(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 42
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் ஜூலை/1990
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1517
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 7.6.1893 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

21 Sheets.

கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

1517/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 14th April 1893, Mis. No. 2326.

Read—again Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd December 1890, No. 7671.

Read—letter from the Collector of Gódávari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 27th October 1892, No. 570.

Reference thereon, dated 1st December 1892.

The Collector has not submitted the report on the state of the old house now occupied by the Deputy Tahsildar's office as requested in Board's Proceedings, No. 7671, dated 2nd December 1890, nor has he given reasons for abandoning the old site and selecting a new one. This information should now be supplied together with an approximate estimate of the value of present site and office building.

Read—letter from the same officer, dated 15th March 1893, No. 75.

Resolution—dated 14th April 1893, Mis. No. 2326.

With his letter, dated 21st February 1890, No. 7, disposed of in the Board's Proceedings read above, the Collector of Gódávari forwarded plans and estimates for Rs. 8,000 for constructing a new office for the Town Sub-Magistrate of Cocanada in the compound of the Deputy Tahsildar's office at that place, which is Government property.

2. The Board suggested that instead of incurring an expenditure of Rs. 8,000 on what would after all be a makeshift rather than a suitable provision for the Magisterial Courts in so important a town as Cocanada, it would be better to pull down the old house or to sell it, unless it is substantial and very conveniently situated, and to build entirely new offices on the site of the old house or on a different site. It also made certain other suggestions in case the old building had to be retained and requested the Collector to report on the matter.

3. With the second paper read above, the Collector forwarded plans and estimates for building a separate office on a new site for both the Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Sub-Magistrate at a total cost of Rs. 19,600. He, however, omitted to report on the state of the present building and why it should be abandoned. The Collector was therefore requested to report on these points and was at the same time asked to state the approximate value of the present Deputy Tahsildar's office.

4. The required information is furnished in the last paper read above. It is reported that the old Deputy Tahsildar's office does not afford adequate accommodation for the clerks and records, that it is situated in the main bazaar street, that the work of the Deputy Tahsildar is much disturbed by the noise and bustle of the bazaar, and that the building is not therefore suitable for an office. It is added that the building, owing to its situation in the heart of the bazaar street at the junction of two important roads, would probably sell for Rs. 10,000. The area of the ground is given

as 1·44 acres and the place is stated to be very suitable for shops and godowns. The site selected for the proposed office is situated in a fit place and is beyond the noise of the town. It is also Government property.

5. In these circumstances, the Board recommends the construction of a new building for both the Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Sub-Magistrate on the site selected by the Collector and requests that the plan and estimates may be sanctioned. It is observed that the estimate of the new building includes a provision of Rs. 2,740 for a compound wall which is high, and it is accordingly suggested that a barbed wire fence may be substituted for the compound wall.

6. The Board further recommends that the present Deputy Tahsildar's office may be sold when the new one is ready for occupation.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. LEVY,
for Acting Secretary.

No. 1694, Revenue.

The plans and estimates submitted with the Proceedings read above are approved and will be transferred to the Public Works Department. The Board's suggestion that a barbed wire fence should be substituted for the compound wall will also be considered in that department.

OOTACAMUND,
6th May 1893.

(Signed) A. T. PRINGLE,
for Acting Under Secretary.

ORDER—dated 7th June 1893, No. 1517 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 19,800, for constructing a combined office for the Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Sub-Magistrate at Cocanada in the Gódávari district, has been reduced to Rs. 16,860 by omitting the provision for a compound wall as suggested in para. 5 of the Resolution of the Board of Revenue, Mis. No. 2326, dated 14th April 1893. The estimate, as thus reduced, is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. A supplemental estimate should be submitted, providing for a barbed wire fence in lieu of the compound wall.

3. On the completion of the new building, the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will submit proposals for the disposal of the present Deputy Tahsildar's office.

4. The sanction accorded in para. 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 38 W. of 1893–94 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle,
with plans and estimate.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.
Editors' Table.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

1517/2

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 7th

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th June 1893, No. 1517 W.

JUNE.

Combined Office for the Deputy Tahsildar and Town Magistrate at Cocanada

Estimate of Rs. 16,860 for constructing a — in the Gódávari district, sanctioned, and the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, directed to submit a supplemental estimate for a barbed wire fence in lieu of the compound wall, also proposals for the disposal of the present Deputy Tahsildar's office on completion of the new building.

