(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 32

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் அக்டோ 90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

# தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

68

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| அரசாணை எண். <br> மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். | 762-3 | அச்சகம் <br> பலவகை |
| நாள் : | 8- 3- 1892 | ~~198~~ |

**மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது**

16 Sheets

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

8th March 1892, No. 762 W., Public Works.

762-3
1

# GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., dated 12th February 1892, Mis. No. 870, Revenue.

*Read*—the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 21st August 1891, Mis. No. 5977.

The Hon. Mr. J. Grose.
Mr. G. McWatters.

Read—the following papers:—

Board's Proceedings, dated 18th August 1890, No. 5218.
G.O., dated 29th Sept. 1890, Mis. No. 5980, Revenue.
„ „ 13th Mar. 1891 „ „ 1743 „
„ „ 8th June „ „ „ 3347 „

Board's Proceedings, dated 13th July 1891, No. 2092, Routine.

Abstract.—Requesting the Collector of Nílgiris by wire to report whether the statement of forest materials removed by Public Works Department furnished monthly by Executive Engineer to Forest officer, Ootacamund, may not be discontinued.

Letter from the Acting Collector of Nílgiris, dated 14th July 1891, No. 653.

Board's Proceedings, dated 13th July 1891, No. 2093, Routine.

Abstract.—Requesting the Collector of Madura by wire to report whether the monthly schedule of Incorporated Local Fund works submitted to him may not be discontinued.

Telegram from the Collector of Madura, dated 20th July 1891.

Your telegram thirteenth instant. Schedule may be discontinued.

Resolution—dated 21st August 1891, Mis. No. 5977.

In G.O., dated 16th May 1890, No 3019, Government asked the Board of Revenue, among other authorities, if certain returns prepared by the Public Works Department were still necessary. The returns concerning the Board in this department were Nos. 13, 20 and 23 of the list in G.O., dated 19th April 1890, No. 1150 W.

2. No. 13 is a statement forwarded monthly by the Executive Engineer to the Forest officer at Ootacamund showing the forest materials removed by the Public Works Department. The Collector of the Nílgiris, in reply to a reference made to him as to whether the return may be discontinued, reports that the statement was prescribed to enable the Forest Department to calculate the seigniorage on the forest produce removed from time to time by the Public Works Department and that it can be dispensed with only (1) if the Public Works Department undertakes to furnish the Forest Department with a memorandum on each occasion of the materials removed or (2) if cash payment is made in advance for stated quantities of the produce and

permits are purchased from the Forest Department as is done by private individuals or (3) if the Forest Department undertakes to collect the materials in question by departmental agency and supply the Public Works Department with its requirements. The Collector adds that the last proposition is impossible at present as the Forest Department is short-handed. As regards the remaining two alternatives, the Board thinks that, if the first is adopted, it will only increase work and that the maintenance of the present return is preferable to the adoption of the second.

3. No. 23 is also a monthly statement containing a schedule of Incorporated Local Fund works furnished by the Executive Engineer of Madura to the Collector of the district. The Collector reports that this return may be discontinued and the Board concurs with him.

4. No. 20 is a monthly statement of repairs costing Rs. 200 and under, which the Collector of South Arcot had by agreement with the Executive Engineer substituted for the individual statement for each estimate, which the Public Works Department Code prescribes in para. 320 (*b*). The Collector of South Arcot asked the Board to continue this return, but the Board objected on the ground that it practically meant that the Collector gave up the control which he ought to exercise over the Public Works Department in his district in regard to irrigation expenditure.

5. In the second paper read above, Government, however, approved of the South Arcot procedure and considered that, for Major Irrigation works, the year's budget *plus* a monthly return of the estimates sanctioned by the Public Works Department would suffice for all purposes of control by the Collector and that the South Arcot practice might be generally adopted, no matter what the amount of the estimate might be. In a later G.O., however, dated 8th June 1891, No. 3347, the Government calls for a report on the proposal to extend the practice from the present South Arcot Rs. 200 to Rs. 500, both figures being the limit of Executive Engineer's powers of sanction.

