(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் Dec 1880

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P W D துறை

அரசாணை எண். — 1086

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். —

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 18.4.1893 ~~1987~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

Trafficane
3rd April 93

To
G. T. Walsh Esquire;
Secretary to Government P. W. D.

Respected sir,

I beg to acknowledge with thanks the receipt of your memorandum No 11802, dated 28 March '93, furnishing me with a copy of G.O. No 3037 dated 5 November 92, ordering my reduction to a lower grade, which has been communicated to me now for the first time.

2. It would appear per the G.O. noted above, that the decision of the local Government concerning my reduction is solely based on :—

(a) Examiner's remarks on my replies vide in para 6 of his office note No 248.A, dated 15 October '92, embodied in the aforesaid G.O.

(b) Memorandum from one C. Ramakrishnama naidoo, dated 25 August '92 explaining his connection with the Executive Engineers Office &c, Embodied in the same G.O.

(c) Second office note No 266.A, dated 26 October '92 from the examiner P.W. accounts, referred to in the G.O.

3. As I have no means of learning, in the absence of the aforesaid three documents, the circumstances under which the charges preferred by the examiner were represented against me and ~~and~~ with

and considered proved, I most earnestly solicit that I may be favoured with copies of the same, so as to enable me to prove my innocence in the matter.

4. It may not be out of place to add here that, unfortunately for me, the enquiry by the examiner into the case throughout, was conducted in a manner highly prejudicial to my interests, and the matter, I regret to say was finally dealt with by him arbitrarily as noted below:—

(i) That I was called on to explain, when I was on leave in Central Division, certain points of some minute matters in the routine of transactions which took place in connection with Nellore division accounts, without allowing me reference to connected records, and when I had left Nellore some months.

(ii) That the time and space within which my replies were to be submitted were restricted, thus precluding the possibility of my explaining matters at length.

(iii) That the explanation furnished by me under the circumstances stated in above (i) and (ii) above, in which I solicited his attention to the records to trace the particular cases in each case, and which was more intended to serve as a means to explain the procedure adopted in that division, (because I thought he wanted my explanation to complete his inspection report), was treated as formal and the points for explanation were reported to Government as charges against me

1086/21

and I was left with a remark that I attempted to shirk responsibility, apparently because I refused him records which was inevitable under the circumstances.

(iv) That I was not allowed an opportunity of knowing the grounds of charges against me, and the extent to which they have been proved.

(v) That the examiners Office Note No. 248-A, dated 15 October '92, referred to above, contains a statement, which does not find a place in the 26 items of irregularity that I was directed to explain, viz. the account of his interview with a certain contractor, and this event appears to have influenced the Government in the conclusions they have arrived at against me.

(vi) That I the affected person was kept ignorant of my reduction order until you were pleased to favour me with a copy of it on the 28th March '93, though the order was dated so far back as 5 November '92.

5. Under these circumstances you will be pleased to observe that, unless and until I am favoured with copies of the documents applied for, I shall not be able to lay before Government for their consideration, the clear facts

facts bearing on the case, to retrieve my character and position which have materially suffered of late.

Begging to be pardoned for the trouble and praying for the favour of early orders,

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Accountant, D.P.W.
on leave.

Trafficane
6th April 73

To
G. T. Walch esquire,
Secretary to Government P.W.D.

Respected Sir

In obedience to G.O. No 880 W dated 30th ult'o, communicated through the examiner P.W. accounts, ordering my removal from public service, at the suggestion and on a sweeping report made as to my alleged neglect of duty by the examiner, who unfortunately for me, cherished ill will towards me, and persistantly bent upon my ruin for the past 5 months in every and every possible way. I extremely regret to say that the Government have been led by the representations of the Examiner to deal with me too severely, and against the letter and spirit of their own rulings and principle laid down in G.O. No 2274 Public dated 3 December 83 in dealing with the charges against public servants, in as much as I was condemned unheard and without reference to my service to the state for the past 26 years, to the uniformly good opinions of all my superiors (both Accountants & the auditors) who had excellent opportunities to watch, scrutinize and criticise my work and study my character throughout the aforesaid period.

2. This accident would not have occurred if I had the opportunity of representing all facts connected with my unfortunate case in due time to Government; but the Examiner has wrongfully & judiciously prevented this being done by keeping me

me ignorant of my reduction order, and not furnishing me with a copy of it until he has succeeded by his confidential reports in working out my complete ruin, my removal from the department, though the reduction order is dated so far back as the 5th November '92, and I as an affected party, should have been the first man to know it.

3. I however refrain from going any farther into the matter lest I take the time of Government unnecessarily as I intend laying my appeal before them seperately, and to admit of this saving time, I pray that, besides the copies of Examiner's office notes already ordered to be furnished me from their order, copies of all other papers read in their proceedings recorded with the G.O. No 880 N of 30th March '93, and the three Hills heavial grievances, and the piece work agreement alluded to in connection with the assessment case (together with all) Correspondence passed between the Executive Engineer and Subdivisional officer) concerning which I was not asked to explain a word, and also Rungo Lonidas petition dated 17th December '92 and examiners final report thereon may be ordered to be issued to me, with a views to enable me laying the clear facts before Government, as it would never be their intention that their subjects should be ousted merely in consequence of unfavourable opinions entertained by their official superiors for some latent reasons, but

but on tangible proofs of delinquency, especially in the case of those who have earned, or nearly earned their pension and whose working days have drawn to a close in the service of Government.