Public Works Department.

1517/93

Current No. 4421

Letter No. 1694

Dated 6th May, 1893

From the Revenue Department

TECHNICAL NOTE.

Name of Work Constructing a Combined Office for the Deputy Tahsildar & Town Sub Magistrate at Cocanada in the Godavari District.

Amount of Estimate, Rs. 19,600.

PARTICULARS OF ESTIMATE.

Main Building Office Rs.	15,300
Masonry compound wall	2,740
Subsidiary Buildings public latrines ,,	500
Record racks (wooden)	960
Contingencies included at 5% ,,	"
Petty Supervision do. at 2½% ,,	"
Land compensation ,,	"
Well	100
Total Rupees ...	19,600

PARTICULARS OF CONSTRUCTION.

Surface soil } Sandy.
Sub soil }

Foundations are to be carried down 5 feet deep in footings as per plans, the lower 2 feet to be of concrete & the upper 3 feet of rough stone in mortar.

Basement is to be raised 3 feet high & built of rough stone in mortar.

Walls of the Court rooms are to be raised 19 high, other side rooms 15 feet & the verandahs 11 feet high to top of terrace ring as per plans, & built of rough stone chunam with brick archwork over doors & windows.

Plastering or Pointing The interior walls are to be plastered with 2 coats of chunam & the exterior pointed with lime mortar except the plinth faces which will be plastered with ½" of cement

Flooring is to be of 6 inches concrete plastered with ¾" of Portland cement except for the record rooms which will be of ¾" asphalt.

Roofing is to be terraced [illegible] with brick on edge 3 inches of concrete 3 courses of flat tiles &c complete.

Doors and Windows are to be of the description noted in the estimate of teakwood & painted with 3 coats of [illegible] dark paint.

Roof timbers are to be of teakwood & painted with 2 coats of wood oil.

Scantlings are correct.

Rates as far as can be checked are fair

The plinth area of the main building is 5717 square feet, and the cost per square foot will be Rs. 2-15-0.

The ~~plans and~~ estimates have been countersighed by the Collector Godavari Dist. and approved in the Revenue Dept with the ~~Department~~. remark that the Board's suggestion of providing a barbed wire fence in lieu of a masonry enclosure would be considered in this Dept.

This estimate provides for constructing a combined office for the Deputy Tahsildar & Town Sub Magistrate at Cocanada in the Godavari District prepared at the request of the Collector

The proposed design is somewhat similar to the type design for second class Taluq offices approved in G.O. No. 3122W dated 20th November 1891 except that the sub-jail portions have been omitted & some additions & alterations made in order to provide accommodation for the Town Sub Magistrate's office

The area of accommodation proposed for the Dy Tahsildar is the same as that

---

that provided for in the type design & the accommodation proposed for the Town Sub Magistrate is as follows.

1517/4

Court room — 38 × 18
Clerks room — 38 × 15
Record room — 36 × 15
Private room — 8 × 10.5
Property room — 8 × 10

with a verandah 5/6 feet wide [illegible] dimensions

The provision for a sub-jail & Police guard &c have been omitted in this design owing to the existence of a Police Station house & a spacious sub-jail attached to the Collector's office in which the prisoners are accommodated.

Provision has been made for sky-lights in the Property & Clerks rooms of the Town Sub Magistrate's office in order to give additional light to the rooms also for wood work under the public latrine & for steining a well with brickwork

The site selected is the property of Govt hence no compensation for land has been provided in the estimate

The method proposed for fixing the verandah joists appears to be awkward & rather expensive subject to approval it is thought that the angle beams (a) (a) may be omitted & the cross beams (B) (B) removed from its present position & fixed at (G) (G) by this arrangement the joints will be arranged symmetrically as shown in pencil on the plan & the beams at angles (A) (A) saved.

By omitting the compound wall as suggested by the Board the amount of the estimate will be reduced from Rs 19600 to 16760 & a supplemental estimate for fencing the compound with barbed wire may be called for.

26.v.93.

Major Daniel Campbell R.E.
Supg Engineer
1st Circle.

