(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 24

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் ஜனவரி 1-91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணி துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். — 1886 W. அச்சகம் / பலவகை

நாள் : 21. 7. 1893 ~~196~~

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

வே

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

1886/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated	20th May	1893,	No.	1791 C.
" " " "	27th June	"	"	2309 C.

Order—dated 21st July 1893, No. 1886 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the revised statement, submitted by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, with his second office-note recorded above, be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India.

[Here enter No. $\frac{7}{1886}$ W., dated 21st July 1893.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. HANNAN,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
General, Buildings and Roads Branch.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated July, 21st.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 21st July 1893, No. 1886 W.

JULY.

Establishment Charges

Revised statement of — applicable to the construction of the Periyár and Rushikulya projects in 1893–94 ordered to be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

21st July 1893, No. $\frac{7}{1886}$ W., Public Works.

1886/2

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letter—to

The Right Honorable the SECRETARY of STATE for INDIA.

My Lord,

We have the honour to forward, herewith, five copies of each of the following budget estimates of the Public Works Department for the year 1893–94 :—

Final Issue.

1. Imperial Military Works.
2. „ Civil „
3. Provincial „ „
4. Incorporated Local Funds.
5. Excluded Local Funds.
6. Irrigation Major Works.
7. „ Minor „ and Navigation.

2. With reference to Lord Cross' despatch No. 7 (Public Works), dated 18th August 1887, we attach a statement showing the approximate amount of establishment charges applicable to the construction of the Periyár and the Rushikulya projects, the only works in progress in this Presidency on which special establishments are exclusively employed. It will be observed that the cost of the establishment chargeable to the Periyár project is 9·2 per cent. less than that estimated for the previous year and 2·6 below the 23 per cent. usually allowed in this Presidency. In the case of the Rushikulya project, the percentage for the current official year is 2·6 in excess of that for 1892–93, but does not exceed the usual rate referred to above.

We have the honour to be,
My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) WENLOCK.
(„) H. E. STOKES.
(„) J. H. GARSTIN.

Ootacamund,
21st July 1893.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.

Statement showing the approximate estimated amount of establishment charges applicable to works under construction in 1893–94.

System of works for which Capital and Revenue accounts are kept.	Capital expenditure on works.	ESTABLISHMENT.												Grand total.	Percentage of establishment on Capital expenditure on works.
		Direction and accounts.			Construction.										
		Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Superintending Engineer.	Accounts, Central office.	Total.	Engineer.	Upper subordinate.	Lower subordinate.	Petty.	Accounts.	Office.	Travelling allowance.	Contingencies.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Periyár Project.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Rateable share of General Provincial establishment	(a) 8,37,561	(b) 8,129	16,495	24,624	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	24,624	
Charge for establishment to be actually employed on the work	..	(c) 1,200	..	1,200	47,400	44,964	5,700	13,860	6,360	21,132	12,876	2,856	1,55,148	1,56,348	
Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,80,972	20·4
Rushikulya Project.															
Rateable share of General Provincial establishment	(d) 3,49,593	(e) 16,147	6,497	22,644	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	22,644	
Charge for establishment to be actually employed on the work	..	..	..	..	22,800.	13,488	600	2,904	960	6,384	8,964	1,716	57,816	57,816	
Total ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	80,460	23·0

(a) Excludes Rs. 28,000 on account of land.

(b) Share of Superintending Engineer's establishment on account of Periyár No. II division.

(c) Extra allowance at Rs. 100 a month to Superintendent of Works, Periyár No. I division.

(d) Excludes Rs. 18,000 on account of land.

	RS.
(e) Share of Chief Engineer's (Irrigation) establishment	4,639
" of Superintending Engineer's "	11,508
Total ..	16,147

1888/3

GOVT. OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

(Copy.)

LETTER to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

No. $\frac{7}{1336\text{ W.}}$, dated 21st July 1893.

FORWARDING, with remarks, the final issues of the budget estimates for 1893-94.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

(C. No. $\frac{1201}{8}$.)

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1893. $\frac{1886}{C}$ { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20th May 1893.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1791-C

To

W

With reference to G.O. No. 2460W dated 13th September 1887, I beg to submit herewith the statement showing the approximate estimated amount of Establishment Charges applicable to Periyar and Rushikulya Projects for the year 1893-94.

20/5

C. 20/5

20/5

(Sueme)

P.W. CUR T No. 4764

Recd. 25-5-93

G.O. No. 24 to W d/13-9-87
- 10/2015 W d/25-7-92

0/6/6/93

CC 67-6

R/M6

5801

4764

2329C

1886/6

Note

The excess cost of the establt. employed on the Rushikulya Project, under "upper subordinate" appears to be due chiefly to the machinery supervisor having been transferred from "Works" to Temporary upper subordinate establt.–

With regard to "Engineer Establt", the Secy. appears to have based his figures on the audit for March 1893, before Mr. de Morgan's departure on furlough. April's audit under this head will not give a fair estimate for the year, as Mr. de Morgan's subsidiary leave pay from 1st to 12th of that month was charged to the Division. The cost of the Engr. establt. employed in the divn. in May will give a fairly accurate estimate for the year.