6. The matter involves the general question as to the degree of control to be exercised by the Collector over the Public Works Department of his district, not departmentally of course, but in regard to the selection of works to be executed.

7. So far as the Board can gather from the Public Works Department Code, the amount of control *reserved to the Collector at present* is provided for in the following paragraphs :—

*Para.* 267 (*b*) (2).—Superintending Engineer can sanction *after approval by the Collector* irrigation works costing Rs. 2,500 and under.

*Para.* 320 (*b*) (3).—Executive Engineer can sanction *after approval by the Collector* irrigation works costing Rs. 200 and under.

*Para.* 304.—Quarterly abstracts have to be sent by the Executive Engineer to the Collector showing progress made on irrigation works.

*Paras.* 306 *and* 307.—Executive Engineers have to give notice to the Revenue authorities and confer with them regarding increase of water-supply for irrigation.

*Paras.* 284—289.—Executive Engineers are to consider themselves general advisers of other departments.

*Para.* 291.—The Executive Engineer must draw up annually, for the approval of the Superintending Engineer and *the Collector*, a scheme of expenditure of the lump sum grants allotted in the budget for non-productive irrigation and navigation works.

*Para.* 1050-xiv.—The opinions of local revenue officers are to be given in reporting on irrigation projects.

*Para.* 1329.—A Civil officer may call on Executive Engineer to report on proposals for additions and alterations to the buildings in his use.

8. The control which a Collector as head of the district and responsible for its administration and well-being *ought to possess* in respect to works in charge of the Public Works Department should, in the Board's opinion, be as follows :—

I. The District Public Works budget for the year should be prepared in consultation with him and his wishes in regard to the works to be executed should not be disregarded unless for very strong reasons.

II. Subsequent works initiated by the Engineer should, unless emergent, require his approval.

III. The professional advice of the Public Works Department should be wholly at his service if called for.

IV. The initiation of works should to a certain extent lie with him.

9. As regards point I, the provisions of paras. 267 (*b*) (2), 291 and 320 (*b*) (3) of the Public Works Code do not, in the Board's opinion, go far enough. There should be a clear and distinct paragraph in the code to the effect that the entire budget estimates of the year should be drawn up in consultation with the Collector or must receive his approval. Government says it is sufficient if he *gets* the budget, but, as he only gets this about the beginning of July when the best part of the working year is over, this procedure does not, the Board thinks, meet the requirements of the case.

II. In regard to point II, the Board thinks that, except in cases of real emergency, no estimate likely to cost more than Rs. 500 and over and not provided for in the budget should be set on foot without the Collector's previous approval. In regard to works, costing Rs. 500 and less, the Board, on reconsideration, thinks that a monthly statement of estimates sanctioned by the Executive Engineer and submitted for the Collector's information, such as is now done in South Arcot, will be sufficient and that this statement might be adopted for all districts.

III. In regard to Collector's right to professional advice, the provisions of the Code are fairly satisfactory (*vide* paras. 284—289 and 1329) though they are rather too permissive and hardly peremptory enough.

IV. Lastly there is the question of initiating work. The code provides only for the Collector approving of works initiated by the Public Works Department. It gives him no power to initiate himself. There ought to be a distinct provision that the Collector should have a right to propose the construction or repair of any work involving the interests of the revenue or the well-being of the people and that his expressed wishes in such matters should be only disregarded for reasons to be recorded by the Superintending Engineer.