To
The Collector
Godavari District

Dowlaishwaram 31-8-89.

1517/5

Sir,

Your letter no. 101 of 12-6-88.
do 27 of 21-2-88.
This office no. 2496 S.O. of 9-2-88.
Your no. 183 of 29-8-89.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin I have the honor to submit herewith plans and estimates amounting to Rs 8000 for constructing a Town Sub Magistrate office at Cocanada and to request that the same may be transmitted with your counter signature for the Sanction of Govt.

I have &c
(sd) D Campbell Major R.E.
Supg Engineer 1st Circle

BOARD'S GEN. REG. No 746 OF 90
LAND REVENUE

Submitted to Government through the Commissioners of Land Revenue, for sanction.

The Cocanada Town Sub Magistrate's office is at present located in a rented building and the Dy Tahsildar's in an old house. The plans and estimates herewith submitted provide for a Court it can be sold for a good price. It is situated in the main bazaar.

(Sd) A. W. B. Higgens,
Collector.

Deputy for Collector

A 25/10

OW 5.12 / 64 21/93

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 5
To Current No. 3237

No 129

Godavari District Head
Quarter Division Office
Coconada Dated 17-2-93

No 680
LAND REVENUE
OF 1893

From

W. W. Phillips Esquire,
Assistant Collector, in
charge of the Head Quarters
Division, Godavari

1317/9

To

A. W. B. Higgens Esquire,
Collector, Godavari
District

Sir,

In reference to your endorsement No 4556/23-12-92 on Board's report on General Register No 3560 of 1892, dated 1st December 92, I have the honor to state that the office of the Coconada Deputy Tahsildar has been located in a building on the Main Bazaar street. It affords very poor accomodation for the clerks and records, and the work of the Deputy Tahsildar is very much disturbed by the noise and bustle of people in the bazaar. The Town Sub Magistrate's Office has been located in a rented building which has only one hall for the Sub Magistrate's Court and a Verandah occupied by the clerks. It

reference ?

it can be sold for a good price.
It is situated in the main bazaar

(Sd) A. W. B. Higgens,
Collector.

Deputy for Collector

25/10

BP 5.12 / 4421/93

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 5
To Current No. 3031

No 129

Godavari District Head
Quarter Division Office
Coconada Dated 17-2-93

No 680
LAND REVENUE
4 1 93

From

1317/9

W. W. Phillips Esquire,
Assistant Collector, In
charge of the Head Quarters
Division, Godavari

To

A. W. B. Higgens Esquire,
Collector, Godavari
District

Sir,

reference?

In reference to your endorsement No $\frac{4556}{23-12-92}$ on Board's report on General Register No 3560 of 1892, dated 1st December 92, I have the honor to state that the office of the Coconada Deputy Tahsildar has been located in a building on the Main Bazaar street. It affords very poor accomodation for the clerks and records, and the work of the Deputy Tahsildar is very much disturbed by the noise and bustle of people in the bazaar. The Town Sub Magistrate's Office has been located in a rented building which has only one hall for the Sub Magistrate's Court and a verandah occupied by the clerks. It was therefore proposed to extend the Dy. Tahsildar's cutchery and locate therein the Sub Magistrate's office; but the proposal has not been accepted by the Board which suggested the pulling down of the present buildings. It has been proposed to construct a combined office building in a suitable place which is free from the bustle of the town. The present building being constructed on a common nature place; is unfit for an office.

2. The building being situated in the heart of the Bazaar, will fetch, if sold, an amount of Rs10000; this is only a rough figure, but I hope that competition in auction will get more than this amount. The area of the ground on which the building has been constructed is 0/-44 cents and it, being at the angle formed by the junction of two main roads, will form an excellent site for shops and godowns and its position will secure a number of competitors in the persons of the leading merchants of the town

I have &c
(Sd) W. W. Phillips
Asstt. Collector

(True copy)

1517
D.

No. 75/15.3.93

Submitted to the Commissioners of Land Revenue in reply to reference on General Register No. 3560 of 1892 dated 1-12-92.

M. Young.
for Collector

1517/10

G.A.
10/3

1517

1517/11

~~188~~ 1890.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

~~To~~

True Copy of Bd.

