

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/8/51
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [Signature]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

[Signature] குறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1952	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	28-7-1883	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10 sheets
TO

1/1952
23RD NOVEMBER 1888, No. 3707 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 10th October 1888, No. 275 G.L.
Office note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 16th Nov. 1888, No. 2599.

ORDER—dated 23rd November 1888, No. 3707 W., Public Works.

Superintending Engineers are authorised to appoint a temporary clerk, V class, 1st grade, and a temporary lascar on Rs. 6 per mensem for employment under each permanent upper subordinate for whom no permanent or supernumerary clerks or lascars are available in a circle. The principle of discharging temporary men when permanent men become supernumerary should receive careful attention and should be enforced by the Examiner.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) H. R. MEAD, Colonel, R.E.,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. J. Henricus.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1888) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 23rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 23rd Nov. 1888, No. 3707 W.

NOVEMBER.

Establishment

Orders authorising Superintending Engineers to appoint a temporary clerk and a lascar for employment under each permanent upper subordinate for whom no permanent or supernumerary clerks or lascars are available.

4TH FEBRUARY 1893, No. 282 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

EXTRACT (paras. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 19, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 39, 44, 45 and 54) from report of an inspection of the accounts of the North Arcot Division, made by I. S. Sherlock-Hubbard, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, on the 5th to 8th December 1892, dated 10th December 1892.

Date of last inspection.—8th to 11th June 1891.

2. By whom last inspected.—Mr. M. H. Gaynor, Officiating Deputy Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

3. Orders of Government on last inspection report.—G.O., No. 2011 W., dated 24th July 1891.

4. Divisional Officers—

Major C. C. Rawson, R.E., Executive Engineer, 1st grade, from June 1891 to 9th March 1892.

Mr. J. F. Handcock, Assistant Engineer, 1st grade, from 10th to 15th March 1892.

Major A. W. Smart, R.E., Executive Engineer, 1st grade, from 16th March to 10th August 1892.

Mr. J. M. Lacey, Assistant Engineer, 2nd grade, from 11th August to 10th November 1892.

Major A. W. Smart, R.E., Executive Engineer, 1st grade, since 11th November 1892.

5. Divisional Accountants—

A. Yuvarangam Naidu (old), 5th grade, from June 1891 to 11th June 1892.

M. Govindarajulu Naidu (new), 6th grade, since 12th June 1892.

6. Constitution of the Division—

Name of sub-division.	Name of sub-divisional officer.	Rank and grade.	Amount of imprest.	Remarks.
Vellore	Mr. J. Mahony	Sub-Engineer	Rs. 100	Is a disburser.
	Sergeant E. Price	Overseer, 1st grade	100	
	A. Loganatha Mudaliar	Clerk	10	
	Mr. J. M. Lacey	Assistant Engineer, 2nd grade.	100	
Banipet	S. K. Govinda Row	Supervisor, 1st grade	200	Is a disburser.
	S. K. Ramachandra Sastri	Overseer, 1st grade	200	
	R. Veerasawmy Iyengar	Temporary Supervisor, 2nd grade.	20	
	B. Subba Row	Clerk	20	
Arni	C. R. Chinnasawmy Iyengar	Supervisor, 2nd grade	600	Is a disburser.
	P. M. Arumugam Pillay	Overseer, 2nd grade	100	
	W. Ethiraja Mudaliar	Temporary Overseer, 2nd grade.	100	
	S. Panchapakesu Iyer	Overseer, 3rd grade, sub. pro tem.	25	
	M. Moorogeesa Mudaliar	Head Clerk, Divisional office	100	To be withdrawn in consequence of transfer of No. 4 Party, Tank Restoration Scheme, to Patukota taluk, Tanjore district.
	J. M. Bell	Executive Engineer		

7. The accounts for September 1892 were test-audited, but I had not the opportunity of discussing any of the points noticed in this report with the Executive Engineer who was unavoidably absent from head-quarters.

