

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 11/7
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

133

அரசாணை எண்.	868	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் : 16-3-1892		1987

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

8 sheets

No. 243 C.E.

Office of Superintendent of Works,

No 1, Periyar Division.

Periyar dated 9 Feb: 1892

From

W.B. deWinton Esq.,

SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS, NO. 1, PERIYAR DIVISION.

PERIYAR.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department,
Madras.

Sir,

ENCLOSURE

Under paras 101 and 486 P.W.
Code, I have the honor to request
that you will be good enough to
obtain the sanction of Government
to the appointment of Serjt. L.
Cope, Supervisor 1st Grade, to be
"Instructor" to apprentice Overseer
Private G. Brand, now stationed
here --

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t Servt.,

S. D. Pears.

for Superintendent of Works,
No 1 Periyar Division.

ma 8/2

P. W. CURRENT No. 1404

2613/52

From,

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF WORKS.

No. 1, Periyar Division.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D. Madras
No. 243C.E.

Enclosure - u -

Dated 9th February 1892.
Received 12th

Sergeant E. Cope Supervisor
1st Grade — Requests
sanction to the appointment
of — as instructor
to apprentice Overseer,
Private G. Brand —



151

My 57 C of 13-2-92

To C
For favour of
remarks.

over
12th Feb
Dul

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

189

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1892.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 10 March 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 370. C

To

W.

Your office note No. 757 C. of 13th.
February 1892.

P.W.Code para 101 before its modification by standing order No. 1078 of 11th. January 1890 admitted of the appointment of an experienced Upper Subordinate as an apprentice instructor, and the remuneration for such an appointment was laid down in para 486 at Rs. 50 per mensem.

2. The above para (101) as modified in January 1890, however, makes no provision for such an appointment; and this leads me to think that the appointment of an apprentice instructor was considered unnecessary under the new curriculum sanctioned for the Madras College of Engineering, the intention probably having been that the requisite practical training should be acquired within the prolonged course of instruction at that College.

3. If this view be correct, para 486

of the code should have been expunged at the same time that para IOI was modified, but this was probably overlooked. Read with the modified para IOI, para 486 is meaningless, for, as explained above, the "provision of para IOI", as it now stands, preclude the appointment in question.

11/3

C.

11/3
(Eucome)

Encl 1

Recd. 10.3.92

- No. 1389C 2/12-3-91
- " 1716C 25-3-91
- " 738 22-4-91
- " 2679C 27-5-91
- " 5706C 17-12-91
- " 183C 11-1-92
- " 469C 29-1-92
- " 683C 10-2-92

14 Jan 11-3-92

SM

2614

86.8W

10/3/92

SW

for orders.

It is not known why provision for the appointment of apprentice instructors was omitted when Code para 101 was revised, but from the fact that Code para 486 was not expunged at the time, it may be assumed that the intention was to continue such appts. The words "under the provision of para 101" should however have been struck out in para 486.

Para 30, clause II, Vol. I, G.O.D. code provides for the appt. of apprentice instructors, but does not name their remuneration at the time the S.O.D. sanctioned the allowance of Rs 50 to instructors in madras (in 1881), it stated that the practice in Bengal was to give the pay of the next

Copy of Memo No 101 to the Madras P. W. Code

10/3/92

Soldiers are permitted to study at the civil engineering college for the purpose of qualifying themselves for the situation of overseers, under rules laid down in general orders for the commander-in-chief, which can be ascertained from the principal. They will go through the course prescribed at the college, and will then be attached to the department as apprentice overseers. These overseer apprentices will be placed for one year under the department, and will be stationed at places where large works are in progress under charge of the Executive Engineer or an experienced upper subordinate selected as apprentice instructor.

of the code should have been expunged at the same time that para IOI was modified, but this was probably overlooked. Read with the modified para IOI, para 486 is meaningless, for, as explained above, the "provision of para IOI", as it now stands, preclude the appointment in question.

10/3

C.

10/3
(Enclosure)

No. 1389C 4/12-3-91
" 1716C - 25-3-91
" 738 - 22-4-91
" 2679C - 27-5-91
" 5706C - 17-12-91
" 183C - 11-1-92
" 469C - 29-1-92
" 683C - 10-2-92

higher grade to whom so appointed - his super will be got up if required. Apparently there is no objection to the app. if Govt. see fit to sanction it -

It is not understood how the requisite practical training can be acquired within the prolonged course of instruction at the College - vide para 2 of C's note - the practical training of military app. is precisely the same as it was before -

Ce
10/3/92

I don't know what the intention was of para 101 which was revised -

After joining the Engineer Subordinate Class instructions to be afforded this class similar to that given to Civil Eng. pupils and nothing is said about paid instruction for that class -

Para 30 C. & M.E. H.P. Code contemplated paying batches of Engineer Apprentices under an experienced Subordinate as Apprentice Instructors.