CC
6/6/93

No. 2329C

1886/4

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 13 June 1893.

OFFICE NOTE.

From
W

To
C

Your office note No: 1791-C of
0th May 1893.

2. The probable Cost of establishments
be employed on the Rushikulya
roject during 1893-94 is shown as
58,068 and exceeds the estimate
or 1892-93 by Rs 6036 the excess
ccurring chiefly under the heads
ngineer, "Upper Subordinate" and
tty" establishments. The cause
excess under each head should
e explained as, without such
planation, the statement can
ot be forwarded to the Secretary
State.

The figures given in the statement
ppear to have been taken from
e audits for one particular month,
Viz. for March 1893.

Jy.

Carried over 425

P. W. CURRENT No. 4764

Reco: 25-5-93

G. O. M. 24 to W d/13-9-87.
- 10/20 to 15 W d/25-7-92

0/6/6/93

M 67-

No. 23290

1880/4

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 13 June 1893.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W.

To

C.

Your office note No: 1791-C of 20th May 1893.

2. The probable Cost of establishment to be employed on the Rushikulya project during 1893-94 is shown as Rs 58,068 and exceeds the estimate for 1892-93 by Rs 6036 the excess occurring chiefly under the heads "Engineer," "Upper Subordinate" and "Petty" establishments. The Cause of excess under each head should be explained as, without such explanation, the statement cannot be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

3. The figures given in the statement appear to have been taken from the audits for one particular month, viz. for March 1893 - Jz.

Carried over 425

If so, the probable changes during the year should also have been taken into account. The statement, herewith returned, should be revised, with reference to the foregoing remark and resubmitted with the requisite explanations. The audit for May 1893 may be taken as fairly representative of the Establt. that will be employed on the Project for the year 1893-94.

(Sd) J. H.
W.

No 2329 C d/ 13 June 1893

b. G.O. No [illegible] 60 W d/ 13-9-87
" 10/2085 W d/ 25-7-92

14/6

DESPATCHED
14 JUN. 93

3rd May

No. 2309. C d/ 27. 6. 93

To
W.

1886/8

The statement is herewith returned duly revised in respect of the probable cost of establishment to be employed on the Rushikulya Project during 1893-94 based on the audits for April 1893 as many of the Salary, Travelling Allowance and Contingent Bills for May 1893 have not yet been received.

2. The estimate for 1892-93 was based on the actual audits for February 1892, and the difference of Rs 6836 pointed out in para 2 of your Office Note is due to the following causes:-

Engineer Establishment

i Pay drawn for Mr. Medlicott during February 1892 —— Rs 475
ii Pay drawn for Mr. W. [illegible] during February 1893 —— " 600
Difference Rs 125

Upper Subordinate Establishment

i Pay of two temporary Upper Subordinates at Rs 60 —— 120
ii —do— of Lieut. Joyce, Machinery Supervisor —— 150
iii —do— of an Engineer Student —— 30
Total Rs 300

P. W. CURRENT No. 5801

Brought forward Rs 425

Petty and Office Establishment

Difference between the audits for February 1892 and February 1893 —————— 78

Total Rs 503 per mensem or Rs 6036 per annum

3. The estimate amounting to Rs 58068 for 1893-94 was based on the audits for February 1893 (and not March 1893 as supposed by you) and although Rs 52032 was entered as the probable audits for 1892-93, yet the actuals for that same period amounted to Rs 57863 or only Rs 205 less than the probable audits for 1893-94.

4. As the corrected statement based on the audits for April 1893 amounts to Rs 57816, it is left for the Government to decide whether this corrected figure or whether the original figure based on the audits for February 1893 should be adopted.

Encl 2

Recd. 28. 6. 93

29/6

C.

26/6

27/7

No. 2460 W d/ 13. 9. 87
Despatch No. $\frac{10}{2065}$ W d/ 26. 7. 92
No. 2329 C d/ 13. 6. 93

Bright

O.S. 124

C/

No. 2329 C

1886/9

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 13th June 1893.

OFFICE NOTE.

From
W

15/6

To
C

Your office note No: 1791 C d/ 20th May 1893.