19. *Non-submission of vouchers.*—This point was noticed by the Deputy Auditor-General in his recent inspection of the Examiner's office, and the Comptroller-General's orders upon it were stringent. The following vouchers up to 30th September 1892 are still due from this division :—

No.	Month to which relates.	Central office voucher No.	Amount.	From whom due.
			RS. A. P.	
1	February 1892	15	30 0 0	Arni sub-division.
2	April	152	10 0 0	Do.
3	"	153	13 8 9	Do.
4	May	286	3 7 2	Ranipet sub-division.
5	"	131	272 8 2	Do.
6	June	80	15 0 0	Do.
7	"	96	19 8 0	Do.
8	"	298	5 4 0	Do.
9	July	379	94 0 0	Do.
10	August	15	390 0 0	Arni sub-division.
11	"	117	23 0 0	Do.
12	"	370	45 0 0	Do.
13	September 1892	44	15 7 9	Ranipet sub-division.
14	"	161	12 0 0	Do.
15	"	272	10 0 0	Do.
16	"	311	46 13 0	Arni sub-division.

Their submission should be expedited and measures adopted to ensure that a voucher is always obtained before a payment is made.

24. *Completed measurement books.*—A register has been opened with reference to G.O., No. 1698 W., dated 4th June 1892, for all books issued since May 1892, and it provides a column for the dates of their return to the Divisional office duly completed, but no steps appeared to have been taken to call in completed books previously issued. This is necessary under the Government Order in question and also under Government of India Code, volume I, chapter XII, para. 96 G.

25. The "personal ledger" of the above register is not, in my opinion, convenient. It does not show, without the turning over of several pages, where each measurement book is, and to secure this the following form is suggested in preference to it as showing what is required in one line :—

No. of book.	Date of receipt.	Date of issue.	To whom issued.	Date of return duly completed.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6

Columns 1 and 2 should be posted immediately on receipt of the books, concurrently with the entry, "received from the Superintendent, Government Press, Madras," in the form suggested in the following paragraph and the remaining columns as each transaction takes place.

26. No record whatever is kept of measurement books *received* or of the balance in hand on any date, and it is suggested that, in addition to the above form of register (by means of which the return of the books can be checked), a combined form for receipts, issues, and balance such as that described in para. 2 of my office-note No. 738 S.A., dated 29th May 1892 (published with the Government Order above quoted) should be brought into use in every division. It is in fact a stock book (showing receipts, issues and balance) and combines with it forwarding letter and acknowledgment which are at present written on separate sheets of paper.

27. The "balance" as shown in this form should always agree with the total of un-issued books as entered in the form described in the preceding paragraph.

28. I beg that orders on these three paragraphs may be communicated to the department generally, on receipt by me of which both forms will be printed.

31. Payments on account to contractors above Rs. 500 each and all final payments are made by the Executive Engineer, and as a rule no undue delay appears to take place between date of measurement and payment (whether by the Divisional office or by the Sub-divisional officers); but it is worthy of consideration whether Sub-divisional officers may not be allowed to make *all* "payments on account," even above Rs. 500, as authorized in G.O., No. 2668 W., dated 26th September 1892. This would avoid the delay that now occurs from such bills having to be sent to the Divisional office for payment.

32. The following instances were noticed in which some delay did occur :—

Sub-division.	Central office voucher No.	Date of measurement.	Date on which measurement was checked or work inspected.	Date of payment.	Amount.
1. Vellore	129	21st January 1892.	24th July 1892	24th September 1892.	RS. A. P. 59 8 3
2. Do.	192	27th November 1891.	7th February 1892.	22nd "	27 4 1
3. Do.	231	27th February 1892.	26th August 1892	26th "	34 8 5

Payment of item 2 was apparently delayed in consequence of the contractor's refusal to accept the measurements allowed. There is another case of this kind in which contractors Theruvangadasamy Mudaliar and Co. claim about Rs. 7,000 more than that admitted. The work was measured on 20th July 1892 by the Sub-divisional officer, Ranipet, who submitted the bill to the Divisional office on 15th August 1892. The contractors subsequently submitted an appeal to the Superintending Engineer, who, in his No. 572/N.A., dated 8th October 1892, ordered that the claim "should be settled in strict accordance with the provisions of their agreement." The matter is still unsettled owing to a dispute between the two partners of the firm.