Copy of packet No 101 to the Madras P. W. Code

Soldiers are permitted

to study at the civil engineering college for the purpose of qualifying themselves for the situation of overseers, under rules laid down in general orders by the commander-in-chief, which can be ascertained from the principal. They will go through the course prescribed at the college, and will then be attached to the department as apprentice overseers. These overseer apprentices will be placed for one year under the department, and will be stationed at places where large works are in progress under charge of the Executive Engineer or an experienced upper subordinate selected as apprentice instructor.

of the code should have been expunged at the same time that para IOI was modified, but this was probably overlooked. Read with the modified para IOI, para 486 is meaningless, for, as explained above, the "provision of para IOI", as it now stands, preclude the appointment in question.

Prop

c.

Prop
(Eucome)

No. 1389C-4-12-3-91

" 1716C-25-3-91

" 738-22-4-91

" 2679C-27-5-91

" 5706C-17-1-91

" 183C-11-1-92

" 469C-27-1-92

" 683C-10-2-92

14 Jan 11-3-92

and the wording of para 486. The code seems to indicate that the specially appointed upper subordinate should instruct more than one apprentice overseer. Perhaps the Executive had before him referred for orders - I don't say that this is a mistake. It is known requires a special instructor.

W. S. G.

Copy of Book No. 101 to the
Madras P. W. Code

48/4

Soldiers are permitted to study at the civil engineering college for the purpose of qualifying themselves for the situation of overseers, under rules laid down in general orders for the commander-in-chief, which can be ascertained from the principal. They will go through the course prescribed at the college, and will then be attached to the department as apprentice overseers. These overseer apprentices will be placed for one year under the department, and will be stationed at places where large works are in progress under charge of the Executive Engineer or an experienced upper subordinate selected as apprentice instructor.

48/4

Read the following:—

Letter from the Supdt of Works No I Periyar
Division No 243 C.E. d/9.ii.92

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts.
No 370 S.A. dated 10.iii.92

88/6

FOR ORDERS.

Unfortunately neither
the present Under
Secretary nor myself was
in office when the S.O.
quoted by the Examiner
was introduced and
I have been unable
to ascertain with any
approach to certainty
whether the omission
of the reference to the
apprentice instructor
contained in the old
para 101^x was intentional
or not.

^x
copy attached

2. Of course para 486
as it now stands is
meaningless, but it
would be consistent

with para 101 if the words "under the provisions of para 101" were put after "apprentices" instead of after "Government" so as to make them apply to the training of apprentices instead of to the ^{appointment of the} trainers.

3. I certainly think that some remuneration should be given to the subordinate who undertakes this somewhat responsible and (if properly performed) very troublesome duty, but it is a question whether the sum of £50 is not too much here, as in the present case, there is only one apprentice to be trained.

4. It was fixed with the idea that the apprentices would be sent for training in batches of four or five;

I don't think there is any doubt as to the intention of the revised para 101. It says that soldier students are to join the subordinate class for the ordinary theoretical training course. Obviously therefore the new practical course takes the place of the old training in apprentices. Para 98 merely regulates the allowances they are to draw during the year of practical training. I think the fundamental principle would have been more logical to have abolished the grade of apprentice overseer altogether or to have extended it so as to include civilian students. I should prefer the former. No allowance to the overseer is given for the training of the civilian students while undergoing their practical training. I see no reason why it should be given in respect of soldier students. I consider that no allowance should be given in this case that have £46. should be given.

12 Mar.

perhaps an allowance of £20 per man with a maximum of £80 — would be a suitable amount.

5. The intention cannot be as supposed in para 2 of the Examiner's note, for the practical training of Military apprentices during their year out works remaining precisely as before (vide para 101 &); this year corresponds to the year of practical training out works provided for Civilian students by para 101 a & b. It is in fact included in the "prolonged course of instruction at the College".

S
W
11.3

158

FOR ORDERS.

No. 868 W of 16 March 1892
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Super Works,
No 1 Perijar 3rd {H.E. No 243 C.E. d/9. ii. '92)
Office Note from the S^r
P. W. Accts {S. 370 S.A. d/10. iii. '92)

8/6
The Government
observes that no al-
lowance to P.W. officers
is given for the training
of civilian students
while undergoing
their practical training,
and there is no reason
why it should be
given in respect of
soldier students. The
application of the
Superintendent of Works
Perijar Project is
therefore disallowed.
2. Para 486 P.W. Code

11-3-92

is cancelled.

S₁₆₂

No. 868 Wap/6-3-92

E. W. (8)

S. of W. River Bridge

E. of W. Act.

Ch. to G. for info.

017/3

Can

19/3

J. C. 18/3