

P.W.D

Pages-4 (2)

~~2~~

G.O. NO. 2382 (W)

dt 16.9.1893.

1007
Boris 91

29 AUG 1893
P. W. ACCOUNTS

2382
From the Executive Engineer, S. I.
- Chirapoli Dn, to the Superintending
Engineer, W. Circle, No. 283. S. E. Lab.
24 August 1893.

With reference to your No. 6
dated the 16th Instant, I have the
to inform you, that if the cost of the
work "Demarking Land" is to be
borne by the Company, it forms a
Contribution work and the usual
percentage charge on account of "Es-
-tablishment" & "Tools and Plant"
will have to be recovered. I have
therefore the honor to request that
you will be good enough to address
the Agent of S. I. Railway to remit
Rs 2-7-2 being the percentage charge
in addition to Rs 10 the amount of estimate.

No. 745 T.C.

Copy submitted, through
the Examiner, P. W. accounts, to the
Chief Engineer, S. I. Dn, for orders.

the Superintending Engineer doubts the propriety of demanding the prescribed cutage for Establishment and Tools & Plant from the Railway Company in the present case, or of treating the work as a contribution under paras 78 & 82 of Chap. X of the Code.

The Railway Company applied for an extent of 1.56 acres in the Cantonment of Trichinopoly, and the grant of the land was sanctioned by the Govt. of India, vide, Proceedings, Madras Govt. Military Dept. No. 3827 of 12 June 1893, but before being made over the land had to be demarcated as required by the Quarter Master General. For this purpose the Executive Engineer sanctioned an estimate of Rs 10, and on an application being made to the Officer Commanding, Southern District for an allotment of the amount from the grant for "Minor Works"

2387/2
at his disposal, the latter stated the cost of demarcating the land should be borne by the applicant - the Railway Company. Accordingly the Agent was asked in this office 683 T.C. of the 16 August/93 to remit the amount to the Executive Engineer, who considers that the cutage for Establishment and Tools & Plant should be paid by the Company in addition.

4. The demarcation of the land in question was necessary in the interests of both the Company & Govt, especially of the latter. It appears necessary to decide, for future guidance of this office, whether works of the kind in question are to be treated as "Contribution works."

McBadden Major R.E.,
Superintending Engineer
Trichinopoly

Supt. Sup. office Trichinopoly.
27 August 1893
20/-

No. 800-2 of 2-9-93

To E.W.

The Supt. Engineer
W. male.

Forwarded with the remark that
in my opinion, the rules in Code chapter
IX, para 78 et seq, for contribution works
do not apply in this case.

The Chief Engineer

P.W.D.

Mrs. J. E. Examiner P.W.D.

2. As the charge in question does not
exceed Rs.10 it may, under Code chapter
XIV, paras 97 and 98, be treated as a
final charge in Executive Engineers ac-
counts.

No. 74574

Dated 27th 2 August 1893.

Desp. 203

Deco: 4-9-93

H/1

C.

Kushy

demarcating the land
required by S.D. R. Comp. in
the Pichimoppy Cantonment.

No. 2382 W of 16 September
1893.

Submits for orders

letter from the Exec. Engr
Pichimoppy & requests
whether the prescribed con-
trib for establishment & work
& plant should be recovered
from the Comp. for —
treating the same as con-
tribution works.

The charge will be
adjusted as suggested
by the Examiner.

H/1

11/9

all

8-9-93

J.C. 1893

S.E. A. C. G.

Exec. W. Dept.

RECEIVED
1893