33. In addition to the foregoing, the following is a lengthy list of unsettled claims. The Divisional office appears only recently to have taken steps for the settlement of these balances, but something more than this appears urgently called for :—

Month from which outstanding.	Sub-division.	Name of contractor.	Amount.
December 1890	Ranipet	K. Appaji Row	RS. A. P. 0 7 0
March 1891	Do.	Do.	24 11 4
July 1891	Do.	A. Nageswara Iyer	4 9 6
Do.	Do.	S. Sreenivasachary	31 14 11
Do.	Arni	A. Balasundra Mudaliar	* 30 8 0
Do.	Do.	P. Ramaswamy Iyer	17 4 6
Do.	Do.	Do.	148 8 2
August 1891	Do.	W. Ragavachary	* 13 8 11
September 1891	Do.	P. Ramaswamy Iyer	405 1 6
Do.	Do.	Elayaperumal Odayar	7 15 7
Do.	Do.	A. Theperumal Mudaliar	35 7 10
October 1891	Do.	Do.	58 8 5
Do.	Do.	P. Ramaswamy Iyer	108 0 4
Do.	Do.	W. Ragavachary	* 143 12 2
November 1891	Do.	Ramakrishna Naidu	* 61 15 10
Do.	Ranipet	Appaswamy Mudaliar	33 2 8
December 1891	Do.	Ramakrishna Naidu	* 79 12 6
Do.	Do.	Subba Reddi	28 4 0
January 1892	Do.	Ramanujulu Chetty and Ramiah Naidu	7 5 3
Do.	Do.	Vasupala Nainar	116 2 5
Do.	Do.	K. Subramania Iyer	233 14 1
Do.	Do.	Balakrishna Odayar and Theruvengadachary	56 12 0
February 1892	Do.	do.	66 9 11
March 1892	Ranipet	Chenganna Chetty	30 13 0
April 1892	Do.	Murthy Naicker and Sreenivasachary	157 5 8
Do.	Do.	P. Kesava Mudaliar	4 0 3
Do.	Do.	Govindareju Pillai	11 3 10
Do.	Do.	S. M. Visvanatha Iyer	4 5 4
May 1892	Do.	Ramarunga Iyengar	14 14 3
Do.	Do.	Veeraswamy Iyer	55 15 6
Do.	Do.	Do.	152 13 10
June 1892	Arni	P. Ramaswamy Mudaliar	58 6 3
	Ranipet	Kalasti Raja	14 9 9

