(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 30

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண். 1128	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	பலவகை

நாள் : 22. 4. 1993

15

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண, கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

1128

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following papers :—

From A. M. FOORD, Esq., Executive Engineer, Vizagapatam Division, to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated Waltair, 20th March 1893, No. 3223.

With reference to your No. 784 V.Z. of 27th February 1893, calling upon me for an explanation for the surrender on Párvatípuram jail, I have the honour to inform you that the estimate was only received sanctioned on 12th September 1892.

2. Tenders had then to be called * for and the work was only given out in the beginning of January.

* Called for on 5th December 1892.

3. Párvatípuram is an out-of-the-way place, 86 miles from my head-quarters, taking six days to get there with only the buildings at Vizianagram to see on my way. There is no regular work there, so bricks, lime, stone, &c., are not available at once. The bricks will have to be made, lime stone collected and burnt, and stone procured from the quarry. I visited the jail on 15th and 16th February and found no work done and only a small amount of concrete stone collected.

4. Mr. Fonceca reports that the actual amount of work that will be done by end of March is Rs. 500. I think I was amply justified therefore in surrendering Rs. 2,000. The balance must be spent in materials. As I inserted a clause in the agreement that the co[illegible]tor would be fined if he failed to spend the grant by the end of the official year, he, of course, purchased such materials as could be at once procured and would show a large expenditure, *i.e.*, asphalte and teakwood. The asphalte floor and the doors and windows will be the last things to be done and will remain under materials at site to the end of the work.

5. If, when the estimate was submitted for sanction, I had been informed that funds would be allotted and that I might collect bricks, lime, sand, stone, &c., there would have been no difficulty in spending a sum of Rs. 5,000 in three months.

6. I wish to bring to your notice that disgrace and perhaps punishment attend the failure to spend the allotment, but that no such penalties are attached to the surrender of any portion of grant.

No. 5 V.Z.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, with reference to para. 2 of Proceedings of the Madras Government No. 460 W., dated 21st February 1893.

(Signed) G. D. WYBROW,
Suptdg. Engineer, I Circle.

25th March 1893.

Note by the Acting Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

The Superintending Engineer should have expressed his opinion as regards the Executive Engineer's explanation and not merely have passed it on without remarks.

2. There can be no doubt that Párvatípuram is an out-of-the-way place in which it is difficult to get work done quickly, and apparently, though the Executive Engineer's letter is very confused, the contractor to whom the work was entrusted failed to carry out his agreement and is to be fined accordingly.

3. From para. 6 of the Executive Engineer's letter it appears that he is under the strange misapprehension that it is the mere act of surrender of the greater part of the allotment, and not the failure to carry out work which that surrender indicates, that is blameworthy.

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

18th April 1893.

ORDER—dated 22nd April 1893, No. 1128 W., Public Works.

His Excellency the Governor in Council does not consider the explanation altogether satisfactory; the work was sanctioned in August* and funds for it were given in November 1892,† and there was therefore time in which to spend the small allotment before the end of March.

* *Vide* G.O., No. 2198 W., 9th Aug. 1892.
† " " " 3200 W., 19th Nov. "

2. The Executive Engineer will be expected to give to this important work more attention than appears to have been hitherto the case.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, 1 Circle.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 22nd.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 22nd April 1893, No. 1128 W.

APRIL.

Parvatipuram Hill Jail

Orders on the explanation submitted regarding the surrender of Rs. 2,000 from the grant for the — in Vizagapatam district.

3

1128/7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper :—

Extracts (paras. 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, and 30) from report of an inspection of the office of the Executive Engineer, Godávari Western Division, by Mr. LeMaistre (Examiner, 4th class, 3rd grade, sub. *pro tem.*), Dy. Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras, from 24th to 28th January 1893, dated 1st March 1893.

Executive Engineer in charge.—B. H. Young, Esq., Executive Engineer, 3rd grade.
Accountant.—C. Bramarayya, Accountant, 5th grade.
Sub-division.—Eastern Delta.
Central Delta.
Western Delta.
Tank and Embankment.

2. A complete test-audit of the accounts for the month of August 1892 was carried out in accordance with instructions laid down in circular from the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, No. 4 of 1887.

