(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 38

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10-90

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

97

அரசாணை எண். —
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 606 / 606A

அச்சகம்
பல்வகை

நாள் : 25-2-1892 198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

19 Sheets + Sketch

ஆவண. கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

606/606A

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 5th January 1892, No. 16 S.A.

From the enclosed copy of letter, No. 1725 G., dated 29th June 1891, and of telegram, No. G. 236, dated 17th July 1891, from the Government of India, Public Works Department, to the Chief Commissioner, Burma, which have been communicated to this office, it would appear doubtful whether the sub-divisional allowance of Rs. 30 is admissible to Mr. Elliot, a temporary upper subordinate in charge of the Cuddapah sub-division, and I would therefore request that the question may be referred for the orders of the Government of India.

ENCLOSURES.

From the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Chief Commissioner, Burma, dated Simla, 29th June 1891, No. 1725 G.

In reply to your Secretary's letter, No. 5932-374 E., dated 28th May 1891, asking for an authoritative ruling as to whether the local administration has or has not the power to sanction the grant of sub-divisional allowance to a temporary upper subordinate placed in charge of a duly constituted sub-division whose pay exceeds Rs. 250 per mensem or whose pay together with the sub-divisional allowance exceeds Rs. 250 per mensem, I am directed to state that the pay of a temporary engineer or upper subordinate is looked upon as a consolidated pay, and the Government of India does not consider that, in such cases, the grant of sub-divisional allowance in addition to pay is admissible.

2. As a temporary officer or subordinate is engaged for a particular work and agrees to take a certain salary for it, it is considered that there are no grounds for increasing his salary unless his work is changed.

Telegram from the Government of India, Public Works Department, Simla, to the Chief Commissioner, Burma, dated 17th July 1891, No. G. 236.

Your telegram 7878 of 14th. If considered necessary pay of temporary upper subordinates referred to can be increased, but if increase raises salary above 250 per mensem sanction of Government will be necessary.

From J. W. MARTIN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, III Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 28th January 1892, No. 3033.

I have the honour to state that the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, in salary disallowance list of Cuddapah division for the month of December 1891, passed the sub-divisional allowance of temporary Sub-Engineer Mr. Elliot pending the sanction of Government to his pay being raised from Rs. 250 to Rs. 280 inclusive of sub-divisional allowance, as sub-divisional allowance is inadmissible to temporary employés. I therefore request that you will be good enough to obtain the necessary sanction.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 11th February 1892, No. 230 S.A.

Herewith a statement showing names of temporary upper subordinates and the periods for which sub-divisional allowance has been drawn within the last ten years.

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT showing since January 1882 periods during which temporary upper subordinates have drawn sub-divisional allowance at the rate of Rs. 30 per mensem.

Item number	Name and rank of temporary upper subordinates.	Period for which sub-divisional allowance has been drawn.	Salary.
1	Harris, Mr. R., Temporary Sub-Engineer.	1st February 1884 to 14th December 1884 and 11th February 1885 to 24th May 1886.	Rs. 150 per mensem, 1st February 1884 to 31st March 1884; Rs. 200, 1st April 1884 to 14th December 1884, and 11th February 1885 to 28th February 1886; Rs. 250, 1st March 1886 to 24th May 1886.
2	Vencata Reddi Naidu, W., Temporary Sub-Engineer.	4th to 18th January 1885 and 25th July 1888 to 9th March 1889.	Rs. 250 per mensem; Rs. 400 per mensem..
3	Vigor, Mr. E., Temporary Supervisor.	10th October 1885 to 15th September 1887.	Rs. 200 per mensem, 10th October 1885 to 28th February 1886; Rs. 250, 1st March 1886 to 28th February 1887; Rs. 150, 1st March 1887 to 15th September 1887.
4	Elliot, Mr. J., Temporary Sub-Engineer.	15th June 1886 to 6th November 1886; 10th January 1888 to 8th May 1890; and 1st April 1891 to 31st December 1891.	Rs. 150 per mensem; Rs. 150, 10th January 1888 to 16th October 1889; Rs. 200, 17th October 1889 to 8th May 1890; Rs. 250 per mensem.
5	Lears, Mr. C., Temporary Overseer.	6th to 26th August 1886 ..	Rs. 80 per mensem.
6	Kenchappah do. do. ..	12th November 1886 to 11th December 1886.	,, 100 do.
7	Taylor, Mr. H. H., Temporary Sub-Engineer.	1st September 1886 to 28th February 1887.	,, 300 do.
8	Seshachellum Moodaliar C., Temporary Sub-Engineer.	10th July 1887 to 25th September 1887 and 7th March 1888 to 24th October 1888.	,, 250 do.
9	Vencata Reddy Naidoo W., Temporary Sub-Engineer.	1st to 31st March 1888 ..	,, 400 do.
10	Mariyappa Moodaliar, Temporary Sub-Engineer.	25th October 1888 to 19th July 1889.	,, 250 do.
11	Clay, Mr., Temporary Overseer ..	19th to 25th February 1889 ..	,, 60 do.
12	Vencata Reddi Naidoo K., Temporary Overseer.	17th March 1889 to 3rd May 1889.	,, 60 do.
13	Fryer, Sub-Conductor, Temporary Supervisor.	6th February 1890 to 2nd April 1890; 10th November 1891 to 9th December 1891.	,, 150 do.
14	Veerasawmy Iyengar, Temporary Supervisor.	10th June 1890 to 7th September 1891.	,, 150 do.

