

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 7.8.91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 04

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரியார் துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1675 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 198 பலவகை

நாள் : 26-6-1893

msp.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General,
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

Office-note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 12th June 1893, No. 201 A.

Under the recent orders of Government contained in G.O., No. 2668 W. of 26th September 1892, every contractor's or piece-worker's bill for *final* payment is required to be submitted to the divisional office for the previous check of the claim. This necessitates the attendance of the contractor or piece-worker at the sub-divisional office on two separate occasions, viz., first to sign the bill as prepared for him by the sub-divisional officer and a second time to receive payment of it.

2. The Executive Engineer, Chingleput Division, brings to notice that in many instances contractors and piece-workers object to the loss of time involved by this procedure and do not come in to sign their bills in the sub-division office although requested to do so, and he points out that delays in payments are inevitable. He, therefore, suggests that final bills be sent to the divisional office for audit without contractors' signature, which should be obtained at the time of payment.

3. This proposal is opposed to Code, chapter XII, para. 146, which requires the bill to be "duly signed by the contractor" before scrutiny and payment. This rule is apparently based on sound principles, as a "bill" is practically *not* a bill until signed by the creditor in token of his acknowledgment of its correctness in every detail; but there is considerable force in the Executive Engineer's representation and I, therefore, submit the case for the orders of Government.

ORDER—dated 26th June 1893, No. 1675 W., Public Works.

The proposal of the Executive Engineer, Chingleput Division, that final bills be sent to the divisional office for audit before signature by contractors will meet the difficulty brought to notice and may be adopted. If bills are signed before they are *paid*, it is all that is necessary.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect.
" the Superintendent of Works, Periyar Project.
" the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 26th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 26th June 1893, No. 1675 W.

JUNE.

Bills

Proposal regarding the submission of final — of contractors to the divisional office for audit without contractors' signature, which should be obtained at the time of payment, ordered to be adopted.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189

(C. No. 1201.)
8

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1893.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 12 June 1893.

OFFICE NOTE No. 201. A

To

W.

Under the recent orders of Government contained in G.O.No.2368 W. of 26th. September 1892 every contractors or piece-workers bill for final payment is required to be submitted to the divisional office for the previous check of the claim. This necessitates the attendance of the contractor or piece-worker at the Sub-divisional office on two separate occasions, viz first to sign the bill as prepared for him by the Sub divisional officer, and a second time to receive payment of it.

2. The Executive Engineer, Chingleput Division, brings to notice that in many instances contractors and piece-workers object to the loss of time involved by this procedure and do not come in to sign their bills in the Sub-division office although requested to do so, and he points out that delays in payments are inevitable. He therefore suggests that final bills be sent to the divisional office for audit without contractors

signature, which should be obtained at the time of payment.

3. This proposal is opposed to Code chapter XII, para 148 which requires the bill to be "duly signed by the contractor" before scrutiny and payment. This rule is apparently based on sound principles, as a "bill" is practically not a bill until signed by the creditor in token of his acknowledgment of its correctness in every detail, but there is considerable force in the Executive Engineer's representation, and I therefore submit the case for the orders of Government.

Dec 13. 6.93

Dr/6
C.

Dr/6

no 2668W of 26.7.92

Read the following:—

auto N^o 201A d/12 June 93

If the final bill is correctly prepared it does not matter whether the contractor disputes the correctness before or after check in the Ex. Engr's office.

Works on which Contractors are likely to advance untenable claims are generally known to the Ex. Engr. before final measurements are taken - He thinks it seems necessary to think the Contractor should sign his bill before it is paid.

all
JH 17.6 16.6.93

ORDERS.

proposal of the Civil Engineer, Bangalore Division, that final bills be sent to the Provincial office for check before being taken by Contractors to meet the difficulty referred to notice. When bills are signed, they are paid, all that is necessary.

JH 19.6 19.6

187

Read the following:—

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. auto N° 201A d/12th June 1893.

FOR ORDERS.

The proposal of the ab
Executive Engineer, Bangalore
Public Division, that final audit
bills be sent to the
divisional office for such
audit before being
signed by Contractors be
will meet the difficulty
brought to notice.

If bills are signed
before they are paid,
it is all that is
necessary.

W.S.
20 June

W.S.
19 June

W.S.
19.6

W.S.

W.S.

W.S.

77
from notes

gent
sent

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

N^o 1645 W of 26 June 1893

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Auth N^o 201 A. d/12 June 1893

The proposal of the
Executive Engineer Chingle
put division that final
bills be sent to the
divisional office for audit
before signature by
contractors will meet
the difficulty brought
to notice, and may be
adopted. If bills are
signed before they are
paid, it is all that
is necessary.

DESPATCHED
5th JUL 93

20 Supts. Engineers.
Comm. Architect.
Supt of Works P.W.
Chief Engr for Engrs.
Examiner P.W. Auth.

84
22/6

C No 5406

Govt. of (1893) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

17/6/93

26 June 3 16750.

Bills

3

Proposal regarding
the submission of
final — of contract
to the divisional office
for audit without
contractors signature
should be
obtained at the time
of payment. ordered to
be adopted.

20
230
12

20. 2/9/93