Month from which outstanding.	Sub-division.	Name of contractor.	Amount.
June 1892	Ranipet	P. Visvaratha Iyer and Ramaranga Iyengar	177 6 0
Do.	Do.	Kommathay Mudaliar	0 3 8
Do.	Do.	Do.	0 0 3
Do.	Do.	S. M. Vivanathiar	20 0 2
Do.	Do.	Do.	5 6 9
Do.	Arni	Anantha Sesa Sastri	11 5 11
Do.	Do.	Subbrayer	2 15 4
Do.	Do.	W. K. Ramaswamy Naidu	24 4 2
Do.	Ranipet	Ramachandra Mudaliar	5 11 3
Do.	Do.	Subramania Mudaliar	17 13 3
Do.	Arni	Ramaswamy Thakshadar	17 1 3
Do.	Do.	Arunachella Mudaliar	17 11 4
Do.	Do.	Do.	32 5 11
Do.	Ranipet	Ramaranga Iyengar	36 5 9
Do.	Do.	Do.	21 4 9
July 1892	Do.	A. Nagaswara Iyer	12 1 3
Do.	Do.	Velmuruga Mudaliar	45 12 10
Do.	Do.	Achama Naidu	24 3 11
Do.	Do.	Muthu	34 8 11
Do.	Do.	Do.	25 13 1
Do.	Do.	Swami Iyer	34 3 11
Do.	Do.	Chinnaya Mudaliar	6 4 3
Do.	Do.	Do.	4 5 7
Do.	Do.	Chengama Raja	27 11 9
Do.	Do.	Do.	5 6 7
Do.	Do.	Do.	2 5 9
Do.	Do.	Kothandarama Naidu	92 12 11
Do.	Do.	Appaswamy Mudaliar	18 13 7
Do.	Arni	Meerasodeen Sahib	13 16 9
Do.	Do.	Devaspala Naimar	40 1 2
Do.	Do.	Ramachandra Mudaliar	18 7 7
Do.	Do.	Do.	11 4 3
Do.	Ranipet	Papa Rajagopala Charriyar	12 15 2
Do.	Do.	Do.	30 7 2
Do.	Arni	Arunachella Mudaliar	55 0 0
Do.	Do.	Balukrishna Iyer and Theruvengada Charry	110 6 3
Do.	Do.	Do.	97 1 10
Do.	Do.	Narasimha Ragaravachari and Narasimhachari	75 5 4
Do.	Do.	Veeraswamy Naidu and Ramachandra Mudaliar	9 3 8
Do.	Do.	Do.	73 13 4

The Sub-divisional officer, Arni, in his letter, No. 579 E.E., dated 30th November 1892, stated that final bills for the six works marked above with an asterisk, which were in course of preparation, would be submitted soon and that as there was water in other tanks final bills for the remaining works in his sub-division could not be submitted until the works are completed.

39. The following are noticed as a few instances of works on which no expenditure has been recorded for more than three months:—

Name of work.	Amount of estimate.	Outlay to 31st October 1892.	No outlay recorded.
Poythallere large Paderi tank, constructing sluice	Rs. 340	Rs. 310 3 3	Since 1st April.
Vellambi tank, constructing L. L. sluice	720	713 3 7	" 1st May.
Do. constructing an escape	366	302 6 6	" 1st June.
Do. do. a sluice and a regulator	280	57 5 2	" 1st May.
Kahanam tank, constructing 1 and 2 weirs	2,070	1,815 7 10	" 1st August.
Poythallere Paderi small tank, repairs	2,330	1,911 12 7	" 1st May.
Vellambi tank, repairs	2,000	1,900 1 6	" 1st June.
Poincy channel, cutting pathways in	450	190 0 0	" 1st May.
Poincy aicut, eastern main channel, petty repairs	2,565	2,413 2 6	" 1st "
Kukundi branch channel, drop. No. 6	830	728 3 9	" 1st June.
Kaveripak tank bund, constructing superintendent's quarters at	410	12 0 0	" 1st April.
Tennampetter channel from Mecherri weir and sluice, clearing	1,950	1,265 7 4	" 1st June.
Manamathurai tank and channel, providing	585	539 13 0	" 1st August.
Kickalathur tank, constructing head sluice to the channel	500	694 15 7	" 1st July.
Muddar Hissa tank, repairs to weir and raising and strengthening the bund.	2,900	2,994 12 2	" 1st August.

If the works have been abandoned or completed, it is desirable that the accounts for them should be closed.

44. *Comparison of actual outlay with estimated expenditure.*—Sufficient attention does not appear to have been paid to the instructions given in paras. 4 and 5 of Examiner's circular No. 7, dated 13th August 1892, with a view to securing close approximation of actual outlay to forecasts of expenditure in applications for letters of credit. Each Sub-divisional officer applies for lump sum credits on different treasuries and their applications appear to undergo no scrutiny whatever in the Divisional office. For expenditure in December lump sum applications were received from all the Sub-divisional officers. Sub-divisional applications for funds for December bear no indication of having been even seen by the Executive Engineer.