From Lieut.-Colonel A. C. SMITH, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department (through the Examiner, Public Works Accounts), dated Trichinopoly, 30th January 1892, No. 624 P.R.

With reference to this office No. 382 P.R., dated 6th October 1891, I have the honour to state that, at the suggestion of the Executive Engineer, No. II Periyár division, Sub-Conductor R. Fryer, temporary upper subordinate, was placed in charge of a sub-division in addition to his own duties during the absence on privilege leave * of Mr. J. W. H. Ellis, and sub-divisional allowance was sanctioned to the former for the period by this office under para. 526 of the Public Works Code; but the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, has passed the allowance only provisionally. He requires sanction of Government to the pay of Sub-Conductor Fryer being raised from Rs. 150 to Rs. 180 inclusive of sub-divisional allowance on the ground that sub-divisional allowance is inadmissible to temporary employés.

* For one month from 10th November 1891.

2. The code paragraph above quoted makes no distinction between temporary and permanent employés. I request, however, that the sanction required may be obtained.

606/606A 2

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 6th February 1892, No. 205 S.A.

Forwarded, in continuation of this office No. 16 S.A., dated 5th January 1892, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, regarding the inadmissibility of any local allowance to temporary employés.

2. If it be intended to compensate Sub-Conductor R. Fryer for the period he held charge of an authorized sub-division under the sanction of the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, it is within the powers of the local Government to increase his pay to any amount within the limit of the pay and sub-divisional allowance of an upper subordinate on the permanent establishment added together would be.

ORDER—dated 25th February 1892, No. 606 W., Public Works.

The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, is authorised to admit the sub-divisional allowance drawn by Sub-Conductor Fryer, temporary upper subordinate, from 10th November to 9th December 1891, and to continue to admit the allowance now drawn by Mr. J. Elliot, temporary upper subordinate, pending the confirmation of the Government of India which will be applied for.

2. The orders of the Government of India will also be requested with respect to the other cases submitted by the Examiner with his office-note No. 230 S.A., dated 6th February 1892.

(Here enter No. 606/A. W., Public Works, dated 25th February 1892.)

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, III Circle.
" " VI "
" Examiner, " Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 25th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 25th Feb. 1892, No. 606 W.

FEBRUARY.

Sub-Divisional Allowance to Temporary Upper Subordinates

The Examiner authorized to admit the grant of — in charge of sub-divisions in this Presidency pending confirmation by the Government of India.

606/606A 3

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

LETTER—from

COLONEL J. PENNYCUICK, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to your letter, No. 1725 G., dated 29th June 1891, and telegram No. G. 250, dated 17th July 1891, to the Chief Commissioner, Burma, copies of which have been furnished to this office by the Examiner, I am directed to forward a copy of the Proceedings of this Government, No. 606 W., dated 25th February 1892, regarding the grant of sub-divisional allowance to temporary upper subordinates and to state that, as para. 26, chapter I, Vol. I, Public Works Code, makes no distinction between permanent and temporary subordinates, it has hitherto been the practice in this Presidency to pass the allowance to temporary upper subordinates when placed in charge of authorised sub-divisions.

2. With regard to the ruling in your letter and telegram above quoted, that sub-divisional allowance is not admissible to men on the temporary establishment, but that their pay may be increased when their work is changed, I am to say that, if it be accepted that sub-divisional allowance cannot be passed to such subordinates, it would, in the opinion of this Government, be an evasion of the rule to grant them an equivalent allowance in the shape of an increase of pay for the duty in question. There is no doubt that increased work and responsibility not contemplated when his pay was fixed is thrown on a temporary upper subordinate when placed in charge of a sub-division and that he is fairly entitled to the additional remuneration fixed for such increased work and responsibility, but His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the extra remuneration should be in the form of a local allowance as contemplated by rule and not in the shape of increased pay.

