

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 24
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/12
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 102

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

117

அரசாணை எண்.	846	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் : 14-3-1882		198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

12 Shaks

Executive Engineer's office
13th February 1892

No. 567

From

J. C. Lammie Esq
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore Division

To.

The Examiners
of Public Works Accounts
Madras

2/1
for remarks
16/2

Sir,


Enclosure 1.
1. Form.

With reference to G. O. No. 2081
dated 21st January 1892 sanctioning
for adoption certain modifications
proposed by you as to the manner
in which the Register of works is
to be kept in, I have the honor
to again invite your attention to
my letter No. 3019 dated 14th May 1891
in which I show that no possible
good result is attained by the
entry in the works register of works
costing Rs 2500 and under, as the
expenditure, month by month and
the total expenditure to end of each
month

Under these circumstances, I would suggest that you should place the matter before Government and obtain orders dispensing with the maintenance of Register of works costing Rs 2,500 and under.

Executive Engineer
Bombay Division

Executive Engineer
Bombay Division



846
2

(ii) The progress report shows the outlay on each work only when the cost exceeds Rs.500, outlay on works costing between Rs.500 and Rs.200 under each budget head being lumped together (code para 30I) whereas the Register of Works is intended to record the outlay on each work separately.

(iii) The progress report should reach Supg. Engineer's office as early in the month as possible (not later than the 15th.) and in this view it has been ordered that the figures need be approximate only, & need not be corrected if actual expenditure when known differs from it, (Form M.9I, note I, standing order No.148), whereas actuals only must be recorded in the Register of Works.

(iv) Code para 1865(III) prescribes that the Register shall show for each work the amounts of

- Advances to work people,
- Total charges,
- Arrears due,
- Total payments,

whereas the Progress Report form does not provide for the record of this information.

3. The form of Progress Report No. M.9I, prescribed by Code para 30I is much simpler than that forwarded by the Executive Engineer, which has been made more elaborate than what the rules

require, apparently with the object of substituting it for the Register of Works, and yet it does not provide all the information which the Register of Works should give.

4. In my opinion, simplification in the Executive Engineers form of Progress Report is what is really needed. The relief that has been given to divisional offices, in G.O.No.208 of 21st. January 1892, in connection with the Registers of Works, is all that I am prepared to recommend.

Sd/-
18/11/3
G.
Sd/-
18/11/3
(mev)

Nos. 916-7W/20-3-88

" 2871W " 14-7-88

" 470-1W " 16-2-89

" 882W " 24-3-91

" 1747W " 29-6-91

" 2590W " 28-9-91

" 3053W " 14-11-91

" 6W " 4-1-92

" 208W " 21-1-92

R. 9.3.92

E. 2

Office Note by the Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras, No. 358 S. A. dated 8. III. 92Note by the Chief
Engineer P. W. D. —

I agree with the Examiner and am not prepared to support the proposal to abolish the Register of Works for works costing Rs 2500 and under.

2. I do not agree with the Executive Engineer that the Progress Report could be a sufficient substitute for the Register of Works in these cases.

S

E. W.

Madras,
9. III. 92

P. T. O.

For Orders.

S
W
107

Order accordingly

11/11/11

X 10-3-92 R 12/3

No. 12

Executive Engineer's Office
Salem Dist. 5th January

From,

G. E. Manson Esq.
Executive Engineer
Salem Division

To,

The Examiner
P.W. Account.

Sir,

With reference to your
final remarks of 12th ultimo
against query No. 28 of the account
-Audit's transfer Report. I have the
honor to inform you that the in-
formation furnished by the
Coimbatore Division Office for
the starting of Register of works in
this Division is only for Major
works, that is for Estimates costing
over Rs. 2500 and not for works
costing over Rs. 200 as required
by Code para 1866

2.

I have, therefore, pending

Your instructions maintained the Register of works in this Office only for Major Works as it will be very inconvenient and waste of time to collect and bring forward the required information for the maintenance of the same in the case of minor works in progress

3. In case the expected change in the way of simplification of accounts is not brought into effect by 1st April next the Register of works for minor works will be started in this Division from the commencement of the next official year.

I have etc
1/2/1 G E Manson
Exec Eng
G E Manson
Exec. Engineer 3/13/92



N.B.—In any future communication on this subject, the number and date of this letter should be quoted; and the communication should be addressed to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, and not to any officer by name.

I. S. Sherlock-Hubbard Esq.
FROM Major C. H. P. CHRISTIE, R.E.,
EXAMINER OF P. W. ACCOUNTS, MADRAS,

To
The Executive Engineer,
Salem Division.

