16

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 32

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3.5.92

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். 3338
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். P W D

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 22-12-1893 199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

22ND DECEMBER 1893, No. 3338 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

1/3338.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers :—

Office-note from the Examiner, P.W. Accounts,	dated	6th Sept.	1893,	No. 856 A.
Endt. by the Chief Engineer, P.W. Department	,,	15th ,,	,,	,, 3101 C.
Letter from Superintending Engineer, I Circle	,,	23rd Nov.	,,	,, 840 G.N.
,, ,, ,, II ,,	,,	28th Sept.	,,	,, 338 G.L.
,, ,, ,, III ,,	,,	20th Oct.	,,	,, 1863.
,, ,, ,, IV ,,	,,	23rd ,,	,,	,, 417 W.
,, ,, ,, V ,,	,,	17th ,,	,,	,, 380 W.
,, ,, ,, VI ,,	,,	29th Sept.	,,	,, 929 T.C.

ORDER—dated 22nd December 1893, No. 3338 W., Public Works.

After perusal of the replies from Superintending Engineers to the Examiner's suggestions, the Government does not think it advisable to issue a general rule prohibiting the issue on loan of tools to piece-workers, and considers that the question of making such loans, and of the best method of safeguarding the interests of Government, may well be left to the discretion of Executive Engineers.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with one enclosure.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government, } with copy of the Chief Engineer's memo.
,, the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project, } No. 3101 C., dated 15th September 1893.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated December, 22nd.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 22nd Dec. 1893, No. 3338 W.

DECEMBER.

Tools

Considering that the question regarding the issue on loan of — to piece-workers may be left to the discretion of Executive Engineers.

No. 840 G.A.

2/3338

FROM

G. D. Wybrow Esq
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Enclosures.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 23rd November 1893.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 3101 C. dated the 15 September 1893, I have the honor to report that the Executive Engineer Rushikulya Division states that the Ganjam District is not sufficiently advanced to carry into effect the proposal of the Examiner of P.W. Accounts, & that in case a new system of forcing laborers to provide with their own tools had to be introduced, it would very greatly impede the progress of works on the Rushikulya Project.

2. I beg to add that in the Ganjam Distt, & in the Rushikulya Division in particular, there are no regular gangs of Tank Diggers, as in most other Districts, and it is only since the commencement of the Rushikulya Project works that the Ooryas have been induced to take up earthwork &ca. It is therefore necessary to provide them with tools, & deposits are necessary as a safe-guard against their loss. I trust therefore that the system now prevailing may

be allowed to continue.

3. The Executive Engineer has promised to take steps to reduce the number of deposits.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servt

Supd^t Engineer, 1st Circle

E.F. 22/11

3/3338

P. W. CURRENT No. 10188

S. P. I. / 4   600-13-2-92

FROM

G. D. Wykeson Esquire
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED 23rd
DESPATCHED 26th } November 1893.
RECEIVED — 1.12.93

No. 840 G.L.

ENCLOSURES

SUBJECT.

Deposits from piece workers for tools lent to them in Ganjam and Rushikulya Divisions — Reporting on the practice of taking small

—

Superintending Engineer's Office.

No. 338 G.L.

4/3338

II CIRCLE,

Dated 28-9-1893.

From,

J. W. Martin Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras.

Sir

With reference to your memo. No. 3101 C dated 15th September 1893 referring for remarks proposals of the Examiner of P. W. Accounts on the subject of taking deposits from pieceworkers for tools lent to them, I have the honor to report that, as there is no rule in the Government of India Code corresponding with the Madras Code rule, I am of opinion that the rule in Madras Code should be cancelled.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt Servt
J. W. Martin
Supdg Engineer

E. 26.5.93

P. W. CURRENT No. 333

From

The Supdg Engineer

2d Circle

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Dept

Dated 28-9-93

No 338-CC

Taking small deposits from piece workers for tools lent to them - gives his opinion that the rule in Madras Code regarding them should be cancelled as there is no corresponding rule ~~in~~ in the Indian Code

Confd

From,

The Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The

Dated }
Received } 18

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No. 1863

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.
Bellary 20 October 1893,

FROM
Colonel A. J. Fraser R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER.
IIIRD CIRCLE.

5/3338

To
THE Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.
Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to state in reply to your Memorandum No 3101C dated 15th September 1893 that it would appear in the Cuddapah Division any Tools wanted have to be purchased outright.

2. In Kurnool and Bellary they are occasionally lent on receipt and deposit of the full value, and the Executive Engineers see no reason to make a change.

3. As however the system leads to open accounts, I would suggest that as a rule Tools should be sold with a promise that their value will be returned if restored in a serviceable condition.

