~~23/9/10BR.~~

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் July 90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணி துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 483
அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 24-2. 1893 ~~198~~

6

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

24th February 1893, No. 483 W., Public Works.

483/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper :—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, 26th January 1893, No. 177 C.

Order—dated 24th February 1893, No. 483 W., Public Works.

As under the rules contained in the Government of India Public Works Code, chapter II, para. 52, and chapter IX, paras. 15 and 16, the cost of survey establishment is chargeable to the head "Establishment" and not to "Works," the orders contained in para. 3 of G.O., No. 1029 I., dated 8th December 1892, will be cancelled and the rules in the Government of India Code adhered to in future.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.I.

To Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.
" the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
" the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 24th.

Recd. *Encs.*

G.O., 24th Feb. 1893, No. 483 W.

FEBRUARY.

Survey Establishment

Cancelling the orders contained in para. 3 of G.O., No. 1029 I., dated 8th December 1892, and directing that the rules in the Government of India Code charging the cost of —to the head "Establishment" and not to "Works" be adhered to in

---

483/2

(C. No. $\frac{1201}{8}$.)

KEEP-WITH CURRENT NO.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS.} 1893. {P. W. DEPT.
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 26 January 1893.

OFFICE NOTE No. 177C

To

W.

With reference to para 3 of G.O No.1029 I. dated 8th. December 1892, I beg to point out that the rules in the Government of India P.W Code, chapter II, para 52 and chapter IX, paras 15 and 16 apparently take it out of the power of the Local Government to continue the procedure under Madras Code para 186 of charging "Survey Establishment" to works and I would therefore again suggest that the Government of India be addressed on the subject.

HW

I am not prepared to go to India about this, especially as I think the India Code is right. But if you can show that we have power to sustain the Madras ruling we might do so in this instance & adopt Local procedure in future. HW 26/1

For orders

With reference to the notes attached to the draft of G.O. 1029 I d/ 8th December 1892

1773
D.W

P. W. CURRENT No. 763

Hm

Recd 27.1.93

No. 1029 I d/8-12
" 243C - 17
" 1355W - 30-5-8
" 5441C - 3-12
" 6W - 4-1-9

Cu. 763

483/7

483/7

Note for orders.

ffice note from the Exr. P. W. Accts No. 177 C.
ated 26th Jany 1893.

In this office note the Examiner questions the power of the Local Govt. to maintain the orders given in para 3 of G.O. No. 1029 I d/8th Decr. 1892, under which all the costs of a survey, including surveyors, are charged to works.

The H.M. intimates that
he is not prepared to g[illegible]
to the Govt. of India [illegible] the
matter, and that he thinks
the principles of the Indian
Code rule to be right. He
adds, "but if we can
show that we have power
to reduce the [illegible] in this
we might do so
instance, adop
dure

[illegible] investigate the origin of the [illegible] Code rule- [illegible] 186 of the Madras P.W. Code. There being no reference to the authority under which the rule is introduced, the search has taken some time. The result is that G.O. 1355W d/ 30th May 1881 has been found, and from this it would appear that the local Code rule is based on the "Memorandum of Disposals" printed with the G.O. vide page 2. As the rule conflicts with the Govt. of India Code and has not been confirmed by [illegible], it cannot ap[illegible] to be maintained.

There seems, however, no reason why all expenses of a survey [illegible] other than the [illegible] of surveyors [illegible] it contin[illegible]

Ho

Not only surveyors — karnams, draftsmen & Estabt.

quoted by the [illegible] to prevent this. But before finally deciding the point, the Examiner's opinion might be asked.

// It does not seem fair that these charges incurred on account of irrigation or other works should be met from Provincial funds. The Provincial Establishment is maintained from contributions calculated on the expenditure on "works", and unless the charges are shown against "works" in some way or other, there will be no contribution to Provincial funds.

This can't be helped. The obvious reply is that the charge in question is Estabt. not works.

// It may be added that the surveyors engaged in connection with the Tank Restoration Scheme are all charged against "Works", and that if the rule is adhered, there will be a debit on this [illegible] to Provincial

344

Tank Resn. is entirely provincial & this change will only bring out in stronger relief the enormous costliness of this system as compared with the outturn of the work

I [illegible] think that
in this case we cannot
depart from G. of I. ruling
without obtaining special
permission to do so.

At the same time
Provincial Govt. will be
considerably inconvenienced
by so doing. The
question [illegible] to
[illegible] later on, for orders

20/2/93

Sec. [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] Clerk, [illegible]
[illegible] the Note
for orders. [illegible]
[illegible] 20/2/93

A

From orders

No. 483. W dt/24th February 1893

487/5

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Genl
Acct

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Quote 180.

Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Accounts } (H E No. 177 C dt/26th Jany. 1893)

V Pro

As under the rules contained in the Government of India P. W. Code Chapter II, para 52 and Chapter IX, paras 15 and 16, the cost of survey establishment is chargeable to the head "Establishment" and not to "Works", the orders contained in para 3 of G. O. No. 1029 I. dt/ 8th December 1892 will be cancelled, and the rules in the Government of India Code adhered to in future.

9/3

Suptg. Engineers
Consg. Architect to Govt.
Supt of Works P. P.
Chief Engineer
Examiner, G

93

C N° 763

17-3-24 BII

Govt. of 1893 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

483/6

27/2/93

Dated

Recd.

G.O. 24 Feby 3 483 W.

Survey Establishment

Cancelling the orders contained in para 3 of G.O. No. 1029 I dated 8 Decr 1892, and directing that the rules in the G. of I. Code charging the cost of — to the head "Establishment" and not to "Works" be adhered to in future

24/2