

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 4/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/2

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

127

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	856	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 14-3-1892	198	

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 Sheets 88

P. W. CURRENT No.

776th = 57610

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 19 December 1891

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W 850/1

To

C hy

Your office note

No. 7790 of 14.12.91

Please forward for
reference the completion
report furnished by
the Comm. Architect
for repairs to steps
of St. Andrew's Church.

W.B.
19/12/91

No. 49. of 9.1.92

To W.

Herewith the completion
Report required.

Hy

(Enclosure) b. B. 9/1

No. 197 C of 12 January
1892
To
CA.

Details of the expenditure
are required by Government
with reference to your
letter no. 3403 of 11.12.91,
but the completion report
does not give this. Please
submit an abstract estimate
working up to the total of
Rs 4275-11-5 now requiring
sanction.

gvt
11-1-92

OW

No. 3675 of 17 January 1892

To

C.

Please send me as early
as possible all the bills
connected with this work
in order to enable me to
prepare the Abstract Estimate
called for. I cannot trace
any of these bills (with the
exception of the last one)

P. W. CURRENT No. 283
1168.6
from the records of the late
Presidency By transfer from

H. Inman
Comm. Acct
10 Dec 1891
Recd: 11-1-92

No. 4216 of 8 March 1892

To

H. Inman

Herewith detailed com-
pletion Report.

H. Inman

Consulting Architect
to Govt.

No. 1807 C of 10-4-90
" 1538 W of 3-6-90
" 2792 W of 20-10-90
" 2566 C of 20-5-91
" 2856 C of 11-6-91
" 3207 C of 7-7-91
" 2162 W of 11-8-91
" 3141 W of 23-11-91.

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
11 December 1891.

No. 3403

From

Henry Inman, Esq., C.I.C.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department.

Sir,

Enclosures.

3141 W of 23.11.91

With reference to the remark
against item 6 of the Provincial C. I. statement
for the period ending with 31 August 1891 I have
the honor to state that I was not able to
close the accounts of the work as the contractor
to whom the work was entrusted by the
Executive Engineer late Presidency Division,
disputed the claim which was long left
unsettled. The contractor died about January
last and his brother the guardian of the
minor son of the deceased contractor was
asked to receive the money due (as finally
settled by Colonel Burton) from this Division.
This he refused to do, as the amount
offered was very much less than what was
claimed.

As the money due to deceased
contractors has however been attached & paid
into the Court of Poona Mallu, the accounts
of the work was finally closed and necessary
completion Report with certificate has since
been submitted to the Examiners of P. W.
Accounts for verification. The total outlay
on the work incurred in the late Presidency
Division is only Rs 4275. 11. 5.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Sd/- J. R. R.
Submitting Architect
to Government

85/3

P. W. CURRENT No. 13002

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT

TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 3403

Dated

11th December 1891.

Received

Recd. 19.12.91

No. of ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Submitting explanation for
not closing the accounts
of the work
carried for Repg. the Stuph
of St. Andrews Church.



15th Dec 1891

No. 5618 C of 11th December 1891

To

C

For favour of
reminders with reference
to item No. 5 of the O. S.
statement of the Consulting
Architect's Division for the
quarter ending 31st August
1891.

To W.

Please see my office note No.
7790 dated 14th. December 1891 in which
the facts of the case have been report-
ed.

Offg. C.

Account-receivable
discrepancy
view asked
form no 5761C
2/15/91
C. W. D.

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
11th December 1891.

No. 3404

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.C.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Executives of
Public Works Accounts
Madras.

Early reply

Sir,

Sures.

With reference to your letter No. 7671
dated 9th December 1891 I have the honor
to state that I was not able to close
the accounts of the work as the
contractor to whom the work was
entrusted by the Executive Engineer
late Presidency Division, disputed
the claim which was long left un-
settled. The contractor died about
January last and his brother the
guardian of the minor son of the
deceased contractor was asked to
receive the money due (as finally
settled by Col. Bantony from this Division).
This he refused to do as the amount
offered

offered was very much less than what was claimed.


