(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் மார்ச் 1991

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். 574
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 4. 3. 1893 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

4th March 1893, No. 574 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper :—

From I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 23rd February 1893, No. 468 C.

The following is forwarded to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras, for information.

Enclosure.

From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 23rd February 1893, No. 467 C.

With reference to para. 2 of your letter No. 143 G., dated 3rd February 1893, I have the honour to explain the following material differences between the state of grant on the 20th February 1893 and the probable outlay of the year as shown in form D for the Madras Presidency forwarded to you on the 20th instant.

2. (35) *Construction of Protective Irrigation Works*—

	RS.
Grant	5,12,400
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	4,56,868
Difference ...	55,532

The difference is mainly due to the adjustment of Rs. 46,679, being value of English stores for famine relief works in the Madras Presidency charged off by the Secretary of State to the Imperial head "Famine Relief and Insurance." The Chief Engineer for Irrigation has been asked to arrange for a transfer of grant from India to England to meet the above debit, and if this is effected the anticipated lapse will be within 2 per cent.

3. (43) *Minor Works and Navigation, Provincial*—

	RS.
Grant	33,58,750
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	33,66,777
Difference ...	8,027

The excess is trifling, but may be explained as chiefly due to the inclusion under this head of a sum of Rs. 1,52,891, being portion of cash and drawing account balances on 1st February 1893.

4. (43) *Minor Works and Navigation, Local*—

	RS.
Grant	21,877
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	16,877
Difference ...	5,000

The grants for Bellary and Salem divisions have not been fully utilised.

5. It is observed that a lump sum of Rs. 22,000 has been entered as the revised estimate in the "statement showing the estimated amount of receipts and charges" embodied in the preliminary issue of the Minor Works and Navigation budget for 1893–94. The Chief Engineer for Irrigation has been asked to state whether it is the intention of Government to reduce any portion of this grant when preparing the final revised estimate for 1892–93.

6. (44) *Military Works, Imperial*—

	RS.
Grant	97,956
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	84,422
Difference ...	13,534

The lapse is chiefly due to the balance of reserve with Government amounting to Rs. 7,000 not having been appropriated to divisions and also to the grants of the following divisions not having been fully worked up to:—

Divisions.	Grant on 20th February 1893.	Probable outlay to end of March 1893.	Difference.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	1,300	923	377
Godávari Eastern	540	281	259
Vizagapatam	2,500	1,992	508
West Coast	47,941	45,356	2,585
Total ..	52,281	48,552	3,729

7. (45) *Civil Works, Imperial*—

	RS.
Grant	37,364
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	32,215
Difference ...	5,149

The difference which represents short outlay is chiefly noticeable in the following divisions:—

Divisions.	Grant on 20th February 1893.	Probable outlay to end of March 1893.	Difference.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Bellary	2,389	1,730	659
Kistna Eastern	500	220	280
Madura	2,553	240	2,313
Salem	1,163	907	256
Trichinopoly	1,800	1,457	343
Vizagapatam	1,480	1,227	253
West Coast	1,860	1,144	716
Total ..	11,745	6,925	4,820

8. (45) *Civil Works, Local*—

	RS.
Grant	1,25,082
Probable outlay of the year, lines 12 + 14	1,88,241
Excess ...	63,159

In G.Os., Nos. 223 W. and 396 W., dated 1st and 14th February 1893, respectively, it is stated that the Financial Department has been requested to place a further sum aggregating Rs. 53,262 at the disposal of the Public Works Department, thus increasing the revised estimate to Rs. 1,78,000 in round numbers. There is therefore a difference of Rs. 10,000 for which an additional grant is still required and in respect of which a reference has been made to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

2/574

ORDER—dated 4th March 1893, No. 574 W., Public Works.

Extract of paras. 1 to 5 of the Examiner's letter to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, has been communicated to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

2. In respect to the grants for Civil and Military works, the Examiner's figures will be communicated to Superintending Engineers for information and for such action as may be necessary. The expenditure under these heads has been subjected to careful review month by month in connection with progress reports submitted to the Chief Engineer, and Superintending Engineers have been repeatedly reminded of the necessity for either utilising the funds at their disposal or surrendering them in time for profitable utilisation elsewhere. From the replies received from those officers it is understood that arrangements have been made for spending all existing allotments.

3. The Examiner anticipates an excess of Rs. 10,000 under Incorporated Local Civil Works. He is requested to specify the works upon which excess outlay has already been incurred and the divisions for which excess credits have been applied for.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March 4th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 4th Mar. 1893, No. 574 W.

MARCH.

Funds

Orders on endorsement from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, forwarding for information copy of his letter to the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, regarding the difference between the grant and expenditure under each fund.

3/574

From J. S. Sherlock-Hubbard Esquire
Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras

To

The Secretary to Government
P.W. Department
Madras.

No. 468/C, Madras 23 February 1893.

10

The following is forwarded to the Secretary to Government, P.W. Department, Madras for information.

J. S. Sherlock-Hubbard
Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras
AR 3/2

Copy of a letter from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts, Madras to the Accountant General, Public Works Department No. 467C of 23rd February 1893.

With reference to para 2 of your letter No. 143G dated 8th February 1893, I have the honor to explain the following material differences, between the State of grant on the 20th February 1893, and the probable outlay of the year as shown in Form D for the Madras Presidency, forwarded to you on the 20th instant.

