

പ്രകാശനം അയക്കുക: 4
മുദ്രിച്ച് തയ്യാറാക്കി 22.11.91
ശ്രീമാൻ പ്രൊഫ. ജി. വി. ശങ്കർ

G.O NO 3081
29.11.1893

22

14TH NOVEMBER 1893, No. 2544 L., LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 11th August 1893, No. 1770 L., Local and Municipal.

READ—also the following papers:—

Ref. on Regr. No. 123-Govt.

Public Works circle.	District.	Item No.	Name of work.	Amount of estimate or items chargeable.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6
V.	South Arcot.	9	Causeway across the Malattar near Panruti, Cuddalore taluk.	Rs. 9,790	An estimate of Rs. 10,515 was submitted for sanction with this office letter, No. 177, dated 5th May 1893. Probably the amount of the estimate has been cut down after scrutiny to Rs. 9,790. The estimate falls under I (a) of the rules. The charge for scrutiny comes to Rs. 244-12-0, as according to the said rule it should be calculated "on the amount of the estimates as submitted to the Superintending Engineer."

CUDDALORE,
22nd August 1893.

(Signed) M. HAMMICK,
President, District Board.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, with reference to
G.O., dated 11th August 1893, Mis. No. 1770 L.

From the President, District Board, Madura, dated 24th August 1893, No. 85.

ABSTRACT.—Reporting that the statement enclosed in G.O., dated 11th August 1893, Mis. No. 1770 L., has been verified and found correct.

From G. F. T. POWER, Esq., President, District Board, South Canara, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Mangalore, 28th August 1893, No. 1276.

With reference to G.O., dated the 11th instant, Mis. No. 1770 L., I have the honour to state that the statement received therewith has been duly verified and found correct.

2. Under paragraph 2 (I) (b) of G.O., dated 29th April 1889, No. 1137 W., recorded in G.O., dated 15th June 1889, No. 1360 L., there is to be no fresh charge for scrutiny "when the alteration is due to change of quantities or rates and not of design." From the comparative statement showing the difference between the original and the revised estimate of the Muluhalla bridge, herewith submitted, it will be seen that there was no change in the design and I think no scrutiny charge is, therefore, due on the amount shown in the statement received with the Government Order under reply.

From S. H. WYNNE, Esq., President, District Board, Górávari, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 4th September 1893, No. 138.

In reply to G.O., dated 11th August 1893, Mis. No. 1770 L., I have the honour

1. Constructing culverts and bridges on road from Anantaram to Uppalagupam (Rs. 5,710).
2. Constructing road from Karapa to Narsapur-peta (Rs. 38,000).
3. Estimate for buckle plate bridge over Divili river (Rs. 8,580).

to state that the amounts of three of the estimates in question (items 1, 3 and 4 of the list), as they were originally framed and approved of by the Board, are as per margin. But they were subsequently raised to the amounts shown in the statement enclosed in the Government Order above referred to, in accordance with the suggestions of the Superintending Engineer and of the Chief Engineer.

From the President, District Board, Ganjám, dated 2nd September 1893, No. 1730.

ABSTRACT.—Reporting that the statement received has been verified and found correct.

From the President, District Board, Tinnevely, dated 9th September 1893, No. 78.

ABSTRACT.—Returning, duly verified, the statement of local fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 30th June last.

From the President, District Board, Kistna, dated 11th October 1893, No. 1935.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that the statement showing the amounts of estimates for local fund works scrutinized by the Public Works Department was verified and found correct.

ORDER—dated 14th November 1893, No. 2544 L., Local and Municipal.

The statement received from the Public Works Department will be forwarded to the Accountant-General with the following remarks, and with the request that he will make the necessary adjustments in the accounts in communication with the Examiner:—

(1) The charges for scrutinizing the estimates for the three works noted in the margin of the letter from the President, District Board, Górávari, and for the causeway across the Malattar in South Arcot, should, with reference to No. I (a) of the rules in G.O., dated 15th June 1889, No. 1360 L., be calculated on the amounts of the estimates as at first prepared.

(2) The contribution for examining the revised estimate for the Muluballa bridge in the South Canara district should be remitted for the reasons assigned by the President of the District Board.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant-General.
" President, District Board, South Arcot.
" " " South Canara.
" " " Ganjám.
" " " Górávari.
" " " Kistna.
" " " Madura.
" " " Tinnevely.
" Public Works Department.
Erd. A. Raitt

3089
2

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

No 3081 Wd/29th Nov 1893
Commnd: to the
officers concerned.

(By O.V.B
S.W.)

Issued

8 P.C.
Dn 9981/53

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 14th Nov. 1893, No. 2544 L.

NOVEMBER.

Local Fund Works.

Forwarding to the Accountant-General, with remarks, statements showing the amounts of estimates for — scrutinized by the Public Works Department for the quarter ending 30th June 1893, and requesting him to make the necessary adjustments in the accounts in communication with the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Clk I, IV & V
Ex: P. H. aucts.