4. In those cases, which must occur, when Tools have to be issued to gangs of mere laborers, their value might be written off to the work, and credited to Government when they were returned, or the cause of non-return explained

by

by the Executive Engineer like any other item of expenditure.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
C. W. Fraser Coll. R.E.
Supdg: Engineer, III Circle.

6/3338

by the Execut. E

P.W. CURRENT No. 8903

From Col. A. C. Fraser R.E.
~~The~~ Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To,
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 20th
Received
October 1893.

Enclosures.

No. 1863

Subject.

Tools to Contractors
Suggesting certain rules
for lending

Compile
O.7 ...

No. 417/W.

Supg. Engineer's Office, IV Circle,
Coimbatore 23 October 1893.

7/3338

From

Lieut. Col. A. W. Smart R.E.,
Superintending Engineer,
Fourth Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

With reference to your Memo: No. 3101C dated 15th Ultimo, I have the honor to report the practice in the Divisions attached to this Circle ~~and~~ in re lending tools to Piece workers together with my remarks on the suggestions made by the Examiner.

1. West Coast Division. Contractors and Piece workers provide tools for themselves. Machinery such as Surki screens and jack screws &c. are lent to them, and they are made to return them as soon as the item of work for which they are lent is finished or before the final bill is prepared and paid.

2. Salem Division. Tools lent on receipts furnished by Contractors and

Piece worker

Piece workers, and the final payments for work on which they are engaged are not made till the tools are returned.

3. North Arcot Division. There was no necessity for lending tools to Piece workers &ca except in urgent cases and this only for short periods. No security is taken from them as the Subordinates in charge of the works are held responsible for them.

4 Coimbatore Division. The System of taking deposits for tools lent is not observed in this Division.

2. I am of opinion that as a rule Piece workers should be made to provide their own tools and only in special cases should tools be lent to them on their furnishing adequate security or on the responsibility of the Subordinates in charge of the works. But it appears to me that a uniform practice in this respect cannot be enforced in all Districts, where circumstances vary much; but that the lending of tools on security or otherwise should be left to the discretion of the Executive Engineer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.,

Lieutt. Col. R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

8/5338

P. W. CURRENT No. 7016

From,

The Supg. Engineer
4th Circle

Dated 25th
Rd } October 1893.

No. 417/W.

Enc.

Lending Tools to Piece workers - Reports the practice in the Divisions attached to this Circle in re-

Compile
25/10

Superintending Engineer's Office,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepauk, October 1893.

No. 380 W.

From
J. C. Larminie Esquire
Superintending Engineer, V Circle,

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

9/3338

Enclosures.

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 3101 C d/15th September 1893, I have the honor to state that Tools lent to contractors are pretty certain, if returned at all, to be returned in a more or less worn or damaged condition. I would discourage such loans as much as possible and the only way to do so, is to insist on the full value of the tools being lodged. There seems no necessity to write up a Schedule monthly, so this objection of the Examiner's could easily be got over.

2. Loans of tools are uncommon in this Circle, but if Contractors and Piece

Piece workers found, they could have them unconditionally, no doubt the demand would be greater and trouble and loss would arise.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servt

J. C. [illegible]
Supdg. Engineer V Circle
17/10

10/3338

P. W. CURRENT No. 8820

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEERS,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Dated 17 } October 1893.
Recd. 18 }

ENCLOSURES.

No. 3808

Loans of Tools to Contractors or piece workers.

—

States that he would discourage such — as much as possible —

Compile
[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

No. 929 TC

Trichinopoly, 29 September 1893.
30/–

From Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.
Superintending Engineer,
6th CIRCLE.

11/3338

To

The Chief Engineer,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

With reference to your No. 3101C of the 15th September 1893, regarding the practice of lending tools to piece-workers, I have the honor to state that I do not consider any definite ruling is necessary on the subject.

2. In this Circle the piece-workers provide their own tools, as a rule; but I think it should be left to the discretion of the Executive Engineer to take a deposit or guard himself in any manner agreed upon between himself and the piece worker.

3. In some cases it is advisable to use Government tools; for in Tinnevelly, for example, the piece workers

invariably

invariably use iron tamping bars and priming needles for blasting operations, which is most dangerous and objectionable. Work is also often delayed owing to the inferior tools used by piece workers.

4 Under these circumstances and as the Conditions under which works are carried out vary so much in different Districts, I would deprecate any general ruling.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt

W C Baddeley Major R.E.

Superintg Engineer
VI Circle.

12/3338

P. W. CURRENT No. 8334

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

From
The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To
THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
Public Works Department,

No. 929 rc

Dated 29/30
Received
} September 1893,
2. 10. 93

No. 1835c of 9-5-88 ✓
" 3119c " 16-8-88 ✓
" 2567w " 20-8-88 ✓
" 3315w " 18-10-88 ✓
" 1357c " 15-3-89 ✓
" 2385c " 17-5-89 ✓
" 3101c " 15-9-93 ✓

Enclosures.