3. Work done by petty contractors and piece-workers does not appear to be promptly and systematically paid for. In Appendix B are collected all payments during August on account of work which had been completed and measured up over a month previously.

7. It was noticed that no certificate of actual count of cash was given in cash book between 31st October and 23rd January. Cash should invariably be counted once a month (*vide* Code chapter X, para. 49).

11. The salaries and travelling allowances of all sub-divisions are paid by the divisional office. These might well be paid by the sub-divisional officers themselves from their own drawing accounts. This plan would save the necessity of sending numerous cheques through the post or canal dâk.

12. Treasury pass books are kept at the treasury and received in the divisional office once a fortnight. P.W. Code chapter X, para. 17, require that the pass books should be kept in the divisional office and sent to the treasury to be written up.

13. The work abstract for January had not been commenced on January 24th and, as a rule, the work abstracts for any month are not taken in hand until the accounts of the previous month have been submitted to the audit office. They should be written up day by day and not left until the end of the month to complete. The work abstracts for December 1892 had not been finally completed and generally they are not finally completed until after the despatch of the monthly accounts.

The delay in the preparation of these work abstracts is in some measure due to the great detail in which they are posted. Every work is kept by sub-head no matter what the estimated cost may be. Such refinement is not required by code rule and should be discontinued for all works for which the expenditure has not to be kept by sub-heads of estimate.

The work abstract is also used in case of works costing Rs. 200 and under instead of the simple Requisition Form No. 7.

14. The register of works is not as a rule posted until after the despatch of the accounts to the Examiner. The posting of the register of works for December had not been commenced on the 25th January.

15. The rates have not been struck in the register of works monthly on each description of work as required by Code chapter XIII, para. 60, and on the whole the register of works has not been tidily kept.

16. There is a good deal of work carried out by daily labour but the ten-day reports for such work are not regularly submitted by the sub-divisional officers concerned. Many of these reports are not received in the divisional office until 10, 20, and 30 days after they are due, while in some cases the delay is even greater. Unless these reports are sent in punctually they lose their value to a large extent and moreover it is impossible for the accountant to keep his work up to date unless sub-divisional returns are received punctually.

17. The materials at site of works at end of November amounted to Rs. 27,637. Part IV of the old work abstract Form No. M.-6 is not now kept up, but the materials at site are shown in the modified form of ten-day report (Form No. M.-5). This form is, however, not written up by the subordinates in charge of the work, but it is prepared in the divisional office from statements submitted by them. This is not what is required, as in this way differences are very likely to arise and in fact several instances of such differences were noticed. The statement of materials at site in whatever form it is kept should be kept up by the subordinate in actual charge of the work.

The materials at site in this division are distributed over 66 works, 50 of which are under 5,000. The head of account materials at site has been opened out in every case and it is pointed out that this involves extra labour and is unnecessary for works which have not to be kept by sub-heads of estimate, that is, generally for all works under 5,000.

It is also pointed out that the sub-head materials at site should only be used for materials that are to be used on more than one sub-head of a work; in other cases the materials should be charged direct to the sub-head concerned. The fact that the head of account materials at site is not opened in the work abstract and register of works does not of course do away with the necessity of maintaining a numerical list of all materials at site of a work. This should always be done by the subordinate in charge in Form 7-F.

19. *Constructing Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry at Razole.*—This work was completed and handed over on the 28th October 1892, but the accounts of the work have not yet been closed owing to the refusal of one of the piece-workers to accept his final bill. An additional claim of Rs. 462-15-2 is put forward by him and a reference has been made to the sub-divisional officer on the subject.

20. There is also a dispute with contractor Jena Saib & Co., employed in the construction of a munsif's court at Amalápuram. The amount claimed by this contractor is Rs. 856-4-8. The total value of the work done by the contractor is admitted by both sides to be Rs. 12,180-5-11 and the cash payments amount to Rupees 9,222-12-8. The difference (Rs. 2,957-9-3) the contractor is said to have received in materials supplied to him, while he only admits having received Rs. 2,101-4-7 and the difference of Rs. 856-4-8 he now claims. The matter is under reference with the sub-divisional officer, but there will be some difficulty in arriving at a settlement. Had a receipt for the materials as they were issued been taken from the contractor and the amounts shown against the contractor in the ledger as required by Code chapter XIII, para. 66, a difficulty of this kind could not have arisen.