2. The probable cost of establishment to be ~~actually~~ employed on the Rushikulya project during 1893-94 is shown as Rs. 58068 and exceeds the estimate for 1892-93 by Rs. 6036, the excess occurring chiefly under the heads "Engineer", "Upper Subordinate", and "Petty" Establishments. The cause

Cause of excess under each head should be explained as, without such explanation, the statement cannot be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

3 The figures given in the Statement appear to have been taken from the audits for one particular month, viz for March 1893. If so, the probable changes during the year should also have been taken into account. The statement, herewith returned, should be revised with reference to the foregoing remark and resubmitted with the requisite explanation. The audits for May 1893 may be taken as fairly representative of the estabt. that will be employed on the Project for the year 1893-94.

P.E.1 / 5801/59

8/6/93

9/6

EXAMINER
942
15 JUN 1893
ACCOUNTS

Statement shewing the approximate estimated amount of Establishment charges applicable to work under construction in 1893-94.

System of Works for which Capital and Revenue Accounts are kept	Capital Expenditure on Works	Establishment														Percentage of Establishment on Capital Expenditure on Works	Remarks
		Direction and Accounts			Construction									Grand Total			
		Chief Engineer for Irrigation and Superintending Engineer	Account Central Office	Total	Engineer	Upper Subordinate	Lower Subordinate	Petty	Account	Office	Travelling Allowance	Contingencies	Total			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
Periyar Project																
Rateable share of General Provincial Establishment	(a) 887561	(b) 8129	16495	24,624	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	24624		(a) Excludes Rs 28000 on account of Land. (b) Share of Superintending Engineer's Establishment on account of Periyar No II Division (c) Extra allowance at Rs 100 a month to Superintendent of Works Periyar No I Division
Charge for Establishment to be actually employed on the work		(c) 1200	"	1200	47400	44964	5700	13860	6360	21132	12876	2856	155748	156348		
														180972	20.4	
Rushikulya Project																
Rateable share of General Provincial Establishment	(d) 349593	(e) 16147	6497	22644	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22644		(d) Excludes Rs 18000 on account of Land (e) Share of Chief Engineer's (Irrigation) Establishment Rs 4639 — do — of Superintending Engineer's — do — 11508 16147
Charge for Establishment to be actually employed on the work		"	"	"	22800	13488 ~~13188~~	600	2904 ~~2920~~	960	6304 ~~[illegible]~~	9044 ~~7766~~	1716 ~~1608~~	57816 ~~[illegible]~~	57816 ~~[illegible]~~		
														~~[illegible]~~ 80460	23.0 ~~[illegible]~~	

Madras
May 1893

for Examiner of P. W. Accounts, Madras.

1880/10

20/5

EXAMINER P. W. ACCOUNTS
No. 942 C
15 JUN 1893

PWE.42
~~[illegible]~~/93
5801

1.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 1886 W. d/ 21st July 1893.

Genl Estab.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1886

READ the following

Office note from the Exar. P.W. accts. No: 1791C d/ 20th May 1893
— do — do — No: 2309C d/ 27th June 1893

Ordered that the revised statement submitted by the Examiner, P.W. Accts with his second office note recorded above, be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India

H. E. No: W. d/

To the Exar. P.W. Accts. Dt. 21/7

To
The Right Hon. the Secy of State
for India

My Lord.

We have the honor to forward herewith five copies of each of the following budget estimates of the P.W. Dept. for the year 1893-94 –

Final Issue

1. Imperial Military Works
2. — " — Civil — " —
3. Provincial — " — " —
4. Incorporated Local Funds

Excluded

(40—2,000—12-5-93.)

Hw

5. Excluded Local Funds.
6. Irrigation Major Works
7. — " — Minor Works and Navigation

2. With reference to Lord Cross' despatch No: 7 Public Works, d/18th August 1887, we attach a statement showing the approximate amount of establishment charges applicable to the construction of the Periyar and the Rushikulya projects, the only works in progress in this Presidency on which special establishments are exclusively employed. It will be observed that the cost of the establishment chargeable to the Periyar Project is 9.2 per cent less than that estimated for the previous year and 2.6 below the 23 per cent usually allowed in this Presidency. In the case of the Rushikulya Project, the percentage for the current finance year is 2.6 in excess of that for 1892-93 but does not exceed the usual rate referred to above.

Budget estimates [illegible] 1893-94, forwarded to [illegible] Secretary of State

Hw
4 July

D
27/7/93

OCLS
3.7.93

GX
3/7

Ch

OLS 12.4
Hw 3-7.

1886.
12

W.O. C No. 5801

Govt. of (1893) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated 8/7/93

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 21st July 1893. No. 1886 W

4/2

Establishment Charges

=

1886 W

Revised statement of — applicable to the Construction of the Periyar & Rushikulya projects in 1893-94 ordered to be forwarded to the S of S

Budget Estimates

=

Forwarding, with remarks the final issues of the — for 1893-94