

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 68
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/91
 (3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொய்யம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

முத்தமிழ் பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	2660 W.	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	18. 10. 1893	199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

No. 18818

KURNOOL EXECUTIVE ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

17 August 1893.
18

FROM

John C Johnston Esquire
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

2660

KURNOOL DIVISION.

TO

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

THIRD CIRCLE,

BELLARY.

SIR,

In para 2 of G. O. no 1568 W of 13-6-93, it has been ordered that the revised rate of rent sanctioned for the Executive Engineer's residence at Karnool should have effect from the date of receipt of the G. O. in the Executive Engineer's office. This order is based on the Examiner's statement in para 5 of his O. N. no 1594 of 22-4-93 that, in accordance with the decision of the Government of India printed with G. O. no 648 W of 6-3-91, the revised rate should be paid ~~only~~ from the date on which he (Executive Engineer) receives intimation of sanction thereto.

2. On reference to the G. O. quoted, I find that my interpretation of it differs materially from that of the Examiner. The India orders say that "the revised rate shall

have effect from the date on which notice of the same (that is alterations) is served on the occupant. In para 2 of the Local Government's order, the notice was ordered to be served at once pending sanction to revised rates of rents, and where notices were already served it was ruled that effect should be given from date of such notice.

3. From the above it will be clearly seen that the revised rate of rent should have effect from date of notice of change of rate, irrespective of the date of sanction.

4. As Executive Engineer in Charge of the Division, my predecessor had, on receipt of the orders of September 1888, the notice of the impending recalculation of rent of the bungalows and the consequent revision of rent, and I, therefore, contend that I am entitled to the benefit of the rule, and beg to resubmit the matter for the favourable consideration of Government.

5. Had the statement been submitted by my predecessor immediately on receipt of the orders of September 1888, the revised rate would have been in force before I joined. I, therefore, as present occupant,

of the statement earlier, which non submission was due, among other causes, to

- i. the very large number of buildings for which data were originally called for by the Examiner with consequent delay in obtaining particulars. (Subsequently most of these buildings were ordered by Government to be omitted).
- ii. the extraordinarily heavy work thrown on myself and my establishment during the late Famine.

6. With reference to para 2 of the G.O (no 156810 of 13-6-93), I shall submit the statement furnishing data for determining the rent, payable for the residential portion of the Kistnapuram bungalows as soon as some information called for has been received. In the meantime I have asked Mr J C Denney to arrange for the occupation of the building with the least possible delay.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant
Wm. Houston
17.8
Executive Engineer

Kurnool Division

GR
16/8

Es

Enclosures.

3. It seems but fair that the decision of a question of reduction of rent should be viewed in the same light as that of an increase.

4. In the present case, if the application of the new rules had resulted in an increase, the Executive Engineer would no doubt have demurred to pay rent at the increased rate from the date on which he occupied the building.

5. I consider therefore that the orders of Government to give effect to the reduced rate from the date of receipt of the G.O. in the Divisional office appears to be equitable & in keeping with the rules.

The Govt. entirely
agrees with the views
expressed by the
Examiner whose note
will be communicated
to the Executive Engineer.
Kurnool. D^y 24.