

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை - 6 -
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் செப்டம்பர் 1990
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/90

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரிய பனிக் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2003.	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	3.8.1893.	198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3. செப்டம்பர்
1990

Superintending Engineer's Office,

No. 101016

II CIRCLE,

Dated 17th July 1893

From,

J. H. Martin Esquire,

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to G. O. No. 9984
of 12th April 1893 in the matter of
theft of property from the custody
of a magistrate I have the honor
to state that I gather from the G. O.
that Government will repudiate
in future liability for loss, and
leave the parties concerned to sue
its own officers, and leave those
officers to bear the loss, in case the
decision of the Court may be in
favor of the plaintiff.

2. If this is the way law suits
against public officers in regard
to their official acts will be treated
by

by Government in future, I request special instructions regarding the proper procedure for Public Works Officers in regard to water distribution to guard them against paying damages.

3. You are aware that in connection with the carrying out of the works of the Krishna Ancient System, and in the interests of economizing water and extending irrigation, it is often necessary to throw land out of wet cultivation. To date, it has been the practice for the local Public Works Officers to judge each case on its merits and decide whether the land should be thrown out of wet cultivation or not; and as a rule the ryots concerned have accepted the decision of the officers of the Public Works Department, but it is I think, open to them to appeal to a court for damages should they select to adopt this course. Now the question is, will Government hold its Public Works Officers responsible for the loss a court may decide a ryot is entitled to, arising out of his land having been thrown out of wet cultivation by

by Public Works Officials?
I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. Servant

W. M. M.
Superintending Engineer,
No. 11 Circle.
14-2-93

Superintendent Engineer
need be under no ~~any~~
apprehension that Govt.
will not be prepared
to compensate for loss in
the interests of general
irrigation to the
and of irrigation and
cultivation of the
sum of Rs. 257
obtain the constant
policy of Govt. based on
the system has been very decided
in the matter or to the
legality of his action

No 9920 9 12-4-93

From

The Supl Engineer
20 Circle

From

The Superintending Engineer

H. C. C.

To

The

Dated

Received

Enclosures

NO.

SUBJECT

Recd. 20.7.93
Dated 17-7-93

No 1010 Ks

Public Works Officers
Requests to know with the
looked at the respon-
sible for the loss a Court
may decide a ryot is
intended to

173

No. 20034, d/3rd August 1893

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Suptg. Engr. II Circle No 1010 K.S. dated
19th July 1893.

The reference seems to me entirely
unwarranted, & I would simply tell
him so

27/7/93

B/

The Suptg. Engr. II Circle
will be informed that his
reference is entirely uncalled
for.

28/7/93

28/7/93

To

The Suptg. Engr. II Circle
Other Suptg. Engineers
Subdt. of Works, Periyar Project
Comm. Architect to Govt.
Chief Engineer for Irrigation
Examiner of P.W. Accounts.

RECEIVED
4 AUG 93

The Suptg. Engr. II Circle,
will be informed that he
need be under no apprehen-
sion that Govt. will ask him
to pay compensation to ryots
for land that has in the
interests of general irrigation
to be thrown out of culti-
vation.

26/7

26/7/93

H. M.

Compare for 1/2 yr
for land thrown out of
cultivation.

No 2003 W. d/3^d August 93

J.C. 5.000
Oct 173
Apr 26/7.

3/10/8.