Proceedings, dated 2 — 12 — 1890

No. 7671 Mis. ~~Routine.~~ Enclosures.

~~Subject.~~

Dis L1/4421/53

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. [illegible]
To Current No. 2326

Read the following
G.O. dated 14 April 1893 Mis No. 2326

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 14th April 1893,

No. 2326. Mis.

1517/16

READ again Board's Proceedings dated 2nd December 1890, No. 7671.

" — letter from the Collector of Godavari, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras, dated 27th October 1892, No. 570, and the following reference thereon, dated the 1st December '92.

The Collector has not submitted the report on the state of the old house now occupied by the Deputy Tahsildar's office as requested in Board's Proceedings No. 7671 dated 2nd December 1890, nor has he given reasons for abandoning the old site and selecting a new one. This information should now be supplied together with an approximate estimate of the value of present site and office building.

Read — also letter from the same officer, dated 15 March 1893, No. 75.

Resolution: — Dated 14th April 1893, Mis. No 2326 Rev.

With his letter dated 21-2-90 No. 7, disposed of in the Board's Proceedings read above, the Collector of Godavari forwarded plans and estimates for Rs 8000, for constructing a new office for the Town Sub magistrate of Cocanada in the Compound of the Deputy Tahsildar's office at that place, which is Government property.

2. The Board suggested that, instead of incurring an expenditure of Rs 8000 on what would after all be a make-shift, rather than a suitable provision for the Magisterial Courts in so important a town as Cocanada; it would be better to pull down the old house or to sell it, unless it is substantial and very conveniently situated and to build entirely new offices on the site of the old house, or on a different site. It also made certain other suggestions in case the old building had to be retained, and requested the Collector to report on the matter.

3. With the second paper read above, the Collector forwarded plans and estimates for building a separate office on a new site for both the Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Sub magistrate, at a total cost of Rs 19,600. He, however, omitted to report on the state of the present building and why it should be abandoned. The Collector was therefore requested to report on these points, and was at the same time asked to state the approximate value of the present Deputy Tahsildar's office.

4. The required information is furnished in the last paper read above. It is reported that the old

Deputy Tahsildar's office does not afford adequate accommodation for the clerks and records, that it is situated in the main bazaar street, that the work of the Deputy Tahsildar is much disturbed by the noise and bustle of the bazaar, and that the building is not therefore suitable for an office. It is added that the building, owing to its situation in the heart of the bazaar street at the junction of two important roads, would probably sell for Rs 10,000. The area of the ground is given as 1.44 acres, and the place is stated to be very suitable for shops and godowns. The site selected for the proposed office is situated in a fit place, and is beyond the noise of the town. It is also Government property.

5. In these circumstances, the Board recommends the construction of a new building for both the Deputy

1517/90

Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Sub-Magistrate on the site selected by the Collector and requests that the plan and Estimates may be sanctioned. It is observed that the Estimate of the new building includes a provision of Rs 2740 for a Compound wall, which is high, and it is accordingly suggested that a barbed wire fence may be substituted for the Compound wall.

6. The Board further recommends that the present Deputy Tahsildar's Office may be sold when the new one is ready for occupation.

/True extract/

For Ag: Secretary.

To
The Secretary to Govt. Rev: Deptt.,
with 4 letters of Collector in orig.
to be returned and with Copy of
B.P. 2-12-90 No. 767 and 2 plans
and Estimates -

$\frac{O}{19}$—2,000—13-8-93.

P. W. CURRENT No. 4421
(1893.)

~~REVENUE DEPARTMENT.~~

~~Current Number.~~ ~~5087~~

From Board of Revenue
Land Revenue

20 MAY

Recd: 12.5.93

Dated 14th april 93
Received 20th april
Enclosures # 16 (2 plans)

No. 2326 m.s

SUBJECT.

Submg. with remarks for the sanction of Govt. plans and estimates for constructing a Combined office for the Deputy Tahsr. and the Town Sub magistrate at Cocanada Godaveri Dt.

M.S 14.5
M.P 5

H.P

~~ABSTRACT.~~

Endorsement No 1694.

The plans and estimates submitted with the Proceedings read above are approved, and will be transferred to the Public Works Department. The Board's suggestion that a barbed wire fence should be substituted for the Compound wall will also be considered in that Department.