45. The subject is one to which my attention has been directed by the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, and it is very necessary that its importance, as explained in para. 2 of the circular quoted above, should be fully recognized by all Divisional and Sub-divisional officers and it is most desirable that the matter should be emphasized by a general order of Government.

54. *Records.*—The destruction of valueless records in accordance with Government of India Code, volume I, chapter VI, para. 40, calls for attention.

No. 5 A.

Submitted to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, for favour of orders.

MADRAS,
17th January 1893.

(Signed) I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras.

ORDER—dated 4th February 1893, No. 282 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

2. The submission of the vouchers referred to in para. 19 should be expedited, and the attention of the Executive Engineer, North Arcot division, should be drawn to the remarks in paras. 31 and 32.

3. The Superintending Engineer will report what steps have been, or are about to be, taken to adjust the unsettled claims enumerated in para. 33 and will explain the circumstances which have led to the excessive delay in payments.

4. The Examiner's suggestions in paras. 39 and 54 should receive the Superintending Engineer's consideration.

5. The proposals in paras. 24 to 27 are approved for general adoption, and steps will be taken by the Examiner to issue the necessary forms.

6. The attention of all officers of the department is drawn to the remarks in paras. 44 and 45.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" other Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.
" the Superintendent of Works, Priyār Project.
" the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Ex. C. A. Munisawmy.
are Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 4th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 4th Feb. 1893, No. 282 W.

FEBRUARY.

Inspection Report of the North
Arcot Division

Passing orders on the— by the Examiner,
Public Works Accounts, and drawing the
attention of all officers of the department
to his remarks in paras. 44 and 45 thereof.

9TH FEBRUARY 1893, No. 367 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., dated 19th December 1892, Mis. No. 5574, Revenue.

Read—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 28th October 1892,
Mis. No. 6977.

Read—the following letter from Lt.-Col. R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, R.E.,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, to the Collector of Salem, dated 6th
October 1892, No. 348.

As called for in G.O., No. 1733 W., dated 21st June 1890, embodied in Revenue
Department endorsement No. 3519, dated 9th idem, I have the honour to forward, for
your approval and transmission to Government for sanction and provision of funds,
plans and estimates for the following works:—

1. Constructing a 1st-class taluk office at Tiruchengód	...	...	RS. 38,280
2. Do. do. at Tirupatúr	...	...	40,980

2. Revised standard design with tiled roof approved of in G.O., No. 3023 W.,
dated 11th November 1891, has been adopted for the above offices.

3. No provision was made in the printed estimate circulated with the above
quoted Government order for record racks, &c., which, however, have been provided
in these estimates, as they are absolutely necessary.

Endorsement by the Collector of Salem, dated 15th October 1892, No. 3509.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) with the estimates and
plans countersigned.

2. Accommodation is provided in both the plans for the Stationary Sub-Magis-
trate's Court, although at present it is only for Tirupatúr that one has been sanctioned.

Resolution—dated 28th October 1892, Mis. No. 6977.

The plans and estimates for constructing two first-class taluk cutcherries, one at
Tiruchengód and another at Tirupatúr, at a cost of Rs. 38,280 and Rs. 40,980, respec-
tively, received with the letter read above, are submitted for the sanction of Govern-
ment (subject to the modification proposed in para. 4 below) with reference to G.O.,
No. 4027, dated 30th June 1890.

2. In his report accompanying the estimate for the Tirupatúr taluk office, the
Executive Engineer says that he has provided for a latrine for prisoners. This is
unnecessary with reference to G.O., dated 8th September 1882, No. 892, J.D. No
provision, however, has been made for public latrines in either of the buildings as
required in G.O., dated 28th May 1889, No. 1409 W. The latrine intended for
prisoners may, however, be used by the taluk officials and the public.