Begging to be excused for my intrusion upon your valuable moments, and praying for early orders for the issue of papers applied for,

1886

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
C. Ramsay
6/4

P. W. CURRENT No. 3362

Recd: 8.4.93

No. 3604w 4/17-12-92
" 2804w - 20-10-87
" 3345 - 27-1-88
" 2480w - 14-8-88
" 2703w - 31-8-88
" 3037w - 5-11-92
" 151w - 21-1-93
" 5340C - 16-12-92
" 5465C - 23-12-92
" 37w - 11-1-93
" 320C - 24-1-93
" 409C - 31-1-93
" 654C - 13-2-93
" 925C - 28-2-93
" 1014C - 4-3-93
" 880w - 30-3-93

" 5898 - 24-10-92
" 3405w - 17-12-91
" 3037w - 5-11-92
" 1460C - 28-3-93

SAW

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

1086/5

READ the following :—

From A. Runga Row, late Accountant, P.W.D. dd 3.4.93.
" " " " " dd 6.4.93.

FOR ORDERS.

The accompanying file contains two letters from late Accountant A. Runga Row:-

(1) dated 3.4.93 (current no. 3201) relates to his reduction which was ordered in Go. no. 3037w dd 5.11.92.

(2) dated 6.4.93 (current no. 3362) relates to his dismissal which was ordered in Go. no. 880w dd 30.3.93.

In the first letter he complains that he was kept in ignorance of his "reduction order" until a copy was applied to him from the Secretariat.

A

& he asks that he may be furnished with copies of the undermentioned documents which are referred to in the Govt's office note No 248 A 07 15-10-92. printed with Ry. No 3037 W dy 5.11.92

(a) the Govt's remarks on the accountant's replies to the charges made against the latter - this document is marked "Enclosure B" in the original file of Ry. No 3037 W dy 5.11.92.

(b) memorandum from one G. Ramakrishniah Naidu explaining his connection with the Nellore division office (enclosure C. in same Ry.)

(c) Second Office note No 266 A from the Govt, dy 26.10.92, quoted in the Ry. (this paper is current No 10706 attached to Ry. No 3037 W).

The petitioner has already been supplied with a printed copy of Ry. No 3037 W, which fully explains the grounds of his dismissal, and it is a question whether he should be furnished with copies of the further documents applied for.

In the second letter relative to his dismissal, Ramya Rao complains that he has been condemned unheard on the ex parte representations of the Examiner, and to enable him to appeal he asks that he may be supplied with copies of all the papers read above Ry. No 880 W dy 30.3.93. with reference to the above it is submitted that Ramya Rao is dismissed was not ordered by Govt until his explanation to the charges made against him had been obtained & submitted by the Examiner. He thus had full opportunity afforded him of explaining his conduct

1086
6

to

furnish Rungalkar with a copy of Govt. No 880 W of 30.3.23. of the Bari riots read therein. Shall we go most fully into the charges against the petitioner & into the reasons for which his dismissal was recommended - & appoint therefore a Commissioner of P.W. Code Sec 58, Clause IX have been sufficiently met & that no further documents need be supplied to the petitioner.

If considered necessary by the Hon. Member, the Examiner will be asked if there is any truth in Rungalkar's statement that he was kept in ignorance of his reduction from November 1922 to March 1923, but in the meanwhile

1080/7

Should be referred to Works Member

WD 12/3/23

orders are solicited as to the supply of the documents applied for.

W.S. 11.4.23

Dr.

Cs

No. 1086. Wdy 18th April 93

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1086/8

READ the following

From A. Ranga Row, Lt Assistant ✓ 3.4.93.
" " " ✓ 6.4.93.

Copies of the undermentioned document applied for by A. Ranga Row will be supplied to him from the Secretariat:—

Examiner's remarks dated 15.10.92 on replies given by A. Ranga Row to the charges framed against him in connection with certain irregularities in the accounts of the Kellem Div.

Statement of G. Ramakrishnah Naidu ✓ 25.8.92

Examiner's Office Circular No. 266 A ✓ 26.10.92

Petition from B. Venga Naidu ✓ 17.12.92.

Endt from the Supt

Supt. II Circle No 695 W.K. of 17.2.93, on letter from A. Ranga Row of 12.2.93.

Telegram from the S.S. II Circle of 14.2.93.

Supt. from the S.S. II Circle No 700 W.K. of 18.2.93, with copy of petition referred to therein.

Supt. from the S.S. II Circle No 709 W.K. of 27.2.93, with copy of plaint-referred to therein.

2. Copies of the following further papers applied for by A. Ranga Row will be furnished this direct by the Supdg Engr. II Circle:—

Nine bills, bearing the Collr's endorsements relative thereto, connected with the overpayment of Rs 166-11-3

The piece work agreement alluded to in connection with the overpayment.

~~Copies~~ All correspondence which passed between the Engr. Collr & the Medl. Divisional officer on the same subject.

(Forwarded)

No 1086 W. dt/ 18th April 1893

To Lr. R. Rg. A. Ranga Row with copies of papers enumerated in para 1 of the S.S. II Circle