No. 130-E. Madras, 22 January 1892.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. I E. dated 5th. January 1892, I have the honour to state that if through failure of the Executive Engineer Coimbatore Division to furnish the necessary information, you find it difficult to obtain the particulars necessary for opening your register of works for minor works, you should report the circumstances to Government through the Superintending Engineer and obtain orders. I have no powers to sanction the non-observance of Code rules.


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant.

I. S. Sherlock-Hubbard
Offg. Dy. Examiner,
for Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras.

E. S.
1/2/2606/92



N^o 567

Executive Engineer's office
13th February 1892

From

J. C. Lammie Esq
Executive Engineer
Coimbatore Division

To

The Examiner of P. W. Accounts
Madras

Sir,

With reference to G. O. No. 208^W of 21st January 1892 sanctioning for adoption certain modifications proposed by you as to the manner in which the Register of works is to be kept up, I have the honor to again invite your attention to my letter No. 3019 dated 14th May 1891 in which I show that no possible good result is attained by the entry in the works register, of works costing Rs 2500 and under, as the expenditure month by month and the total expenditure to end of each month, on each work irres-
-pective

£3
P.W. 2606/92

irrespective of the amount of estimate are recorded in the monthly Progress Report of works maintained in the Divisional office in the form herewith forwarded for your perusal.



2 Such being the case the maintenance of Work Registers for works of the kind is tantamount to recopying the entries in the Progress Report into Work Register, a useless waste of time and paper.

3 Under these circumstances I would suggest that you should place the matter before Government and obtain orders dispensing with the maintenance of Registers of works costing Rs 2500 and under.

I have &c

(Signed) J. C. Larmine
Executive Engineer

No 646

Copy Forwarded to the Executive Engr Salem Division with reference to his letter No 191 M of the 13th Instant.

J. C. Larmine
Executive Engineer

AB
9 Mar
22/1/92

No 9782

From,

Executive Engr's Office
3rd March
3rd February 1892

G. E. Manson Esq
Executive Engineer
Salem D.

To,

The Suptg Engineer
IV Circle.

Sir,

84/10

In forwarding for re-

Encls 3.

ference a copy of my letter No. 18
5-1-92

to the Examiner P. W. accounts this
reply thereto No. 130E
22-1-92

Coimbatore Division Officer's letter No. 567
13-2-92

to the Examiner. I have the honor
to request that, if necessary, the
sanction of Government may
be obtained for continuing the
maintenance of the Register of
Works in the form in which it

has hitherto been maintained as
I received from Coimbatore Division
to viz, Excluding Estimates for works
below Rs. 2500 in value. The
Coimbatore Division officers argu-
ment cannot be traversed.

All the information given by
the Register is to be found in the
Schedules of Expenditure and
Register of liabilities. If it is
considered necessary a Register
will be opened from 1st April
for the works in question

I have the honour,
Sir,
Your most obedt^t servant

L. J. Manson
Executive Engineer
3/3/92



he 6/1/92
--208 m/21-1-92

8/11

8/1
NW 2606/9

clm
1/3/92



From

The Executive Engineer,
Salem
Coimbatore Division,

To

The Supg. Engineer,
Fourth Circle,
Coimbatore.

Dated 3 }
Despatched 4 } March 1891
Received

No. 9752

ENCLOSURES 3.

SUBJECT.

P. W. D. No. 2606

Sp 118
W

Submitted to the Chief
Engineer P.W.D. with a
request that he will be
good enough to obtain
orders of Government
dispensing with the
necessity of maintaining
work registers for works
estimated to cost Rs 2500
and under.

Ruedrahn-Kuchmar

Attd. R.E.

Supg. Engineer 4th Circle

7th March 1892

Encd 3. Which is submitted for decision.
from 2500. in question is submitted for decision.
over 2500. in question is submitted for decision.
over 2500. in question is submitted for decision.

Register of Works
Requesting to obtain
sanction of Govt
for the non-maintenance of — for
minor works
within

No. 846 W of 14 March 1892
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the E.E. Coimbatore D. (H.E. No. 567 of 13. iii. 92)
Office Note by the E.E. P.W. Dept. (H.E. No. 358, S.A. of 8. iii. 92)
Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. (H.E. No. 47 S.E. of 4. iii. 92)
Letter from the E.E. Coimbatore D. (H.E. No. 110 W of 7. iii. 92)
Ends by the S.E. IV Circle (H.E. No. 110 W of 7. iii. 92)

846/W

The Government
is not prepared to
adopt the Executive
Engineer's proposal
to dispense with the
Register of Works for
works costing Rs 2500
and under.

S.E. IV Circle
Ex-wards. 17/3/92

12.3.92

12.3

R.S.

✓
No. 846 May 14-3-92

(12)

5/16/3.

J.C. 16/3

Within