A. S. [illegible]
Secy for Under Secretary

Ootacamund
6th May 1893

O/31/5 ... R7. 6.93

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1517 Wa, 7 June 1893.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1517/28

READ the following

Pros. of the Bd. of Rev. (Land Rev.) No: 2326 mis dd 14 Apl. 93
Endorst. thereon in the Rev. Depb. No: 1694 dd 6 May 93

5 P

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 19,600, for Constructing a Combined office for the Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Submagistrate at Cocanada in the Godavari District, has been reduced to Rs. 16860 by omitting the provision for a Compound wall as suggested in para 5 of the Resolution of the Board of Revenue No. 2326 mis. dd 14th April 1893. The estimate, as thus reduced, is sanctioned for execution when funds are available.

2. A supplemental estimate should be submitted, providing for a barbed wire fence in

(40—2,000—13-5-93.)

in lieu of the Compound wall.

3. On the Completion of the new building, the S.E. I Circle will submit proposals for the disposal of the present Deputy Tahsildar's office.

4. The sanction accorded in para 1 has been registered as Provincial No. 38 W of 1893-94 and Classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works.

Combined office for the Dy. Tahsildar and the Town Sub-registrar at Cocanada [illegible]

DESPATCHED
17 JUN 93

To

The S.E. I Circle
with plans & estimates

" Exn. P.W. accts

" Rev. Dept

" Editor's Table

17/6

Orig

ObS 14-5
Hn 2-6.

C No. 4421

Govt. of 1893 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Encl.

Encl.

G.O., 7 June 1893, No. 1517 W

Combined offices for the Dy Tahsildar & Town Magistrate at Cocanada.

=

Estimate of Rs 16,860 for constructing a — in the Godavari Dt. sanct. and the S. E. I Circle directed to submit a supplemental estimate for a barbed wire fence in lieu of the compound wall, also proposals for the disposal of the present Dy Tahsildar's office on completion of the new building

J.L. 8/VI. 93

To be returned
to Revenue Board
with reply.

Pls L.11/[illegible]/93

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 6
To Current No. 3037

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 2d December 1890,

No. 7671 Mis.

15/7/2

READ .... letter from the Collector of Godavari dated 21st February 1890, No 7 and the following reference thereon, dated 28-3-90.

It is not stated how some of the rooms in the present Deputy Tahsildar's office are appropriated and which of them is intended for the police. In the standard plan, the police, are allowed a room 20' by 15'. No dais is provided for the Town Sub Magistrate which the Board presumes to be an oversight. It is observed that the position of the property room is not indicated on the plan. The Collector is requested to report on these points.

A plan should be submitted

shewing the relative position of the Deputy Tahsildar's office and the proposed Court and the Compound and it should be stated where the lock up is situated.

Read also letter from the same officer, dated 3rd October 1890, No. 471

Resolution:- Before recommending the expenditure of Rs 8000 on what will, after all, only be a make shift rather than a suitable provision for the Magisterial Courts in so important a town as Cocanada, the Board requests that the Collector will report the state of the old house in which the office of the divisional Deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate is now located. It seems to consist of a number of small rooms, very inconveniently arranged for the purpose - Unless, therefore, it is a very substantial building, and very conveniently situated, it seems to the Board that it would be better to pull it down or to sell it and to build entirely

new

new offices, possibly on a different site. The Collector is requested to report on this suggestion.

2. Assuming, however, that it is desirable to retain this old house, as proposed by the Collector, and to make the additions for which plan and Estimate are submitted, the Board does not approve of the allotment of one record room to the two offices of town and division Deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate nor does it see the necessity of such an arrangement, as there seems to be plenty of room in the existing building for the divisional Deputy Tahsildar's records. Those of the town Deputy Tahsildar and Sub Magistrate only should therefore find a place in the new building.

3. The plan also of the proposed ~~record~~ record room is not suitable. There should

be but one door, opening into the Clerks room; and the windows should be narrow slits, of the full height of the room, but only about 18 inches wide, and about 4½ feet apart, so that a couple of record stands 2¼ feet wide will fit in between each window and the next. The arrangement, in fact, should be as shown in the Standard plan of Taluk Cutcherries.