10. It is stated in G.O., dated 29th September 1890, No. 5980, that the representation of Collectors will always receive prompt attention in the Public Works Department. This may be so in theory, but, as matters now stand, most Collectors and Divisional officers of any experience could give instances in which their suggestions for expenditure on particular irrigation works have been set on one side by the District Engineer generally on the plea of want of funds. The Board is far from imputing any indifference to the well-being of the cultivator to the officers of the Public Works Department, but it is only natural that they should tend to expend their funds on the more interesting and accessible works connected with large irrigation system in preference to the humbler, but, in their degree, not less useful, rain-fed tanks. The Collector and his Divisional officers are better acquainted with the wants of upland taluks and they should have the means of providing that these do not suffer as compared with delta tracts.

11. In the fourth paper read above, Government in the Public Works Department inquires whether the statement of annual cost of establishment sent to the Collector should be continued. In reply, the Board begs to invite the attention of Government to the correspondence ending with G.O., dated 9th July 1889, Mis. No. 4257, Revenue Department, from which it will be seen that the continued submission of the statement in question to the Board of Revenue, but not to Collectors, is necessary for the purposes of statistical return C published in chapter I, part III, of the Presidency Administration Report, which has to be submitted by the Board.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. S. MEYER,
Acting Secretary.

---

*Order*—dated 12th February 1892, Mis. No. 870, Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with the following remarks :—

(1) The Government concurs in the Board's remarks except as regards the limitation of the return of estimates to works costing Rs. 500 and less. In the opinion

of Government the practice of forwarding estimates for the countersignature of Collectors may safely be discontinued in the case of every irrigation work entered in the Public Works budget.

(2) In framing District Public Works budget, Superintending Engineers should invariably consult the Collectors concerned. If in any case they are unable to adopt their suggestions, they should record their reasons for differing from the Collectors and submit the record to Government along with the budget.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. CAMPBELL,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

Order—dated 8th March 1892, No. 762 W., Public Works.

Communicated to Superintending Engineers, for information and guidance, in continuation of G.O., No. 3395 W., dated 17th December 1891.

Item 13.—Statement of forest materials removed by the Public Works Department on the Nilgiris.
Item 23.—Monthly schedule of Incorporated Local Fund works.

2. The submission of the return, item No. 13 in the list attached to G.O., No. 3078 W., dated 31st October 1889, will be continued and item No. 23 of the same list will be discontinued.

3. It will be seen from the order in the Revenue Department above recorded that it will no longer be necessary to forward to Collectors for countersignature estimates for irrigation works which find a place in the budget. Monthly returns of such estimates sanctioned by Superintending and Executive Engineers should be furnished to the Collector in each district. This disposes of item No. 20 as well as of item (4) in para. 1 of G.O., No. 3395 W., dated 17th December 1891.

4. The submission of item No. 3 in para. 1 of G.O., No. 3395 W., dated 17th December 1891, statement of annual cost of establishment, will be discontinued.

5. A copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Government of India, for information, in continuation of endorsement No. 3396 W., dated 17th December 1891.

(Here enter No. 763 W., Public Works, dated 8th March 1892.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
,, the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
,, the Revenue Department.

Endorsement—dated 8th March 1892, No. 763 W., Public Works.

Forwarded.

(By Order.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

762/3

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

## Govt. of {1892} Madras.
## PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 8th.

Recd. Encl.

**G.O., 8th Mar. 1892, Nos. 762, 763 W.**

**MARCH.**

**Returns**

Forwarding to the Government of India copy of Proceedings ordering the discontinuance of the submission of certain — to officers of other departments.

---

SOUTH ARCOT.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Camp Tindivanam
5th August 1890

No. 830/Rev

FROM
G. F. T. Power Esquire
ag COLLECTOR OF SOUTH ARCOT.

BOARD'S GEN. REG. No 2593 90
LAND REVENUE

TO
THE SECRETARY TO THE
COMMISSIONERS OF
Land Revenue
MADRAS.

762/3/4

Enclosure.
2. G.Os of the D. P. W returned

SIR,

Adverting to your Reference on Register no 1114 dated 8th July 1890, I have the honor to state that the Return no 20 therein mentioned relates to the repairs of Imperial tanks — costing Rs 200 & under which the Executive Engineers are empowered to sanction themselves.