Again the accounts submitted by the sub-divisional officer only showed that Rupees 2,101-4-7 worth of material had been issued to this contractor, but the divisional office without reference to the sub-divisional office, because they found that materials valued at Rs. 856-4-8 stood at debit of the work, charged this amount to the contractor in his final bill.

22. The value of stock was Rs. 6,563 (including Rs. 459 manufacture) against a reserve limit of Rs. 8,500. There is about Rs. 500 worth of stock made up of item on which there have been no transactions since August 1891.

23. There is about Rs. 10,000 received in this division on account of rent of river lunkas or islands. These receipts are classified as Minor Works and Navigation, Agricultural Receipts, but it is a question whether this classification is correct. The receipts would appear to come under some head of land revenue and not canal revenue. A reference on the subject has, I believe, been made by the civil authorities in the district.

24. There are navigation receipts to the value of about Rs. 25,000 collected in this division in the shape of licenses and toll fees. These receipts are collected by nine lock superintendents and one delta superintendent, but none of these men have given any security.

The delta superintendent keeps his cash book in English, but as he cannot write and read English it would be better if he kept it in his own vernacular.

26. The sanction for the entertainment of K. Venkatasubbiah, maistry on the temporary work establishment, is dated 27th July 1886, but the work on which he is now employed has only been lately commenced, so that this sanction cannot hold good at the present time. Every member of the work establishment is sanctioned for a particular work and when that work is finished the sanction expires.

30. A register of incumbents should be maintained (*vide* P.W. Code chapter X, para. 138).

ENCLOSURES.

APPENDIX A.

EXTRACT (para. 3) from note on the test-audit of the accounts of the Godávari Western Division for August 1892. The test-audit was carried out in accordance with the Accountant-General's (Public Works Department) circular No. 4 of 1887.

3. The following instances of delay in payments to laborers were noticed:—

Voucher number in the list of accounts and vouchers.	Name of work.	Period of muster roll.	Date of payment.	Extent of delay. MTHS.	DAYS.
47	Constructing dam at 1 mile 7 furlongs of Inapur channel, estimate Rs. 730.	1st to 30th June 1892.	31st August 1892.	1	20
50	Providing screw-gearing shutters, &c., to Vridha Goutami drain, estimate Rs. 1,890.	Do. do. ..	Do. do. ..	1	20
57	Constructing sluice at head of Chintalapudi old weir channel, estimate Rs. 400.	11th to 31st May 1892.	4th August 1892.	2	3
66	Left side parallel channel from above Vellivada dam on Jinnur channel, estimate Rs. 770.	11th to 20th June 1892.	25th do. ..	2	4
67	Extension of Kontur channel, estimate Rs. 480 ..	21st to 30th April 1892.	2nd do. ..	3	1
71	Repairing troughs over drains do. 90 ..	21st to 30th June 1892.	15th do. ..	1	14
75	Repairs to aqueduct to carry Podaladaka No. 1 channel over Namanapalem drain, estimate Rs. 200.	27th May to 26th June 1892.	30th July 1892 ..	1	3
95	Repairing Cheyyar channel, estimate Rs. 1,900 ..	11th to 31st May 1892.	6th August 1892.	2	5
96	Clearing silt above and below Nadipudi lock, estimate Rs. 120.	1st to 31st May 1892.	4th do. ..	2	3
109	Repairing the under-tunnel of Vridha Goutami drain, estimate Rs. 1,600.	1st to 30th June 1892.	21st do. ..	1	20
117	Repairing Bhimavaram rest-house, estimate Rs. 25.	Do. do. ..	26th do. ..	1	25
136	Repairing Kakasaparru weir establishment shed ..	1st to 25th May 1892.	11th do. ..	2	15
140	Repairing Ramasetuva channel, estimate Rs. 550..	1st to 30th April 1892.	3rd do. ..	3	2
141	Repairing Jinnur channel and branches ..	18th to 30th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	2
142	Do. do. ..	1st to 20th May 1892.	Do. do. ..	2	13
145	Repairing Mogaltur channel	1st to 18th May 1892.	30th July 1892 ..	2	11

