

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 4
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3-9-0
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 60/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Pulavar துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	37	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	11-1-1893	198/1.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

(2)

Read the following:—

from the Superintending Engineer II Circle No. 559 N.E. d/10. XII. 92
from the Superintending Engineer I Circle No. 523 W.D. d/17. XII. 92

FOR ORDERS.

Whether the order =
The fact appears to have clearly been that of the accountant. The bills reported to be a revised bill should not have been passed as recommended by the without verification as to the same. Superintending Engineer in revision. Had this been done it must have been discovered that payments had already been made. I think the A.C. is responsible should be made to pay.

W.S.
2200

21/12/92
C.P.

C.S.

Done
FOR ORDERS.

7/11 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 37. W of 11 January 1903
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the S.E. II Circle, No. 539 M.L.
dated 10 December 1892.

Encl. from the S.E. V Circle, No. 523 W of 17th
December 1892.

The Govt. considers
that the overpayment
of Rs 166-11-3 was
clearly due to the
fault of the accountant.
The bill purported to
be a revised one, and
should not have been
passed without verification
as to the reason for
revision. Had this
been ^{ascertained} ~~known~~ it must
have been discovered
that payment had
already been made.

2. The Govt. must
hold the accountant
A. Runga Rao responsible
and

and direct that the
overpayment of Rs 166-11-3
be recovered from him.

24/12/92

For
J. K. P. S.

No 37. W. of 11-1-93

St. II Cante ✓
Esar P. W. A. 11/63

25/12/1-