2. Under paras 267 f & 3206 (3) of the Public Works Code all — irrigation estimates prepared by the Public works Department for execution have to be approved by the Collector before they are sanctioned. This — system being productive of useless

Correspondence & delay in the case of minor repairs Costing Rs 200 & less it was arranged in 1885 that a Return of Such Estimates sanctioned by the Executive Engineer might be forwarded every month for the information of the Collector, while those costing more than Rs 200 being forwarded as usual to the Superintending Engineer for sanction through the Collector with his counter signature.

3. On receipt of the monthly return it is communicated to the Divisional officer concerned and this prevents unnecessary correspondence being held by him with Either the Collector or the Executive Engineer in the matter.

4. I am in favour of retaining the return.

P.W. 5.3/1629/91

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

P. Krishna Rao
For ag Collector

Wk.

Sent 2188 9/

---

No.

Nilgiri Collector's Office, "Forest Branch,"
Ootacamund, 14th July 1891

BOARD'S GEN. REG. No 2391 OF 1891
LAND REVENUE

From
C. F. Mac-Cartie Esquire
Ag Collector of Nilgiris.

To
The Secretary, to the
Commissioners of Land Revenue
Madras

762/63

Sir,

12092 Routine

With reference to your deferred telegram dated 13th instant, I have the honor to state that the Forest Branch, at present, furnishes the P. W. Department, at the beginning of every year, with a general permit (under the license system) authorizing the latter Department to collect & remove any Forest materials that may be required from time to time during the Official year

2. To enable the Forest Department to calculate the seigniorage on such, periodically removed, produce & to adjust the value thereof, by book transfer, according to the instructions contained in Forest Code para 166, a monthly statement is at present required.

3. This statement can only be dispensed with (1) if the Public Works Department undertakes to furnish the Forest Department with a memo, on each occasion, of the materials removed or (2) if cash payment is made in advance, for stated quantities of the produce and permits are purchased

from the Forest Department as is done by private individuals or (3) if the Forest Department undertakes to collect, by departmental agency, & supply the P. W. Department with its requirements.

The last proposition is impossible at present as the Forest Department is short handed and cannot engage & supervise the labor required for breaking stones, digging gravel &c required for road work by the P. W. Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

ag Collector

cfd Oua
Exd

P. E. N
1629/92

---

Read the following papers
G. O. date 12 February 1892 [illegible] Revenue

# Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 21st August 1891.

No. 5977 Mis.

762 63/6

The Hon: Mr. J. Grose
Mr. S. McWatters

READ the following papers:-

(1) Again Board's Proceedings, dated 18th August 1890, No 5218.

G. O. dated 29 Sept 1890 No. 5980

(2) G. O. dated 29 September 1890. Mis No: 5980. Revenue

412 W.D 14.2.91

(3). G. O. dated 13 March 1891. Mis No. 1743. Revenue.

not [illegible]

(4) G. O. dated 8 June 1891. Mis No: 3347. Revenue.

(5) Board's Proceedings dated 13 July 1891. No 2092. Routine requesting the Collector of Nilgiris by wire, to report whether the Statement of forest materials removed by P. W. Deptt: furnished monthly by Executive Engr

[illegible] communicated

to Forest officer Ooty, may not be discontinued.

(6) Letter from C. F. MacCartie Esq. Collector of Nilgiris, dated 14th July 1891. No 653.

(7) Board's Proceedings, dated 13th July 1891. No 2093. Routine, requesting the Collector of Madura by wire, to report whether the monthly schedule of Incorporated Local Fund works submitted to him may not be discontinued.

(8) The following telegram from the Collector of Madura dated 20th July 1891.