APPENDIX A—*cont.*

Voucher number in the list of accounts and vouchers.	Name of work.	Period of muster roll.	Date of payment.	Extent of delay. MTHS.	DAYS.
146	Repairing Mogaltur lock	1st to 14th May 1892.	30th July 1892 ..	2	15
149	Repairing Jinnur channel	1st to 30th April 1892.	29th do. ..	2	28
152	Do. do.	21st to 30th April 1892.	27th do. ..	2	26
153	Do. do.	11th to 20th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	6
157	Repairing Gorintada drain	1st to 20th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	6
158	Repairing Jinnur channel	1st to 10th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	16
159	Petty repairs to sluices, Kanakpur canal ..	20th to 31st May 1892.	3rd August 1892.	2	7
196	Maintenance of Byana weir and head sluice ..	11th to 20th June 1892.	27th do. ..	2	6
213	River protective works on the right side of Vasista Godávari.	1st to 31st May 1892.	24th do. ..	2	23
258	Constructing the stone and naval revetments for Rancle set.	24th to 31st May 1892.	27th July 1892 ..	2	25
259	Do. do. ..	1st to 22nd May 1892.	Do. do. ..	2	4

APPENDIX B.

STATEMENT showing delay in payment for work done and measured up as shown by the vouchers for month of August 1892.

Central office voucher No.	Amount. RS. A. P.	Sub-division.	Date of measurement.	Date of payment.
		Delay above four months.		
329	0 2 3	Central delta	24th March 1892	12th August 1892.
		Delay above three months.		
64	828 13 10	Central delta	16th May 1892	11th August 1892.
74	45 5 8	Do.	15th do.	30th do.
134	2 2 8	Eastern	16th do.	21st do.
242	18 4 9	Central delta	12th do.	26th do.
243	334 5 7	Do.	12th and 20th May 1892 ..	Do. do.
277	1 11 9	Eastern	18th May 1892	24th do.
278	3 8 0	Do.	11th do.	Do. do.
302	19 0 0	Central delta	13th do.	28th do.
		Delay above two months.		
62	12 4 8	Central delta	16th May 1892	20th July 1892.
66	54 4 0	Do.	Do. do.	10th August 1892.
72	7 5 0	Do.	22nd do.	11th do.
73	80 2 2	Do.	4th and 5th June 1892 ..	21st do.
75	2 7 3	Do.	15th May 1892	5th do.
84	13 13 8	Do.	10th June 1892	16th do.
85	18 14 10	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
86	62 9 10	Do.	11th do.	Do. do.
87	12 1 3	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
135	16 3 0	Eastern	24th May 1892	27th July 1892.
173	57 0 0	Do.	22nd do.	6th August 1892.
174	17 8 0	Do.	26th do.	Do. do.
176	1 4 0	Do.	Do. do.	28th July 1892.
178	2 2 8	Do.	10th June 1892	12th August 1892.
179	2 8 0	Do.	1st do.	23rd do.
223	70 0 0	Central delta	16th May 1892	10th do.
230	12 15 2	Do.	24th do.	12th do.
231	8 3 1	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
233	201 15 5	Do.	3rd and 23rd June 1892 ..	31st do.

APPENDIX B—*cont.*

Central office voucher No.	Amount. RS. A. P.	Sub-division.	Date of measurement.	Date of payment.
		Delay above two months—cont.		
234	328 4 6	Central delta	20th June 1892	31st August 1892.
235	45 7 0	Do.	22nd do.	Do. do.
240	32 11 11	Do.	12th, 20th and 29th June 1892.	26th do.
241	178 11 0	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
279	10 6 6	Eastern	24th May 1892	28th July 1892.
312	14 15 6	Tank	20th do.	17th August 1892.
		Delay over one month.		
46	3 10 0	Eastern	10th June 1892	27th July 1892.
59	0 9 3	Do.	21st do.	2nd August 1892.
60	3 14 5	Central delta	12th do.	25th July 1892.
63	4 5 3	Do.	13th July 1892	15th August 1892.
65	90 0 0	Do.	25th June 1892	10th do.
67	114 8 9	Do.	27th do.	Do. do.
68	192 7 4	Do.	3rd July 1892	Do. do.
80	6 10 8	Do.	21st June 1892	21st do.
103	29 10 1	Eastern	4th July 1892	25th do.
109	159 12 0	Do.	30th June 1892	26th do.
110	28 14 6	Do.	3rd July 1892	Do. do.
175	3 12 0	Do.	10th June 1892	27th July 1892.
177	2 8 0	Do.	7th do.	2nd August 1892.
188	9 1 6	Western	22nd July 1892	26th do.
189	3 0 6	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
190	3 2 0	Do.	8th do.	Do. do.
191	3 14 0	Do.	22nd do.	Do. do.
192	34 6 0	Central delta	14th June 1892	10th do.
223	7 7 6	Do.	8th do.	30th July 1892.
224	1 11 0	Do.	11th do.	Do. do.
225	3 14 5	Do.	27th do.	Do. do.
226	16 4 8	Do.	10th do.	Do. do.
227	60 10 0	Do.	3rd July 1892	31st August 1892.
232	9 3 0	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
236	15 0 0	Do.	26th June 1892	16th do.
239	153 13 5	Eastern	18th to 26th July 1892 ..	30th do.
280	41 4 0	Central delta	18th June 1892	7th do.
292	10 5 0	Do.	Do. do.	28th July 1892.
293	36 9 0	Do.	11th June 1892	30th do.
303	4 6 2	Do.	28th July 1892	31st August 1892.
317	32 2 6	Tank	8th do.	Do. do.
319	83 15 10	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
321	36 14 1	Do.	Do. do.	

