

- 6
- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
- (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 13-2-1990
- (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 100

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

30

அரசாணை எண்.	970	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் : 24-3-1892		198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3 Shats

[Signature]

ஆவண. எ-1-18,000-15-6-87.

Chellam



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

970
1

Read the following paper:—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, of 26th February 1892, N^o 1011 C, referring to the Financial Department for decision on Office Note from the Examiner P. W. Accounts, enquiring to what head should be charged the sum of Rs. 246-12-6-4 incurred by the Superintending Engineer V. Birdie, in March 1888, for the use of the Steamer "Margaret Northcote" when visiting the light houses at Pamben and Mouthum.

Order, of 18th March 1892, N^o 333.

The charge should be finally shown under grant 21 Marine.

2. It is not satisfactory that the question should have been allowed to remain undecided since 1888.

(True extract)

W. Brodie
Ag. Under Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department, (with office Note),
" Accountant General,
" Presidency Port Offices.

Rm.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1892.

{ P. W.
DEPT. }

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189 .

Madras, 23 February 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 285 SA.

To

W.

970
1/2

The Superintending Engineer 6th. Circle has forwarded to me copy of letter from the Executive Engineer Tinnevely Division, No. 291 of 31st. January 1892, inquiring to what head the charge of Rs. 246-12-6 (sanctioned in G.O. No. 184 W. dated 16th. January 1892) for use of the Pearl Fishery Steamer "Margaret Northcote" to inspect the Light-Houses at Pamben etc, should be debited.

2. If the charge is debitable to this department at all, there is little doubt that a charge of the above kind should fall under the head of "Establishment, travelling allowances", that being the most suitable account head. The only question is as to the authority competent to admit the charge under that head.

3. There is no rule either in the P.W. Code or in the Civil Service Regulations under which the charge can be audited under "Establishment, travelling allowance". In a somewhat similar case of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, who

had to make use of a special train when proceeding to inspect the Cauveripauk tank, which was in imminent danger of breaking, (vide letter from the Government of India No. 695 G. dated 18th. May 1885), the Government of India, however, in sanctioning the charge (under the above head) as a special case, added that the sanction of that Government should have been obtained before the item was ordered to be finally passed.

4. I would, however, submit for consideration whether the charge is a legitimate debit to the P.W.D., as the work (Inspection of light houses) was really done in the interests of the Marine Department.

5. I would also bring to notice the length of time the claim has been allowed to sleep, the inspection of the light-houses having been performed in March 1888.

No 1011 C of 26-2-92

Referred to the
Financial Department
for decision as to the
Department which
should bear the
charge.

Wash

C.

Wash

Prof

Prof

Col R.R.

Secretary to Govt

Wash

To The Financial Dept. with
Copies of G.O 585 of 18 Feb
" 164 W. 16 Jan

No 164 W of 20-1-85
" 1277 W of 2-6-85
" 2026 W of 1-9-85
" 1218 W of 13-5-86
" 1612 W of 24-6-86
" 109 C of 13-1-87
" 1470 C of 9-2-87
" 264 W of 20-1-88
" 256 W of 21-1-88
" 75 W of 7-1-88
" 162 C of 13-1-88
" 537 C of 7-2-88
" 660 W of 24-2-88
" 590 C of 10-2-88
" 588 W of 18-2-88
" 1105 W of 25-1-89
" 1011 C of 26-2-92

27/3

Govt. of 18 | Madras

To

The M.D.

Encl 1

Recd: 22.3.92

No. 970 Wap 24 March 1892

Communicated to
the officers concerned.

Proceedings, dated 18-3-1892,
No. 333

Subject.

S 275

over
22.3.92

Directing with remarks
that the charge for
use of the Pearl Fishery
Steamer "Margaret"
Northcott to inspect
the light house at
Pamban is be finally
shown under grant
21 Marine

(3)

With order

S. W. C. L. 25/3
R. P. W. A.
C. S.

P. 243

7c. 25/3