"Your telegram thirteenth instant Schedule may be discontinued"

—

Resolution:– dated 21st August 1891. No. 5977

In G. O. dated 16th May 1890 No 3019, Government asked the Board of Revenue among other authorities if certain returns prepared by the Public Works Department were still necessary. The returns concerning the Board in this Department were Nos 13. 20 & 23 of the list in G. O. dated 19th April 1890. No 1150 W.

2. No 13 is a Statement forwarded monthly by the Executive Engineer to the Forest officer at Ootacamund showing the Forest materials removed by the Public Works Department. The Collector of the Nilgiris in reply to a reference made to him as to whether the return may be discontinued, reports that the Statement was prescribed to enable the Forest Department to calculate the Seigniorage on the Forest produce removed from time to time by the Public Works Department and that it can be dispensed with only (1) if the Public Works Department undertakes to furnish the Forest Department with a memo, on each occasion, of the materials removed or (2) if cash payment is made in

advance for stated quantities of the produce and permits are purchased from the Forest Department as is done by private individuals or (3) if the Forest Department undertakes to collect the materials in question by Departmental agency and supply the Public Works Department with its requirements. The Collector adds that the last proposition is impossible at present as the Forest Department is short handed. As regards the remaining two alternatives the Board thinks that if the first is adopted, it will only increase work and that the maintenance of the present return is preferable to the adoption of the second.

3. No 23 is also a monthly statement containing a schedule of incorporated Local Fund works furnished by the Executive Engineer of Madura to the

the Collector of the District. The Collector reports that this return may be discontinued and the Board concurs with him. 2623/18

4. No 20 is a monthly statement of repairs costing Rs 200 and under, which the Collector of South Arcot had, by agreement with the Executive Engineer substituted for the individual statement for each estimate, which the Public Works Department Code prescribes in para 320(b). The Collector of South Arcot asked the Board to continue this return but the Board objected on the ground that it practically meant that the Collector gave up the control which he ought to exercise over the Public Works Deptt. in his district in regard to irrigation expenditure.

5. In the second paper read above, Government however approved of the

South Arcot procedure and considered that for major Irrigation works, the year's budget plus a monthly return of the Estimates sanctioned by the Public Works Department would suffice for all purposes of control by the Collector and that the South Arcot practice might be generally adopted, no matter what the amount of the Estimate might be. In a later G.O. however, dated 8th June 1891. No 3347, the Government calls for a report on the proposal to extend the practice from the present South Arcot Rs 200 to Rs 500, both figures being the limit of Executive Engineers' powers of sanction.

6. The matter involves the general question as to the degree of control to be exercised by the Collector over the Public Works Department of his district, not departmentally

of

of course, but in regard to the selection of works to be executed.

4 Bu

7. So far as the Board can gather from the Public Works Department Code, the amount of control, reserved to the Collector at present is provided for in the following paras.

762/67/9

Para 267-(b)(2). Superintending Engineer can sanction after approval by the Collector irrigation works costing Rs 2500 and under.

Para 320(b)(3) Executive Engineers can sanction after approval by the Collector irrigation works costing Rs 200 and under.

" 304. Quarterly abstracts have to be sent by the Executive Engineers to the Collector showing progress made on Irrigation works.

These give no control.

" 306 & 307. Executive Engineer have to give notice to the Revenue authorities and confer with them regarding increase of water supply for irrigation

with a view to the removal of difficulties in the way of extension of irrigation.

Para

P. 284 - 289. Executive Engineers are to consider themselves general advisers of other Department.

Para 291. The Executive Engineer must draw up annually for the approval of the Superintending Engineer and the Collector a scheme of Expenditure of the lump sum grants allotted in the budget for non productive irrigation and navigation works.

Para 1050 - XIV. The opinions of local revenue officers are to be given in reporting on irrigation projects.

Para 1829. A Civil officer may call on Executive Engineer to report on proposals for additions and alterations to the buildings in his use.