No. 123 A.

Submitted to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, for favour of orders.

(Signed) I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras.

29th March 1893.

ORDER—dated 7th April 1893, No. 910 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer, Godávari Western Division.

2. With reference to para. 3 of the inspection notes, attention is drawn to G.O., No. 151 W., dated 21st January 1893.

3. The Superintending Engineer will report on the following points:—

Para. 20—As to why no receipts were obtained from the contractor named for materials issued to him.

Para. 23—As to the proper classification of rent of river islands.

Para. 24—As to whether security should be demanded from irrigation superintendents entrusted with the collection of license and toll fees.

4. The irregularity noticed in para. 26 should be rectified.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
„ other Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
„ the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Fwd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 7th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 7th April 1893, No. 910 W.

APRIL.

Inspection Notes

Orders on — of the accounts of the office of the Godávari Western division.

[illegible] No. 3259.

1128 1128
For orders. 19/11/28 W

The sanction to the Estimate reached the Ex. Engr on the 12 Sep. 92. Work was not given out till "the beginning of Jany" 93

The work was visited on the 15th & 16 Feby. 93. but "no work" was then done. Only a small amount of concrete stone had been collected.

In para 6 of his letter the Ex. Engr draws what he regards as an important distinction between "failure to effect an abstract" and "surrender" of a portion of grant. There is very little difference between the two things apparently particularly at the end of the official year, when the money cannot be readily utilized to admit of other works being commenced.

R.S.
6/IV/93

His explanation does not seem the

delayschen satisfactory

Circumstances surrounding delay must accompany in calculating for tenders but the delay in this case seems to have been excessive There is another point Kempt, the Cal. was furnished in C.O. 29/8/92 dated 19-8-92 — but no approximation of funds has only made in C.O. 8204 of 19-11-93, or three months 3 months after the Cal. was furnished — Surrender has only made in March after the Estimates 15 & 16th Feb.

It would have not appear to have received that attention that should have been bestowed upon it

6. W/S

Return 27/12/93

26

Lilac 1128/B

Can you let me have return the Papers for Mr Vyse. Sir. Owing to the press of Parliamentary business the Plans and Statement could not be completed

Yours obly

9

Note 11/28/93

The grants for works and repairs in the Vizagapatam Division during 1892-93 were as follows:—

	Rs.
44 Imperial Military —	2,500
45 Imperial Civil —	1,480
45 Provincial Civil —	71,124
Excluded local —	60
43 Minor Works and Navigation 3 —	40,158
Total Rs.	115,322

Dmj 6/4/93

No 3223.

Vizagapatam Dt. office
Wallair, 20th March 1893

From:

A. M. Foord Esq:
Executive Engineer
Vizagapatam Dt.

To

The Superintending Engineer
First Circle

Sir

With reference to your No. 7842
of 27th February 1893 calling upon me
for an explanation for the surrender
on Parvatipur Lock, I have the honor
to inform you that the estimate was
only received, sanctioned on 12th Sept.
1892.

2. Tenders had then to be called for
and the work was only given out in the
beginning of January.

*called for on 5-12-92.

