(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1/92
(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண்.		அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2487	பலவகை
நாள் :	28-9-1893	19

6

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

Copy of Examiner's letter No. 786 D. dated
31st August 1893 to the Supe Engr 1st Circl

2487

With reference to your No. 1317 G.
dated 19th August 1893, I have the hon
to state that under Civil Service Regula
article 1092, an officer provided with the
means of locomotion at the expense of
the state, may draw actual travelling expenses (as defined in article 15) not exceed
ing half the allowance ordinarily admissi
ble.

2. The actual expenses on account of boat rent cannot be treated as "actual travelling expenses" and are therefore inadmissible.

(True Copy)

Supe Engr 1st Circle.

CH
8/9.

2.1/DW 7795/93

No. 1449 G.D.

FROM

G. D. Wybrow Esq
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

2487/2

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Enclosures. 1

1 Copy of Examiner's letter No 786 D/31-8-93

DOWLAISHWERAM, 5th September 1893.

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the Executive Engineer Godavari Eastern Division travelled on a D.P.W. Steamer on the 9th, 10th, & 20th March 1893, & claimed in the travelling allowance bill, actual expenses, under article 1092 of the Civil Service Regulations, at the rate of Rs 33-8-0 per month, on account of boat rent & the pay of Syrang which he had to pay in accordance with para 3 of the Note of the Irrigation Chief Engineer printed with the G.O. No 808 I. dated the 28th September 1892. The Examiner has disallowed the claim on the ground that they were not the actual expenses incurred on the steamer. Rs 3-3-10

2. You will see from para 3 of the Irrigation Chief Engineer's Note quoted above that the Executive Engineer is bound to pay the rent of a Government Staff boat for the 3 days in question, though he had not occasion to use it

I therefore consider that the Executive Engineer is justified in claiming the actual expenses he was really put to during those 3 days - Mr. Usher drew nothing for the journies he made by steamer -

Had Mr. Usher remained at Head-quarters, instead of going out in a Government steamer, there would have been no question as to his claim for actual expenses for his boat hire -

4. Under these circumstances I fail to see why Mr. Usher should be debarred from drawing actual expenses, when using a steamer - I therefore request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Govt. authorizing the Examiner to admit the claim -

5. I brought the above circumstances to the notice of the Examiner P. W. Accounts & requested him to admit the claim, but he says in his letter No. 786 D of 31-8-93 copy enclosed that actual expenses on account of boat rent cannot be treated as actual travelling expenses and are therefore inadmissible

6. I beg to add that the Executive Engineer did not take his boat with the steamer, if he had done so his claim for actual expenses would have been admitted. He was not put to any other actual expenses on those 3 days as defined in article 15 of the Civil Service Regulations, beyond the actual expenses incurred on the upkeep of his

I

I have the honor t

Sir,

Your most Obedient Sert.

Supdt. Engr. 1st Circ

CH
9/9

2489
3

No. 7795

From G. D. Wybrow Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED 5th
DESPATCHED 9th
RECEIVED 12th
September 1893.

No. 1449 G. D.

ENCLOSURES 1

SUBJECT.

Actual expenses incurred on the 9th, 10th & 20th March/93 by Mr. C. J. Usher Executive Engineer Requesting to obtain sanction of Government authorising the Examiner P. W. Accounts to admit the claim for — for the reasons given within

1796 W
2.7.91

M. E. I. / 6 500—18-2-93

No. 5723 G 29.11.89
" 5263 G 22.9.90
" 221 D G 15.5.91
" 1796 W G 2.7.91
" 5032 C G 30.11.92
" 8083 G 28.9.92

Ce

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

Letter from the S. E. I Circle No. 1449 G. D. dated 5th September 1893.

2487 / 4

2487 / 4

FOR ORDERS.

The Executive Engineer can perhaps be considered as having occupied his boat for the period in question. He put himself to inconvenience by not doing so. The actual expenses will probably then be admissible

I think that boat rent is certainly not actual travelling expenses within the meaning of art 1092 in the case in question.

24 Sep

21/9

No. 1795

2482/5

It seems rather hard that these actual expenses cannot be passed.

The alternative would be to remit the rent of the boat for these 3 days, but this would tell against the boat's earnings

OWB
18.9.93

The E.E. can be considered as having occupied his boat. He put himself to inconvenience by not doing so. The actual expenses can I think be passed.

JH
20/9

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

th September 1893.

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the followi

Circle, No: 1449 G/d.
1893

His Excellency the Governor-in-Council of opinion that at rent is not actual travelling expenses thin the meaning Art. 1092 of the Civil vice Regulations, d that the Examiner's sallowance of Mr. cher's claim is therefore rrect.

OWB
25.9.93

JH
25.9

B

From orders

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 2487 W a/ 28th September 1893.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the followi

Letter from the S. E. I Circle, No: 1449 G. d.
dated 5th September 1893

2487
/6

His Excellency the Governor-in-Council is of opinion that boat rent is not actual travelling expenses within the meaning of Art. 1092 of the Civil Service Regulations, and that the Examiner's disallowance of Mr. Usher's claim is therefore correct.

DESPATCHED
29 SEP. 93

2/9

OMB
25.9.93

JAL
25.9

To
The S. E. I Circle
Exr. P. W. Accts.

N° 2487 W a/28 September
1893.