What has it to do with irrigation wo

8. The control which a collector as Head of the District, and responsible for its administration and well being, ought to possess in respect to works in charge of the Public Works Department should in the Board's opinion be as follows:-

I. The district Public Works budget for the year should be prepared in Consultation with him and his wishes in regard to the works to be executed should not be disregarded unless for very strong reasons. 762/67/10

II. Subsequent works initiated by the Engineer should unless emergent require his approval.

III. The professional advice of the Public Works Department should be wholly at his service if called for

IV. The initiation of works should to a certain extent lie with him.

9. As regards point I, the provisions of paras 267(b) 2, 291 & 320(b) 3 of the Public Works Code do not in the Board's opinion go far enough. There should be a clear and distinct para in the Code to the effect that the

entire budget estimates of the year should be drawn up in consultation with the Collector or must receive his approval. Government says it is sufficient if he gets the budget, but as he only gets this about the beginning of July when the best part of the working year is over, this procedure does not, the Board thinks, meet the requirements of the case.

II. In regard to point II, the Board thinks that except in cases of real emergency, no estimate likely to cost more than Rs 500 and over and ~~were~~ not provided for in the budget should be set on foot without the Collectors' previous approval. In regard to works costing Rs 500 and less, the Board on reconsideration thinks that a monthly statement of estimates sanctioned by the Executive Engineer and submitted for the Collector's information

information such as is now done in South Arcot will be sufficient and that this statement might be adopted for all districts.

III. In regard to Collector's right to professional advice, the provisions of the Code are fairly satisfactory. Vide paras 284-289 & 1329, though they are rather too permissive and hardly peremptory enough.

762 87/11

IV. Lastly there is the question of initiating work. The Code provides only for the Collector approving of works initiated by the Public Works Department. It gives him no power to initiate himself. There ought to be a distinct provision that the Collector should have a right to propose the construction or repair of any work involving the interests of the revenue or the well-being of the people, and that his expressed wishes in such matters should be only disregarded for reasons to be recorded by the Superintending Engineer

9. It is stated in G. O. 29th September 1890. No 5980 that the representation of Collectors will always receive prompt attention in the Public Works Department. This may be so in theory, but as matters now stand most Collectors and Divisional officers of any experience could give instances in which their suggestions for expenditure on particular irrigation works have been set on one side by the District Engineers generally on the plea of want of funds. The Board is far from imputing any indifference to the well-being of the cultivator to the officers of the Public Works Department, but it is only natural that they should tend to expend their funds on the more interesting and accessible works connected with large irrigation

System

system in preference to the humbler but in their degree not less useful, rainfed tanks. The Collector and his Divisional officers are better acquainted with the wants of upland taluqs, and they should have the means of providing that these donot suffer as compared with delta tracts.

762-63/12

G. O. dated January 1891 No 3347

10. In the fourth paper read above, Government in the Public Works Deptt. enquires whether the statement of annual cost of establishment sent to the Collector should be continued. In reply the Board begs to invite the attention of Government to the correspondence ending with G. O. 9th July 1889. Mis No 4257, Revenue Deptt. from which it will be seen that the continued submission of the statement in question to the Board of Revenue, but not to Collectors is necessary for the purposes of

x Not communicated

Statistical Return C published in Chapter I Part III of the Presidency administration report which has been submitted by the Board.

(True copy & Extract)

Ag Secretary.

To

762/63
12

The Secy to Govt. P.W.
with G.R. 2593 of 90.
& G.R. 2391 of 91 in origl. ~~[illegible]~~.

PU 13/6/29/92

---

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

8 Rev

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read Pros. of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) dated 21st Augt 1891, No. 5977 Mis:-

Order thereon 12 Feby 1892 No. 870.

Transferred to the Public Works department with the following remarks:-

762/63
13

(1) The Government concurs in the Boards' remarks except as regards the limitation of the return of estimates to works costing Rs. 500 & less. In the opinion of Government the practice of forwarding estimates for the countersignature of Collectors may safely be discontinued in the case of every Irrigation work entered in the Public Works budget.