3. The Board observes that the compound wall for Tiruchengód cutcherry is estimated at Rs. 4,197 and for Tirupatúr at Rs. 3,982. The estimate seems high, but the large amount is probably required for walling the whole of the compound.

4. With reference to para. 2 of the Collector's letter, the Board considers that as no Stationary Sub-Magistrate has been sanctioned for Tiruchengód, no accommodation need be provided for such an officer in the proposed taluk cutcherry at that place.

Order—dated 19th December 1892, Mis. No. 5574, Revenue.

The plans and estimates will be transferred to the Public Works Department with an expression of concurrence in the Board's remarks in para. 2.

2. With reference to the last sentence of para. 3, the Government considers that a barbed wire fence with at least four strands of wire is preferable to a compound wall.

3. The Government does not concur in the Board's remark in para. 4. Before long every taluk will have a Stationary Sub-Magistrate and it will be much cheaper to build a court for him at once than to add it on two or three years hence. Moreover, a new cutcherry on the standard plan will be lopsided if the Stationary Sub-Magistrate's Court is omitted. In any case, two courts will be wanted, as, if there is no Stationary Sub-Magistrate, there will be a Sheristadar exercising magisterial powers and when he is trying cases there must be some accommodation for the Tahsildar.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary to Government.

ORDER—dated 9th February 1893, No. 367 W., Public Works.

The estimates received with the foregoing Proceedings have been reduced with reference to para. 2 thereof by omitting the provision for compound walls and sanction is accorded to the reduced amounts as shown below :—

Provincial.

	RS.	
1. Constructing a first-class taluk office at Tiruchengód in the Salem district	33,660	No. 108 W. of 1892-93.
2. Constructing a first-class taluk office at Tirupatúr in the Salem district	36,600	No. 109 W. of 1892-93.

2. The Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, will submit a supplemental estimate for enclosing each of the offices with a barbed wire fence.

3. The current year's grant for each of the works is Rs. 6,000.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle,
with plans and estimates (16).
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Revenue Department.
Editors' Table.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 9th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th Feb. 1893, No. 367 W.

FEBRUARY.

Taluk Offices at Tiruchengod
and Tirupatur

Estimates reduced respectively to Rs. 33,660 and Rs. 36,600 for constructing first-class — in the Salem district, sanctioned with remarks.

Notification

Fort St George. April 22, 1895

The following amendment
is made under the Steam
Boilers and Prime Movers
Act 1893:—

Mr. J. A. Turner, M.I.A.E.
to be Inspector under
the said Act

(sd) J. Pennycook
Col. R.E.

Secy to Govt. P.W.D.

Caw
T

8/1952

These plates of the
Technical Arteries
were received during
last week from the
Educational Dept
without any covering
memo. Instructions are
required for their distribution.
The file connected with
them is herewith put up.

CWR

19.7.93

51
To be forwarded to the Secretary of State
with reference to Encl 46-4345 of 18 June 89.

3 x 13 1/2
24/12/89

N^o 1952 W of 28 - July 1893.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

10/1952

Read the following

to be taken from the Ed. Art., forwarding 5 copies each
of Plates XII & XIII of the Technical Art
Series, with explanatory lists.

Three copies of
each plate, with
explanatory lists, will
be forwarded to the
Comy. Architect.

22/7/93

Plates returned
to Madras 28/7

To The C. A. Govt.
with 3 copies of each
plate & list.

DESPATCHED
23 JUL 93

Two copies of each plate & list
sent to I for custody.

1872 W 8 12-7-87
 1349 W 8 20-6-87
 1670 W 8 25-6-87
 5149 C 8 6-12-92
 3533 W 8 24-12-92
 2453 C 8 28-6-93

N-1952 Waf 28 July 1898

J ^{tho}

C-28/11

1870 N 27/7

1870