2. (35) Construction of Protective Irrigation Works

Grant ...... Rs 512,400
Probable outlay of the year lines 12 + 14 } 456868
Difference Rs 55,532

The difference is mainly due to the adjustment of Rs 46679, being value of English stores for famine Relief works in the Madras Presidency charged off by the Secretary of State to the Imperial Head "Famine Relief and Insurance". The Chief Engineer for Irrigation has been asked to arrange for a transfer of grant from India to England to meet the above debit, and if this is effected, the anticipated lapse will be within 2 per cent.

3. (43) Minor Works and Navigation Provincial

Grant .... – Rs 3358,750
Probable outlay of the year (lines 12+14) – 3366777
Difference Rs 8027

The excess is trifling, but may be explained as chiefly due to the inclusion under this head of a sum of Rs 15,891 being portion of "Cash and drawing Account balances on 1st February 1893.

4. (43) Minor Works and Navigation Local

Grant Rs 21877
Probable outlay of the year (lines 12 + 14) } 16877
Difference Rs 5000

The

The grants for Bellary and Salem divisions have not been fully utilized

5. It is observed that a lump sum of Rs 22,000 has been entered as the Revised Estimate in the "Statement showing the estimated amounts of Receipts and Charges" embodied in the preliminary issue of the Minor Works and Navigation Budget for 1893-94. The E. I has been asked to state whether it is the intention of Government to reduce any portion of this grant when preparing the final revised estimate for 1892-93.

6. (44) Military Works – Imperial

Grant – Rs 97,966

Probable outlay of the year. Lines 12+14 – 84,432

Difference Rs 13,534

The lapse is chiefly due to the balance of reserve with Government amounting to Rs 9,000 not having been appropriated to divisions, and also to the grants of the following divisions not having been fully worked up to.

Divisions	Grant on 20.2.93	Probable outlay to end of March 1893	Difference
	Rs	Rs	Rs
Ganjam	1800	923	877
Godavery Eastern	540	281	259
Vizagapatam	2600	1992	608
West Coast	47941	45356	2585
Total	52881	48552	4329

7. (45) Civil Works, Imperial

Grant .... Rs 37,364

Probable outlay of the year. Lines 12+14 32,215

Difference Rs 5,149

The difference which represents short outlay is chiefly noticeable in the following divisions: —

Divisions	Grant on 20.2.93	Probable outlay to end of March 1893	Difference
Bellary	2389	1720	669
Kistna Eastern	600	320	280
Madura	2553	240	2313
Salem	1163	907	256
Trichinopoly	1800	1457	343
Vizagapatam	1480	1227	253
West Coast	1860	1144	716
Total	11,745	6,925	4,820

P.T.O.

9/574

20

8. (45) Civil Works, Local

Grant .... Rs 1,25,082

Probable outlay of the year. Lines 12+14 — 1,88,241

Excess Rs 63,159

In G.O. No. 223W and 396W dated 1st and 14th February 1893 respectively, it is stated that the financial department has been requested to place a further sum aggregating Rs 53,262 at the disposal of the Public Works Department, thus increasing the revised estimate to Rs 1,78,000 in Round numbers. There is therefore a difference of Rs 10,000 for which an additional grant is still required, and in respect of which a reference has been made to W.

x with C. No: 1786

x The present is the only reference made.
[illegible]
28.II.93

(True Copy)

Muhammed Yacob
Superintendent, Routine Branch

23/2

P. W. CURRENT No. 1747

From

The Examiner, P.W. Accounts,
Madras

To

The Secretary to Govt.
P.W. Deptt.

No 2830 w d/12-10-92 ✓
" 2864 w - 14-10-92
" 3444 w - 16-12-92 ✓
" 36 w - 11-1-93 ✓
" 221 w - 1-2-93 ✓
" 223-4 w - 1-2-93 ✓
" 863 C - 24-2-93 ✓
" 1227 w - 13-4-92 ✓
" 1426 w - 26-5-91
" 1214 w - 1-5-91.

468-C No
23-2-93 dated }
Recd: 24.2.93

Letter no. 467 C of 23d. Febr. 1893 — Forwards copy of —

Genl Asst, 5/5th

No: 574 W d/4th March 1893

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ again the following

From the Exr. P.W. Acct. No. 468 C d/23d Feby/93

3.0

Extract of paras 1 to 5 of the Examiner's letter to the Accountant General P.W. Department has been communicated to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

1413
2 mar

2. In respect to the grants for Civil and Military Works the Examiner's figures will be communicated to Supdg. Engineers for information and for such action as may be necessary. The expenditure under these heads has been subjected to careful review month

month by month in
connection with progress
reports submitted to
the Chief Engineer, and
Supdg. Engineers have
been repeatedly reminded
f the necessity for either
tilising the funds at
heir disposal or sur=
rendering them in
ime for profitable
tilisation elsewhere. From the
eplies received from
hose officers it is
nderstood that
rrangements have
been made for spending
ll existing allotments.

3. The Examiner anticipates
n excess of Rs 10,000
nder Incorporated
Local Civil Works.
He is requested to specify
the works upon which
excess outlay has already
been incurred, and
the Divisions for which
excess

excess credits have been
applied for.

6/574

28/2/93

MWB
28.2.93

To
Supdg Engineers ✓
Consg Architect ✓
Exr P.W. Accts ✓

D 8/3/93

R.P.

C No. 1747

Govt. of (1893) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 4 March 3 574 W

Funds

Orders on endorsement from the Ex. Engr. for information copy of his letter to the Accountant Genl P.W.D., regarding the difference between the grant and expendre under each fund.

2nd/10

2. C. 6/3 3 6/3