

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 6-90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் los

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

மெஜிஸ்ட்ரேட் துறை

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>1755 W</u>	அச்சம் பலவகை
நாள் :	<u>6 - 7 - 1893</u>	<u>198</u>

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

மெஜிஸ்ட்ரேட்

Rs 5 p 10

Office of Superintendent of Works
No. 1 Periyar Division
Kodaikanal June 1893

From

1755

S. D. Pears Esq^{re}

Superintendent of Works
No. 1 Periyar Division

To

The Chief Engineer.

Public Works Department
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to inform
you that on ^{my} assuming charge of this
Division from Mr de Winton in January,
I placed Conductor R. A. Bragg, Sub-
Engineer in charge of Periyar Sub Division,
and Subdivision allowance was subsequently
sanctioned to him by you in your No 540.
dated

dated 6-2-93.

The Examiner now takes exception to this arrangement firstly under Code ^{V. ch. para} 1-1-32 and secondly on the ground that an Assistant Engineer (Mr Hutton) was available for the charge by which means the grant of the Sub-division allowance would have been avoided.

I have pointed out to the Examiner that the Code paragraph mentioned refers only to the case of Sub-Overseers placed in charge of Subdivisions. I have also informed him that the arrangements made were made for administrative reasons, resting solely on the personal qualifications of the Officer and Subordinate in question. His reply is however, that "the Sanction of

1755/2
of Government to the arrangement is necessary and is awaited," and I therefore submit the Case for your disposal and orders.


I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

S. D. Vass.
Superintendent of Works
N. I. Pargar Division
Stry

P. W. CURRENT No. 5123

no 540 C 8 6 2 70

5587/93



From

The Superintendent of Works
No. I Periyar Division

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras

No. 51 C2

Enclosure

Dated 2nd

Received 5th

June 1893.

187-C
17 1 93

Sub Division Allowance

Submits for disposal
and orders the case of —

to Mr R. A. Bragg
Conductor, Sub Engineers
in Charge of Periyar

Sub Division, for reasons
stated within.

Shankar 63
5.5.6.93

21/6

1255
3

It seems to me
that under para
65. the the of the
code, which the
L.P.W. was at
liberty to select
either an A.C.
Eng. or an Upper
Subordinate for
charge of the Sub-
I don't see how
the Eng. can
object to the
arrangement made
by the L.P.W.

The letter might
be in the first
instance to C. for
reference, calling
his attention to the
code para above
mentioned. All

No. 2332 C

1755
Government of Madras. A

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 13th June 1893.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

C. N. 5123.

Submits for disposal and
orders the case of sub-
divisional allowances to
Conductor R. A. B. Wagg,
Sub-Engineer in charge
of Penang Sub-Division.

Letter from the Super-
intendent of Works N. I
Penang D. N. 51 C.E. of
2nd June 1893.


For favour of
remarks as to the
grounds on which the
sanction of Government
is considered necessary.

2/14/93
Please see para 65
Chapter III of the P. W. Code.
Under this para the
Superintendent of Works
was apparently at liberty

to select either an
Assistant Engineer or
an Upper Subordinate
for charge of the
sub division.

Edw. J. A.

M.



No. 2330 C. d/13 June 1893

No. 2330 C. d/13 June 1893

Government of Madras

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C

Letter from the Super-
intendent of Works S-I
Perinan D. S. No. 51. C. E. d/2²
June 1893.

For favour of remarks
as to the grounds on
which the sanction of
Government is con-
sidered necessary.

Please see para 65
Chapter



Chapter III of the P.W.
code. Under this para,
the Superintendent of
works was apparently
at liberty to select
either an Assistant
Engineer or an Upper
Subordinate for
charge of the Subdivision

JH
96

W
No. 2189 C of 19.6.93.

To W.