(2) In framing district P.W. budget Superintending Engineers should invariably consult the Collectors concerned. If in any case they are unable to adopt their suggestions they should record their reasons for differing from the Collectors & submit

the record to Government along with the Budget-

(True Extract)

Rulamall

Ag Apstt Secy.

To,

The Public Works Dept
with the Collr's letter
— Commr. of Land Reve.
— Commr of S. L. R. Setgu-

M

762-63
/14

9

P. W. CURRENT No. 1629

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.

REVENUE.

To

The Public Dept.

Dated
Recd. } Encl.

Mis. G.O., 12 July 1892, No. 870

SUBJECT.

Transferring B.P.

Suby. to Govt. opinion of the Board regarding the discontinuance of certain returns—

No. 3078W d/ 31-10-89
" 606 I " 5-12-89
" 1150W " 19-4-90
" 1356-7W " 14-5-90
" 3060W " 17-11-90
" 412W " 14-2-91
" 748-9W " 14-3-91
" 3008W " 11-11-91
" 3395-6W " 17-12-91

" 478 D " 30-6-91
" 560 D " 21-7-91
" 433W " 12-2-92

" 870 " 12-2-92 Rev. Orig.

" 4969 " 12-10-89
" 5843 " 26-11-89
" 7793 " 30-12-90
C. No. 1630.

---

No. 762 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Genl

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

March 8, 1892.

762 W/15

READ the following

Proceedings of the Bd. of Revenue (Land Revenue) (A. E. No. 5977 d/ 21. VIII. 91)

Proceedings of the ~~Bd. of~~ Revenue Dept. ( " " 870 d/ 12. II. 92)

10 Rev.

Item 13. Statement of forest materials removed by the P. W. D. on the Nilgiris
Item 23. Monthly schedule of incorporated Local Fund Works.—

I must keep the originals of the R.D. order & also a letter from the Collr. of Nellore which came before me the other day — I forget whether in R.D. or P.W.D. — about the relations between Collector & P.W.D. officers in regard to Irrigation works. It relates to the shutting of the Pennar anicut sluices & the loss of a fresh in the delta.
Res
22 Feby

Res
7 Mar

Communicated to Supdg. Engineers for information and guidance, in continuation of G.O. No. 3395W dated 17th December 1891.

2. The submission of the return, item No. 13, in the list attached to G.O. No. 3078W dated 31st Octr. 1889 will be continued, and item No. 23 of the same list will be discontinued.

3. It will be seen from the order in the Revenue dept. above recorded that it will no longer be necessary to forward to Collectors for counter-signature estimates for Irrigation works which find a place in the Budget. Monthly returns of such estimates sanctioned by Superintending and

Executive Engineers should be furnished to the Collector in each district. This disposes of item No. 20, as well as of item (4) in para 1 of G.O. No. 3395W dated 17th Decr. 91.

4. The submission of item No. 3 in para 1 of G.O. No. 3395W dated 17th Decr. 91. statement of annual cost of establishment will be discontinued.

5. A copy of these Proceedings will be forwarded to the Govt. of India for information in continuation of endorsement No. 3396W dated 17th Decr. 91.

(P.E. No. 763 W dd. march 8, 18.92)

Forwarded.

Issue

[illegible]

18.2.92
17/3

18 Feb 92

19 Feb 92

S. Es.
C. E.
Ex. P.W. Acts
Rev. dept.

19/3/92

R.P. 18-2-92

762-63
16

11

C No 1629

5-11-18 ✓

Govt. of 1892 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS

91/92

Date

Read

Enc.

8th March 92 762. to 763

Returns

Orders for the discontinuance of the submission of certain — to Officers of other Depts

Do

Forwarding to the Govt of India copy of Pro: ordering the discontinuance of the submission of certain — to officers of other Depts

(16)

200
14
52
2

P.C. 8/3

3/3