

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12.
- (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் ஏப்ரல் 1990.
- (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 100.

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

39

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	982	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	24-3-1892	198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

6 Shakti
A. S. S. S. S.

6

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper:—

From H. IRWIN, Esq., C.I.E., Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepāk, 18th March 1892, No. 4334.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter, No. 89, dated 17th March 1892, from the Government Solicitor informing the result of the trespass case at the Government Brickfields ordered to be instituted in G.O., No. 3214 W., dated 1st February 1891, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to move Government to pass very early orders regarding the immediate acquisition of the land under the Act.

2. I am afraid, if this is not done at once, there will be no end of troubles from the reddie, who, it appears, have already informed the Government Prosecutor that the land in question will in future be utilized by them as they did prior to its transfer to this department.

3. I beg also to state that if there is no objection the charge of Rs. 2 incurred in obtaining the copies of certain deposition from the magistrate will be debited to magisterial contingencies as ordered in G.O., No. 482 W., dated 15th February 1892.

ENCLOSURE.

From E. BARCLAY, Esq., Government Solicitor, to the Consulting Architect to Government, dated 17th March 1892, No. 89.

I regret to inform you that, after a lengthy hearing and an inspection by the magistrate of the land on which the accused we said to have committed trespass, the charge against them has been dismissed. No grounds were given by the magistrate for dismissing the case, but apparently he did so, as he was not satisfied but that the accused were lawfully in possession of or had occupancy rights in the land in question. The Crown Prosecutor who conducted the prosecution is of opinion that the magistrate was right in dismissing the case.

2. The Crown Prosecutor suggests my obtaining a complete copy of the recorded evidence and a copy of the judgment if any (for the magistrate simply dismissed the case) and then seeing what further steps Government should take. This however will be a matter for the consideration of Government, as will also be the question as to whether the land should be taken up under the Land Acquisition Act. If matters go on as they have been going there are likely to be frequent charges and counter-charges of trespass.

3. Of course if Government is in a position to prove its title in the land, which, however, I think is very doubtful, Government might bring a suit to eject the occupants, but I am not in a position at present to advise this course and could not do so until all the old records relating to the land have been very carefully gone into.

ORDER—dated 24th March 1892, No. 982 W., Public Works.

The title of Government to the land in question should be clearly established by the Collector. If there is any doubt on this point, the Land Acquisition Act should be put in force.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 24th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 24th Mar. 1892, No. 982 W.

MARCH.

Land

Directing that the title of Government to certain — adjoining the Government Brickfields at Madras be clearly established by the Collector, and if there is any doubt on this point, the Land Acquisition Act be put in force.

No. 1.

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
18th March 1892.

No. 4334.

From

Henry Swin, Esq., C.I.E.,

Consulting Architect

to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer

Public Works Department

Sir,

/ Enclosures.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No. 89 dated 17 March 1892 from the Government Solicitor informing the result of the trespass case at the Government Brickfields — ordered to be instituted in S. O. No. 3214, dated 1st February 1891, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to move Government to pass very early orders regarding the immediate acquisition of the land under the Act.

2 I am afraid if this is not done at once, there will be no end of troubles from the Reddis, who, it appears have already informed the Government Prosecutor that the land in question will in future be utilized by them as they did prior to its transfer to this Department.

3 I beg also to state that if there

is no objection the charge of Rs 2/- incurred in
in obtaining the copies of certain depositions
from the Magistrate will be debited to Magisterial
contingencies as ordered in G.O. No 482 dated 15th
February 1892.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servants

Henry Irvine

Consulting Architect
to Governments.

982/3

3

No. 7.

CURRENT No. 2986

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 4334

Dated

Despatched

Received

18th

March 1892

No. of ENCLOSURES. /

Subject.

Requesting to move Govt:
to pass orders regarding the
immediate acquisition
of certain land adjoining
the Govt. Brickfields under
the Act.

No. 659 W. 4/24-2-88
" 2688 W. 10-10-91
" 2670 W. 10-10-91
" 482 W. 15-2-92
" 3214 W. 1-12-91
" 290 W. 1-12-92

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Chepák, 189

No.

COPY of a communication from the Larnest Barclay
Government Solicitor to the Consulting Architect
to Government, No. 89, dated 17th March 1892

I regret to inform you that after a lengthy hearing and an inspection by the Magistrate, of the land on which the accused we said to have committed trespass, the charge against them has been dismissed. No grounds were given by the Magistrate for dismissing the case; but apparently, he did so as he was not satisfied but that the accused were lawfully in possession of or had occupancy rights in the land in question. The crown prosecutor who conducted the prosecution, is of opinion that the Magistrate was right in dismissing the case.

The Crown Prosecutor suggests my obtaining a complete copy of the recorded evidence and a copy of the judgment if any (for the Magistrate simply dismissed the case) and then seeing what further steps

Steps Government should take.
 This however will be a matter
 for the consideration of Govt.,
 as will also be the question as
 to whether the land should be
 taken up under the Land Ac-
 quisition Act. If matters go
 on as they have been going
 there are likely to be frequent
 charges and counter charges of
 trespass.

3. Of course if Government
 is in a position to prove its
 title in the land, which however
 I think is very doubtful, Govern-
 ment might bring a suit to eject
 the occupants, but, I am not in
 a position at present to advise
 this course, and could not do
 so until all the old records re-
 lating to the land have been
 very carefully gone into.

(True Copy)

Henry Irvine
 Consulting Architect

No. 982 Wd 24 March 1892
 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
 CIVIL WORKS
 PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following
 Letter from the Consy. Architect to Govt. No.
 4334 dated 18th March 1892.
 4th P.W.D. 98th/4

The title of Govt.
 to the land in question
 should be clearly
 established by the
 Collector. If there is
 any doubt on this
 point, the Land Ac-
 quisition Act should
 be put in force.

S
 21.3
 21.3/3

The Consy. Archt.
 Revenue Dept.
 The Secy to Govt.
 Revenue Dept. for favor
 of counterinitials / R.S.

~~11/11~~

2/8/70

982/6

12-9-12 B.T.

C No 2986

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

27/3/92

Date

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 24 March 1892. No. 982. W

Land.

Directing that the title
of Govt. to ^{certain} ~~the~~ ^{adjacent}
the Govt. Brickfields at
Madras be clearly established
by the Collector, and if
there is any doubt on this
point the Land Acquisition
Act be put in force.

(6)

20/4

2/25/3

To the Secy