Under Code volume I, chapter I,
para 32, last sentence, a Sub-overseer
can be placed in charge of a Sub-divi-
sion only when no Asst. Engineer or
Upper Subordinate is available for
the duty. Applying the principle of
this ruling to Upper Subordinates as
against Asst. Engineers, it would ap-
pear that no Upper Subordinate should
be posted to the charge of a Sub-divi-
sion while an Asst. Engineer is avail-
able for ^{the} duty, unless under sanction of

RS

1755
6

some competent authority viz Government.

2. Sanction of Government has been
considered necessary as the arrangement
of an Upper Subordinate being posted to
the charge of a Sub-division while an
Asst. Engineer was available, has result-
ed in Government having to bear the cost
of the Upper Subordinate's Sub-division-
al allowance and nothing short of an
order of Government is sufficient to
admit the charge.

Brig/6
C.

off. 19.6.93
Brig/6

No. 540.2 of 6.2.93
- 2330.2 of 13.6.93

H. M.
B

The action of the
Supt. of Works Periyar
Project in appointing
Conductor Bragg to the
charge of the Periyar
sub division is approved.

Encls 1
Recd: 20.6.93

JH
22.6
27.6.93


Why can't the Asst. Engineer be
put in charge? Who is best suited
to his service.

W. W.
23/6/93

Do
The Supt. of Works Periyar Project
Examiners of P.W. Dept.

Birth disposal

21.6.93
Hm 22/6.



P. W.

5587 1755

Note for B.W. 7

There are three sub divisions in the
Periyar Division
(1) Thekady
(2) Transport and
(3) Periyar.
Mr. R. Allen, Asst.
Engineer 1st Grade
holds charge of
Mr. H. Y. Keeling, Asst.
Superintendent of (2)
Mr. W. Hutton, Asst.
Engineer 2nd Grade who
is at present in (3)
The Periyar sub division
came out to India in
first appointment in
November last year. He
joined the Periyar
Division on the 20th Dec
92. He has thus had
only 6 months experience
in the Dept.

27.6.93
26.6.93

1755
8

Note for the Honorable Member:-

Office Note from the Ex^o P. W. Secy No. 2189 C. dt 19. vi. 93.

There are 3 assistant Engineers in the Periyar No. I Division, two of whom are in charge of subdivisions; the third, Mr. Hutton, has not yet been given a subdivisional charge. He only came out to India in November last and joined the Periyar on the 20th Dec^r. He has thus had only 6 months departmental experience, but there seems no objection to his now being placed in

Recd
29 June

There seems to be no objection to Mr. Hutton being put in charge of a sub-division.

But Mr. Hutton's last para. of his L.S. 11.8. dt 2.6.93 says that he has been arranging to appoint Mr. Knapp to charge of the Periyar sub-division for administrative reasons, stating that in the personal capacity of an officer & subordinate in question.

He has been in the service of Mr. Hutton.

all

26.6.93

Inform the H. M. accordingly.

27

in charge of a Sub-division.

H.M.

In the last para of his letter N^o 51 C.E. of 2^d June 1893, Mr. Pears says that he made the arrangement of posting. Coud^r Bragg to the charge of the Periyar Subdivision "for administrative reasons needing solely on the personal qualifications of the officer and subordinate in question."

Charge of the Periyar Sub-Division

full 19/6/93
14/8/93
RS 02/10/93
14/10/93

B
from orders

N^o 1755W of 6 July 1893. 1755

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Supt. of Works, P.W. N^o 51 C.E. of 2nd vi. 93.
Office Note from the Ex^r P.W. Accts N^o 2189C. of 17th vi. 93.

Subdivisional allowance may be passed to Coud^r Bragg for the period during which he may hold charge of the Periyar Subdivision, but an Assistant Engineer, Mr. Hutton being available for the charge, it should now be placed under this officer.

DESPATCHED
6 JUL 93

To
Supt. of Works, P.W.
Ex^r P.W. Accts.

14/8/93
30/6/93

ms 1755W a/b July 1893.

J. C. 7/10
J. 14/7