As the money due to deceased contractor has however been attached & paid into the Court of Poencornallac the accounts of the work was finally closed and necessary completion Report with Certificate has since been submitted to you for verification. The total outlay on the work incurred in the late Presidency Division is only Rs 4275-11-5.

The amount of Rs 3908 entered in column B is the amount of estimate as submitted by the Sub Divisional Officer to the Directeur Ingenieur late Presidency Division for sanction but the estimate for this work has not been sanctioned by me as the work has long before been completed.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most Obedt Servt

Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Govt



KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT. OF MADRAS.

189/.

{ P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

ted

189

Madras, 14 December 1891.

OFFICE NOTE No. 7780.

To

W.

3141 W.D. 23.11.91

with 5618 C. 14.12.91

In the Provincial statement of objectionable items in respect of estimates, relating to the Consulting Architect Division for the period ending 31st. August 1891, the very long standing irregularity as regards outlay incurred without estimate, on repairs to the steeple of St. Andrews Church, was prominently brought to notice of Government (please see item No. 5 of the statement referred to) and the Accountant General P.W.D., in his letter No. 1021 G. dated 30th. November 1891 has now instructed me to specially report the irregularity to Government with a view to its early removal.

2. The reason why no estimate was sanctioned for this work in the late Presidency Division (during the existence of which division the work is said to have been commenced and finished) has not been explained, but you will see from the accompanying letter from the Consulting Architect to Government, that

No. 3404 dated 11th. December 1891.

P. W. CURRENT No. 13136

the delay in closing the accounts of the work and submitting a completion report was due to protracted disputes with the contractor for the work, regarding the amount of his claim.

3. Matters have however, as reported by the Consulting Architect, now been settled by the payment of the balance due into a Court at Poonamallee by which it was attached, and as a completion report (although not in the authorized form) for the work has since been furnished, Government may perhaps under the explanation afforded authorize the outlay viz Rs. 4,275-11-5 being finally passed without estimate.

Offg. C.

14/12
(Enclosure)

No. 862 w/ 31-3-84
" 1733 w. 1-7-89
" 3066 w. 30-10-89
" 1006 w. 31-3-90
" 2262 c. 16-5-90
" 2532 c. 7-6-90
" 1719 w. 20-6-90
" 1882 w. 12-7-90
" 2484 w. 17-9-90
" 4320 c. 1-10-91
" 2829 w. 26-10-91
" 1018 w. 11-4-91
" 1463 w. 29-5-91
" 1665 w. 6-8-88
" 1855 w. 7-7-91
" 2162 w. 11-8-91
" 5618 c. 14-12-91
H. 17/12. R. 18/12.

Enclosed

Recd: 15-12-91

Is there anything to show how this work has been spent? There was no damage that I remember to the tower except that the sand or finish was blown off in a cyclone.

W. 18 Dec.

The Examiner is authorized to finally admit the expenditure of Rs 4275-11-5 incurred on repairs to the steeple of St. Andrew's church Madras.

S. 19.11

0003
17.11.91

Exr. P. W. A. A. A.
C. A. to Govt.

P. W. Current No. 13136 of 1891

Resubmitted to the Hon. Member, with a revised completion report giving details of the expenditure of Rs 4275-11-5.

The work was completed in 1889, and it will be seen from the previous papers that the Contractor's claims on account of it were settled after much difficulty.

There is nothing to be sent to sanction.

W. 12.11.91

H. 11-3-92

No. 856 of 14 March 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Commr. Architect to Govt. No. 3403 dated
11th December 1891.

Office note from the Exr. P.W. Dept. No. 7790 dated
14th December 1891

Do ————— No. 7943 dated
18th December 1891.

Office note from the Commr. Archt. to Govt.
No. 4216 dated 8th March 1892

87/1

The Commr. is
authorised to finally
admit the expenditure
of Rs 4275-11-5 incurred
on repairs to
the steeple of St.
Andrew's Church, Madras.

Sur

0003
14.3.92

The Exr. P.W. Dept.
with 2 enclosures
Commr. Archt. to Govt. 1892

Cu

No. 856 May 14-3-92

B. 9. 11

(7)

317

J. C. 16/3

56180-14-12-91
H. 17/12. R. 18/12