(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் மே 1990

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1511

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 6/6/1893

1987.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

-ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

[G/53]

Educational Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT MADRAS.

1511/1

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.O. dated 1st July 1887, No. 384.

also

READ the following ~~paper~~:—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 20th April 1893, No. 1849.

—

Order, 16th May 1893, No. 330.

A copy of the petition will be communicated to the Chief Engineer and the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

2. It is observed that the concession granted in article 172 of the Madras Public Works Code to 3rd and 2nd class Draughtsmen to appear for 2nd and 1st class certificates at the College of Engineering has been rendered inoperative by the fact that, of the maximum of 1000 marks awardable at the College Examination, 400 are now awarded on the work done during the College course.

3. This being so two courses seem open; namely, either to withdraw the concession as useless or to amend the College Rules and award certificates to Public Works Department Candidates on the results of an examination which excludes work executed during the College course.

4.

4. If the former of the two courses referred to in paragraph 3 be adopted it will probably be found advisable to grant in substitution the concession suggested by the petitioner, that is to say, to empower the Chief Engineer to promote men holding 3rd Class certificates to the post of 2nd Class Draughtsman after 3 years approved service just as, at present, he can promote men holding 2nd class certificates to the 1st Class.

5. The Chief Engineer and the Director of Public Instruction should state at an early date which of the two courses they prefer. At first sight the Government is disposed to hold that the concession of allowing Public Works Department Draughtsmen to appear as independent candidates at the College Examinations should be maintained even at the cost of altering the scheme of Examination, for the experience gained in practical work by these men must be of greater value than that obtained by plan-drawing in the College.

6. In G.O. dated 20th February 1893, No 145, Educational, the Government declined to exempt one C. Erasappan employed as a Draughtsman in the Consulting Architect's office from the necessity of obtaining a 3rd Class Draughtsman's Certificate. It is possible that his failure to pass the 3rd Class Test was due to the fact alluded to in paragraph 2 supra, viz, that a portion of the marks is awarded on the work done during the College

Architect's College Course. The Consulting Architect will enquire whether this was so and will at the same time report what general educational qualifications C. Erasappan possesses.

/True Extract/

1511/2

W. Robertson
Under Secretary P. W. D.
for Chief Secretary.

To
The Public Works Department
/with enclosure/
" Director of Public Instruction.

Petition from R. Kothandaraman 1511/13 Masalai
2nd class Draughtsman, North Arcot Division, dated
Vellore 3rd April 1894 to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
(thro' the Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division)

I most humbly beg to bring the following few lines to your honors kind and gracious consideration, trusting to meet with every success.

1. I got in their order No 622 of 6th August 1891 exempting me from passing the 2nd class Draughtsmans test & did that that exemption should hold good only till I pass the college examination.

2. On a reference being made to the Principal of the College of Engineering, Madras, through the Executive Engineer of the N. A. Dn as to whether I could appear for the examination, that officer in his letter No 435C of 12th August 1892 writes "As he will see from the rules however that as he cannot count session marks it will be virtually impossible for him to pass the examination."

3. As passing the examination has thus become impossible I have sent an application on the 9th January 1893 to your honors Kind consideration through the Supdg Engineer & Circle to get me exempted permanently for the 2nd

class

class Draughtsman, based on the concession given vide G. N° 1902 dtd 16th July 1909 for the 2nd class Draughtsman who instead of forwarding it on to your Honors panel, consulted with the Principal of the Engineering College and returned the application to me covering the Principal's suggestions that I may be regarded as one suitable for recommendation of exemption if I secure mattard of the Board's marks in each of the subjects and one-half of the Board's total at a college examination viz:-

1. Practical & descriptive Geometry ... 100
2. Freehand and prospective and Model drawing ... 120
3. Building Drawing ... 270
4. Machine drawing ... 200
5. Estimating ... 180

4. "Having found difficult to get through the subjects 2 and 4 as mentioned above which are quite new to me as I belong to the old set of successful candidates, and moreover where I am in the department, nor I am placed in better position to have leave on forfeiture of pay to study up the subjects and then appear for the examination as the Principal suggested. I therefore have sent another application to

the Supty Engineer on the 9th March 1910 to reconsider my case and to lay my application before your Honors Kind consideration through the Executive Engineer Major A.W. Smart R.E. of N.A. Dn with the endorsement as:-

" Forwarded to the Supg Engineer. See para. 4 of ly. G. N° 304 & dtd 1st July 1909.

The new rules prevent draughtsmen appearing as independent candidates. I would suggest in such cases that the rule making 2nd class Draughtsman eligible for promotion to the 1st grade under certain conditions be made as applicable to 3rd class Draughtsmen also. Many of these men probably failed to obtain higher certificates not on account of inferior drawing but from want of knowledge of subjects which are of little value to them in drawing office." and the Supg Engineer again returned the application to me with the endorsement as:-

" Under the new rules a superior class of Draughtsmen will soon be available & but the intention of government will be defeated if in the meantime the ranks are blocked by 2nd rate men. So no further exemptions will be recommended except on the terms suggested by the Principal & C. College

9.1
PW
4835/53

P. W. CURRENT No. 4835

G. 16

Govt. of { 18 } Madras.

To

The Public Works Dept

Encl 1

Recd: 26.5.93

Proceedings, dated 16 May 1893

No. 330

Subject.

Communicating to the P.W.D. Secy and the Director of Public Instruction, with certain suggestions petitions from R. Ks Kundaraman. Asstt 2° Draughtsman S. Arcot. Dy Registrar that the Rule making 2° Class draughtsman eligible for promotion to the 1st Class under certain conditions be made applicable to 3° class draughtsmen also and directing the Consulting Architect to furnish certain information with reference to C. Errasappen a draughtsman in his Office.

MA 155/5
W 1/6.

0/2645.

No 1901 w dy 16.7.89
" 2277 w dy 20.8.89
" 1340 dy 20.9.93
" 3420 dy 22.9.93

Con 4835 1511/1

Dear

Sir orders.

The Govt was of opinion that the difficulty would perhaps be met to extend to 3° class draftsmen the concession granted to 2° class men in G.O. dated 1.7.89. Co in G.O. 384 Education dt. - or in other words to empower the Chief Engr to declare 3° class men eligible for promotion to 2° class after three years service, if pronounced competent.

Para 6 of the proceedings G.O. must be referred to the C.A. for report.

Cn
26.11.93

Mph AC
O

Work Workers

I should say
that 2nd Class
Draftsmen might,
recommended, after
3 years' service, be
declared eligible
for promotion
to 1st Class by
the Govt.
Para 6. of the
G.O. for
Report.

[illegible]
29/5/93

I think there should be
an Exam. Good selected
early from
officers who recommend for
promotion men who don't
deserve it.

HH
30-5-93

Ac 1511 W, d/16 June 1893 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1511/96

READ the following

Proceedings of Govt, No 330, d/16th May 1893, Education.

Communicated
to the Consulting
Architect for report
with reference to
para 6.

JH
31/5

MJ
30.5.93

DESPATCHED
6 JUN 93

20
To The Consg. Architect

16/6

Defective
Return time
the time based
to HC
Rec 9/6

RS

10—800-23-3-93.

Pat. No. 75111 W. dy 6 June 1893