

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை - 8 -

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/05/1990

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/00

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

10/05/1990 துறை

அரசாணை எண். 2142	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	பலவகை
நாள் : 19.8.1993	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

No. 592 of 19-6-93.

2142
11

From.

A. M. Ford Esq.

Executive Engineer

Vonagapatam D.

To

The Superintending Engineer
First Circle

Sir

+ + +
2. Item No. 56. The estimate Rs 260 for repairing Babakhan channel was sanctioned in Register No. 697 of 88-89 and the contribution Rs 53-15-3 paid by the Kasimkota Proprietor in August 1889. The work was completed in March 1891 at a cost of Rs 254-11-0. & the correct contribution Rs 53-0-11 adjusted in October 1892. leaving a sum of Rs 0-4-4 to be returned to the proprietor. This the Collector has been requested to do.

3. You will see therefore that no outlay in excess of realisation has been incurred. As a matter of fact however the Examiner in August 1889 communicated that a sum of Rs 53-15-3 had been received through

No. 217 GL

2/102

FROM

G. D. Wyllie Esq
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

BOWLAISHWERAM, 8th July 1893.

Enclosures. /

Extract from letter
No. 592 of 19-6-93

SIR,

2623 CD 15.7.93

In continuation of my letter No. 744
G. D. dated 20th June 1893 I have the honor to
report as follows:-

Item No. 4 regarding delay in recovery of
rents of lands due for 1891-92 on Ingeram Corin-
ga & Samalkot Canals, in the Eastern Div-
Godavari. The Local Fund Engineer
forwarded to the Executive Engineer Eastern
Division Godavari a list of depôts on which
he wished to deposit materials on Canal
berms, and the Executive Engineer granted
the requisite permission according to the rules
contained in Appendix I. to the Manual P.W.D.

2. The Local Fund Engineer has paid
the rent fixed according to the rules for
the depôts only on which he had occasion
to deposit materials, and not for the depôts
he had applied. Further the Local Fund
Engineer occupied certain other depôts which



Civil exchange accounts and again
that Rs 4-9-7 had been paid back
in December 1890 through the Civil
exchange accounts so making the con-
tribution Rs 49-5-8. I do not know
why this refund was made. It is on
these figures that the Examiner keeps
making his objections.

4. The Examiner states that no work
is to be carried out in excess of con-
tribution received. It will be im-
possible to state to a rupee the value
of work in progress and if the Examiner's
orders are to be carried out I must have
a man taking measurements of each
c. yd. of earth as excavated and who
will stop the work as soon as a certain
value is reached. The work will remain
in this state until one or two more rupees
have been recovered from the proprietor
and can then proceed. I think the
Examiner need make no reason re-
mark until the work is completed when
any saving will be handed over to, and
any excess be recovered from the
Proprietor.

True Extract /

I have to be

1891 A. M. Ford

Executive Engineer
Vijayawada D.

25/7/93
10/10/93

were not included in the list for which he never applied to the Executive Engineer for permission for their occupation -

3. I am therefore in correspondence with the President District Board Godavari on the subject.

4. Item No. 6. regarding deposit contribution for repairing Babakhian channel in the Vizagapatam Division. I beg to enclose herewith extract (paras 2 to 4) from letter No. 592 dated 19th June 1893 from the Engineer Vizagapatam Division from which it will be seen that no outlay in excess of realization has been incurred, when carrying out a contribution work it is impossible at the time to say whether there may be an excess or not, and it seems to me that we must wait till the work is completed, before making the necessary adjustment.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

J. D. D. (Signature)
Sup^r Eng^r 1st Circle.

NE 439 D dated 5th August 1893

To W.

21/8/93

A sum of Rs. 53-15-3 was received in the first instance, but Rs. 4-9-7 of this amount was subsequently refunded in the Civil Department. The net amount viz Rs. 49-5-8 was therefore taken as the amount of contribution. As the expenditure on the work in question exceeded this amount objection was taken with reference to Code chapter IX, para 81, rule IV, which requires any excess over contribution to be promptly checked and placed under miscellaneous advances. It is the duty of the Executive Engineer to forestall such excesses and provide against them in due time and it is believed that this can ordinarily be done without recourse to the procedure suggested by the Executive Engineer in the present correspondence.

2. In reply to audit note for April 1893, the Executive Engineer states that the Dy. Collector has arranged to recover the amount of excess expenditure from the contributor.

J. D. D. (Signature)
C.

no 1064 W 814.4.90
" 2488 E 83.7.90
" 2623 E 810.7.90
" 2711 E 825.7.90
" 2812 E 7.8.90

54 215

6854
B. W. CURRENT No. 6275

no 1064 W 814.4.93
no 2623 C 815.7.93
no 2485 C 82.7.93

FROM

G. D. Wybrow Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED 2nd July 1893.
DESPATCHED 12th July 1893.
RECEIVED 18th July 1893.

No. 217 G.L.

ENCLOSURES 1

SUBJECT.

Objectionable items in
the accounts, remaining
unremedied up to January 1893.
Reporting on the steps taken
to remove certain



No 2711 C of 25 July 1893

To
You favour of
remarks with reference
to the explanation for
item No 6 of the C.I.
statement disposed
of in G.O. No 1064 W
dated 14th April 1893

BWB

27.7.93

Dh.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 2142 W of 19 August 1893.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Supdg. Engr I circle No. 217 G.L. of 8th July 1893
439 D 5th August 1893
Office Note from the Exr. P.W. Supts. - 27th July 1893

Recorded.

2. It is observed that
the full amount of the
contribution for repairing
the Babakhan channel
in the Vizagapatnam
division was recovered
before the work was
put in hand as required
by rule IV para 81, Chapter
IX of the P.W. Code and
that Rs 4-9-7 thereof
were subsequently refund
ed by mistake. As however
arrangements appear
to have been made to
recover the excess
expended.

Wco
15 Aug 93

expenditure from the
contributor, no further
action is necessary.

0043
14.8.93

Objectionable items
in account of I. Currie

N^o 2142 Wd 19 August 1893

Issued
and
10/8

pay
DESPATCHED
19 AUG. 93

To
The S. & I. Currie
Exr. P. W. Accts.
R 19/8/93
J^d 24/8.

Cu
Wd 21/5
14/8
23. Jan