3. Parvatipur is an out of the way place.
86 miles from my head quarters - taking
6 days to get there with only the buildings
at Vizianagaram to see on my way.
There is no regular work there, so bricks,
lime, stone &c are not available at
once. The bricks will have to be made.
Lime stone collected and burnt, and

stone procured from the quarry. I visited the Trail on 15th & 16th February and found no work done and only a small amount of concrete stone collected.

4. Mr. Fonseca reports that the actual amount of work that will be done by end of March is Rs 600. I think I was amply justified therefore in surrendering Rs 2000. The balance must be spent in materials. As I inserted a clause in the agreement that the contractor would be fined if he failed to spend the grant by the end of the official year, he of course purchased such materials as could be at once procured and would show a large expenditure (i.e.) asphaltic and teak wood. The asphalte floor and the doors and windows will be the last things to be done, and will remain under materials at site to the end of the work.

5. If when the estimate was submitted for sanction, I had been informed that funds would be allotted and that I might collect bricks, lime, sand, stone &c, there would have been no difficulty in spending a sum of Rs 5000 in 3 months.

6. I wish to bring to your notice that disgrace and perhaps punishment attends the failure to spend the allotment but that no such penalties are attached to the surrender of any portion of grant.

I have &c &c

Bd A. McL. Faord

Executive Engineer

Vizagapatam Dt

No 302

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer P. W. Deptt with reference to para 2 of P. McL. G. No 460 W dated 21st February 1893.

J. D. [illegible]

Supg: Engineer I Circle

25th March/93

recd 3/4.

Rc 1-4

P. W. CURRENT No. 3259

FROM

G. D. Wyllsaw Esquire

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

DATED 25th March
DESPATCHED 31st April 1893.
RECEIVED

No. 572

ENCLOSURES

SUBJECT.

Parvatipur Hill Jail —
Submitting copy of Vizagapatam Division Officer's letter giving reasons for the excess of Rs. 2000 of the grant allotted to the —

Ref Bng 671 w dy 11.3.93
Memo no 1045 cdy 6.3.93
Ex Engr 460 w dy 21.3.93
" " 320 w dy 17.4.93
" " 21902 dy 9.8.92

2/14 R22.4.93

13

18/4/93

1128/13

— Note —

(1) The Supdg Engr should be afforded his opinion as regards the Parvatipur Prisoners Asylum work & ascertain how far exception can be taken to the work.

(2) There can be no doubt that Parvatipur is one of the most difficult & out of the way places in which to carry out work & it is quite possible that some of the Contractor's complaints are correct, & that the work has been executed under considerable disadvantages.

(3) From para 6 of the

The Secretary Lascelles
Collr. at Tuticorin that
he is entitled
the Travelling
Establishment
that it is the Mad
Govt. of rewarding
by the grant part
of the allotment;
and as the failure
to carry out works
which Govt rewards
indicates, that
is blameworthy.

for

hurry by travelling.
This remark stands if there
going the Govt. under this
letter by G.O. is to rein it

No 1128-Wdf 22 April 93

Public Works Department.

1128/14

Petitioning

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Secretary
L. Engrs Coimbatore No A.S No 3223 dy 24/3/93
Lund returned by the SE - - 5/3. 25/3/93
1st Circle
Ecote by the Actg Chief dy 18/4/93
Engr

6 P

It is the Govt
Council does not
consider the
Rs allotted sufficient
Engr for the works mentioned
in August & Govt
Order No 1198 W dy 9 Aug. 92 in August & Govt
Order No 1198 W dy 9 Aug. 92 Order No 1198 W dy 9 Aug. 92 for the year 1892
November 1892 and
Order No 1198 W dy 9 Aug. 92 Order No 1198 W dy 9 Aug. 92 Order No 1198 W dy 9 Aug. 92

For observations
see letters
Enclosed to the Case.

To

The Supt. Engr., Tubiala

DESPATCHED
2 MAY 03

C No 3269

Govt. of 1893 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Read.

G.O. 22nd Sept 1893. No 1128 W

Revalipur Mill.
Fail

Orders on the
explanation
submitted regarding
the Surrender of
Rs 2000/- from
the grant for the
in Veraj[u] district

50
3

G.O. 22nd Sept
1893