230
13 FEB. 93
P.W.D.
MADURA DIVISION.

Dated 24
Recd. 26 } January 1893.

ENCLOSURES.

No 30 W.

Dy Tahsildar's office at Porin.

States that the Ex: Engr reports that Mr A. H. Garrat at the time inspected on the 26th Aug/90 the repairs to the —

No 485 C/ 3rd February 1893

Referred, with copies of the undermentioned correspondence, to the Supdg Engineer VI Circle for any remarks which Mr Garrett may wish to make as to his having passed the work which is now said to have been bad:-

Letter from the Supdg Engr V Circle No 473 W d/ 7.11.92

Memo: to the same officer No 4821 C d/ 18.11.92

From the same officer No 525 W d/ 20.12.92

Memo: to the same officer No 12 C d/ 9.1.93

C. Lewald
Ag Chief Engr P.W.D.

No 1149 md, Encl.

To Mr Garrett Esqr for remarks.

W Hughes S Eng
5/2/93

d 6/- to be returned

SUPDG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
20 FEB. 93
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

776
No 77616
18/2/93

No. 77616

MADURA DIVISION,
Dated 16 February 1893.

14/620

FROM A. H. Garrett Esqr
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
MADURA DIVISION.

TO THE Superintending Engineer
6th Circle. Trichinopoly

ENCLOSURE:— 1
No 1149 md / 5.2.93

Sir,

With reference to your No 1149 md of 5.2.93 herewith returned, I have the honor to observe that I have no distinct recollection of the Work in question seeing that it is two and a half years since I inspected it.

2. Mr T. T. Ragavachariar was a very good Sub Division officer and I feel certain that everything was done to get the best tiles procurable.

3. I would point out that the Dy Tahsildar's report was written after the new work had been tried by the North-East Monsoon during which the rainfall on the Coast is particularly heavy.

4. If as stated by the Dy Tahsildar the roof did not leak at this time the tiles

Contd

a not possibly have been bad.

5. They may have deteriorated subsequently owing to the action of the atmosphere.

6. If it is necessary for me to give a more detailed reply to the Chief Engineer's remarks I must get the necessary papers from the Tanjore Office.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Executive Engineer
Madura Division

13/2

No. 1198 M.D.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer D.P.W. together with his Endorsement No. 485 C d/ 3d February 1893 —

En 1.

Supdt. Engr's Office V Circle
Trichinopoly.
21st February 1893.

D 21/-

W. Hughes
Supdt. Engineer
V Circle.

CURRENT No. 1665

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SUPDG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1093
20 FEB. 93
P.W.D.
SIXTH CIRCLE

Register No Dated

REFERENCE NO. DATED

FROM

A. H. Garrett Esqre
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
Madura Division.

TO

THE Superintending Engineer
6th Circle
Trichinopoly

Recd. 22. 2. 93

STATION

Dated 16
Despatched 17 } February 1893.
Received

Enclosures 2

No. 77616

Deputy Tahsildar's Office at [illegible].

Reply to the Chief Engineer's remarks regarding the bad work in the —

No. 2821 W d/ 10-10-89 ✓
" 872 W - 18-3-92 ✓
" 4821 C - 18-11-92 ✓
" 12 C - 9-1-93 ✓
" 485 C - 3-2-93 ✓

Within

Hm

For orders, with reference to the Hon. Member's remark on current No. 11159 attached to Memo: No. 4821 C dated 18th November 1892.

For 22/2/93

What does E.W. say should be done to them now?

24 Feb

Hm 23/2 R 24/2

P. W. DEPARTMENT.
28/2/93

READ the following:—

Query of the Hon'ble Member on Note for Orders of 23/2/93

FOR ORDERS. —

S. S. Raguvacharyar B.C.E. Sub-Eng. 2nd Grade who was the sub-division officer bears a good character.

Mr. Garrett is an officer highly thought of & he passed the work in August 1890. In March 1891 after the N.E. monsoon the Chetidar says that the work was satisfactory & the roof did not leak. The tiles therefore were effective & doubtless seemed good when used. It is extremely difficult, & specially so near the Coast, to make tiles that will last well, particularly for a

work which
not admit
of special manufacture
for these reasons
it appears to me that
in this case it will
be sufficient on the
sanctioning the
execution of the work
now proposed, to say
that it is considered
that neither the Sub
divisional Officer
nor the Executive Engineer
should have allowed
tiles which have
so rapidly perished
to be used, and
that care must be
taken in carrying out
the new work to have
tiles of a better description
specially made even
though that may
necessitate a greater
distance of carriage &
an increased cost

J. W.

FOR ORDERS.

Very well.
W. W.
2 Mar

1/3 R 2/3

No. 620. W. d/ 8th March

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

17/620

(B)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Supdg. Engineer [illegible] Circle (R.C. No. 473. W. d/ 7. xi. 92)
———Do.———Do.———(„ „ 525. W. d/ 20. xii. 92)
———Do.———Do.———(„ „ 30. W. d/ 24 January 1893)
———Do.———VI———(„ „ 1198. M.D. d/ 21 February 1893)

The execution by the P.W. Department of the repairs required to the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry at Poriar is sanctioned.

2. The Government ~~observes~~ considers that neither the subdivisional officer nor the Executive Engineer then in charge of the Tanjore Division should in ~~the case of~~ carrying out the former repairs have allowed the use of tiles which have so rapidly perished, and ~~that~~ care must be

taken in carrying out the new work to have tiles of a better description specially made, even though that may necessitate a greater distance of carriage and an increased cost.

(From orders)

4/3/93 W

No. 620 W d/ 8th March 1893

To

S. E. V Circle
Exr. P.W. Accts
S. E. VI Circle
for Mr. Garrett's information

D 7/3

9/10/3

2. C. 10/3

1/3 R 3/3.