4. The Court rooms should also be larger. It may be necessary to keep the width down to 15 feet, so as to save expense in the Construction of the roof, but in that case the length should not be less than 30 feet, so as to afford sufficient room for the proper accommodation of parties, witnesses and pleaders, as well as for a reasonable number of the public who may wish to be present at the hearing of cases. If possible, also, the magistrate's seat should be so arranged

that

that the light will fall from behind him, or at least from his left side, on to the faces of witnesses and prisoners, and should not shine straight into his face

1377/14

5. The plan and estimates will need amendment in these respects, if it is decided to retain the old house and merely to supplement the accommodation by the erection of the new building.

(True extract)
(Signed) H. A. Sim.
Ag: Secretary.

To
The Collector of Godavari,
with 6 enclos: to be returned

(True Copy)

For Ag: Secretary

for the Town magistrate's clerks. The deputy Tahsildar's clerks will be accommodated in the old building. One record room 20'×15' is allowed to both the officers; a portion measuring 4'×5' will be railed off at each end for the custody of property. The new building is proposed to be built in the compound of the present Dy Tahsildar's cutcherry.

/sd/ W A Happell
Collector

Cocanada
21. 1. 90

V Subbarayudu
for Collector

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No.
To Current No.

No. 471

GODAVARI DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Cocanada 8th October 1890

REC. No 3343 1890
REVENUE

FROM

W A Happell Esq.
Collector
Godavari District,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE
COMMISSIONERS OF Land Revenue
MADRAS.

SIR,

In reference to the Board's order in General Ref no 746 dt 28. 3. 90. I have the honor to submit the [illegible] of the Town magistrate's office Cocanada with the omissions duly supplied. [illegible] provided for the Town Sub magis[illegible] and [illegible] property room is [illegible]ated. The rooms in the presen[illegible] Dy Tahsildar [illegible] the relative p[illegible] the Dy Tahsildar [illegible] proposed constr[illegible] the compound a[illegible] on the plans

2. As there is a lock-up in the station h[illegible] spacious surface attached to [illegible] which the prison[illegible] are sent, no pro[illegible] is [illegible] on that account [illegible] building.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most [illegible]vant,

W A Happell
Collector.

Revenue {1870} Department.

rom

The Collector,

Godavari District.

No. 477

ted 3d

No 1347 G. D.

1517/7

From,

G. D. Wyfrow Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
First Circle.

To

The Collector,
Godavari District.

Dowlaiswaram 16th September 1872
Desp.d 20th

Sir,

As promised in my letter No 1212 G. D dated 4th instant, I have the honor to forward herewith plans and estimates for the construction of a combined cutchery for Deputy Tahsildar and Town Sub Magistrate at Coconada, amounting to Rs 19600 as specified below, and to request that you will be so good as to pass them on, after your approval for the necessary sanction to Government

1 Combined Cutchery —— Rs 15300

1	Record Racks	Rs 960
1	Compound wall	2740
1	Latrine	500
1	Brick well	100
		Rs 19600

In framing this estimate the proposals contained in your letter no 1680 dated 29th May 92 were kept in view as far as possible and such modifications made in the Standard design of Deputy Tahsildar's Office sanctioned in G O no 3122 W dated 20-11-91 as to adopt the proposed building for the accomodation of the combined office.

3 The report attached to the estimate explains the necessity of the building.

4 The site plan already approved of by you is herewith for submission to Government with other documents.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

(Signed) G. D. Wybrow,
Superintending Engineer,
First Circle.

1517/8

(True Copy)

BOARD'S [illegible] No 3560 OF 1892
LAND REVENUE

No. 570/27-10-92

Submitted (to the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)) for sanction in reference to the Board's Proceedings no 7676 Misc/2-12-90 × 7671 Misc

It is proposed to construct a new combined office for the use of the Deputy Tahsildar and the Town Sub Magistrate, Coconada, on a site, which, while it is centrally situated, is free from the noise and bustle of the town. The site is the property of the Government.

2. Certain alterations and additions have been made in the Standard design (Deputy Tahsildar's Offices – G. O. no 3122/20-11-91 W) in order to provide accomodation for the Town Sub Magistrate.

3. I will report regarding the disposal of the present Dy Tahsildar's Office building. If it is not required for any public purpose