Practice of lending tools to piece workers:—

Informs that he does not consider any definite ruling is necessary on the subject.—

13/3338

Abstract of replies from Supg. Engineers, to Memo. No 3101C of 15 Sept. 93, referring for their remarks ~~on part~~ an office note from the Examiner P.W.A. suggesting that a piece worker should be required to provide his own tools, or pay for them, instead of tools being lent to them & a small deposit taken from them

Supg: Engr: I Circle.
G. D. Corbrow

The Ganjam Dt: is not sufficiently advanced to carry into effect the proposal of the Examiner. The system: if introduced would greatly impede the progress of works on the Rushikulya Project. There are no regular gangs of tank diggers in the District & it is only since the Project works were started that the Ooriyas have been induced to take up earthwork.
Requests that the present system may be continued.

S. E. II Circle —
W. P. Martin

Is of opinion that the rule in the Madras Code of taking small deposits from piece workers for tools lent to them should be cancelled, as there is no corresponding rule in the India Code.

S. E. III Circle.
Col. Fraser R.E.

In the Cuddapah Division tools if wanted have to be purchased outright

In the Kurnool and Bellary Divisions tools are occasionally lent on receipt of the full value & Executive Engineers see no reason to make a change.

Suggests that as a rule tools should be sold with a proviso that their value will be returned if restored in a serviceable condition. When tools are issued to gangs of mere labourers their value might be written off to the work & credited to Govt when returned.

S.E. IV Circle
[illegible]

In the West Coast Dn Contractors & Piece workers provide the tools.

Salem Division. Tools are lent on receipts furnished by Contractors & Piece workers, and final payments for the work are not made till the tools are returned.

North Arcot Dn. Tools are not lent except in urgent cases & only for short periods. No security is taken as the Subordinate in charge of the work is held responsible.

Coimbatore Dn. The system of taking deposits for tools lent is not observed. The Supg. Engineer is of opinion that Piece workers should be made to provide their own tools. Tools only being lent in special cases, & then on adequate security being furnished [illegible]

responsibility of the subordinate in charge of the work. A uniform practice cannot be enforced in all districts where circumstances vary much.

S.E. V Circle
[illegible]

Would discourage the loans of tools as much as possible and the only way to do so is to insist on the full value of the tools being lodged.

S.E. VI Circle.
Mr Baddeley

Would deprecate any general ruling, as the conditions under which works are carried out vary in different districts. In some cases it is advisable to use Govt. tools on account of the inferior tools used by piece workers. The conditions on which tools are to be lent should be left to the discretion of the Executive Engineer.

3338

On

Govt orders.

It does not seem advisable to issue any general rule as suggested by the Exr, the matter might be left to the discretion of Ex. Engrs.

C.
14.12.93

The Rushikulya E.E. Divn, which has the largest number of these transactions, strongly advocates the retention of the present system.

I do not think it would be advisable to issue a general rule, prohibiting the issue, on loans, of tools. It might hamper Ex. Engrs' efforts to get work done; and I think that this is a question that might well be left to Ex. Engrs' discretion.

15.12

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

No. 3338. W dated 22nd December /93

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

15/3338 Genl

READ the following

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts H.S. No. 856A dated 6th Septr 1893
Endt by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. No. 3101C dated 15th September 1893
Letter from the Supdg Engr I circle H.S. No. 840G.N. dated 23rd Novr 1893
— do — do II — do — 338G.S. dated 28th Septr 1893
— do — do III — do — 1863 dated 20th October 1893
— do — do IV — do — 417W dated 23rd October 1893
— do — do V — do — 380W dated 17th October 1893
— do — do VI — do — 929T.C. dated 29th Septr 1893

W
21 Dec.

After perusal of the replies from Suptg: Engineers to the Examiner's suggestions, the Government does not think it advisable to issue a general rule prohibiting the issue on loan of tools to piece workers, and considers that the question of making such loans, and of the best method of safe guarding the interests of Govt, may

(40—2,000—11-10-93.

well be left to the
discretion of Executive
Engineers

O.V.B.
16.12.93

[illegible]

DESPATCHED
[illegible]

To.

Suptg. Engineers
Exr. P.W. Accts
with one enclosure
C. A. to Govt } with copy of the
S. of W. P. Project } Chief Engr's memo
No 3101 CA/15-9-93

Dd
11/1/94

16
3338

C No. 10188

Govt. of 1893 Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

G.O. 22nd Decr 3 3338W

Tools

3
sidering that
the question
egarding the
ssue on loan of
piece workers
nay be left to
the discretion
f Executive
ngineers.

C. 23.xii

13/12