3. I am therefore to request the confirmation of the Government of India to the action of this Government in authorising the admission of sub-divisional allowance to Sub-Conductor R. Fryer, temporary upper subordinate, on Rs. 150 per mensem, who was placed in charge of a sub-division in No. II Periyár division for one month from 10th November 1891, and also to Mr. J. Elliot, temporary upper subordinate, on Rs. 250 per mensem, now in charge of a sub-division in the Cuddapah division.

4. With the Proceedings now forwarded is embodied a statement submitted by the Examiner showing the sub-divisional allowances passed to temporary upper subordinates in this Presidency during the past ten years, and I am to request the sanction of the Government of India to all these charges. In many of the cases

the total emoluments amount to less than Rs. 250 per mensem, and in such cases it is within the power of this Government to sanction the allowances as increases to pay, but, as already intimated, His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the procedure would not be correct in principle.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
25th February 1892.

606/606A 4

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 25th.

Read. *Encl.*

25th Feb. 1892, No. 606/A W.

FEBRUARY.

Sub-Divisional Allowance to Temporary Upper Subordinates

Government of India asked to confirm the sanction accorded by this Government for admitting — in charge of sub-divisions.

(C. No. 1201/8)

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1892. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 5 January 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 16 dA.

606/606A 5

To

W.

From the enclosed copy of letter No.1725 G.dated 29th.June 1891 & of telegram No.G.236 dated 17th. July 1891 from the Government of India P.W.D. to the Chief Commissioner Burma,which have been communicated to this office,it would appear doubtful whether the sub- divisional allowance of Rs.30 is admissible to Mr.Elliott,a temporary Upper Subordinate in charge of the Cuddapah Sub-division;and I would therefore request that the question may be referred for the orders of the Government of India.

12/51

C.

12/51
(Rec2)

5000-3-5-91.

P. W. CURRENT No. 143

Enclosure
Rev. 64.92

Letter No. 1725 S. dated Simla the 29th June 1891 from Government of India Public Works Department General to the Chief Commissioner Burma.

606/606A 6

In reply to your Secretary's letter No. 5932-374 E dated 28th May 1891 asking for an authoritative ruling as to whether the Local Administration has or has not the power to sanction the grant of Sub-divisional allowance to a temporary Upper Subordinate placed in charge of a duly constituted Sub-division whose pay exceeds Rs 250/ per mensem or whose pay together with the Sub-divisional allowance exceeds Rs 250/ per mensem, I am directed to state that the pay of a temporary Engineer or Upper Subordinate is looked upon as a consolidated pay, and the Government of India does not consider that in such cases, the grant of Sub-divisional allowance in addition to pay is admissible.

2. As a temporary Officer or Subordinate is engaged for a particular work and agrees to take a certain salary for it, it is considered that

that there are no grounds for increasing his salary unless his work is changed.

/True Copy/

Sd/ W.P. Godfrey
Head Accountant

/True Copy/

G.E. Sutton
Supt. Correspondence Branch

2d
5/1
Hs/1

L.D.S

Copy of Telegram dated 17th July 1891 from Works Simla to Burma Works Rangoon.

606/606A 1P

G.236- Your telegram 9878 of fourteenth, if considered necessary pay of temporary upper Subordinate referred to can be increased but if increase raises salary above 250 per mensem sanction of Government will be necessary.

/True Copy/

Sd/ W.P. Godfrey
Head Accountant

/True Copy/

G.E. Sutton
Supt. Correspondence Branch

2d
5/1
Hs/1

that there are no grounds for increasing his salary unless his work is changed.

/True Copy/

Sd/ W.P. Godfrey
Head Accountant.

/True Copy/

G.C. Patton
Supt Correspondence Branch

2?
5/1
P.W.D.

1193

Copy of Telegram dated 17th July 1891 from Works Simla to Burma Works Rangoon.

606/606A 1P

G.236- Your telegram 7878 of fourteenth, if considered necessary pay of temporary upper Subordinate referred to can be increased but if increase raises salary above 250 per mensem sanction of Government will be necessary.

/True Copy/

Sd/ W.P. Godfrey
Head Accountant.

/True Copy/

G.C. Patton
Supt. Correspondence Branch

2?
5/1
P.W.D.

Diary

No. 3033

Superintending Engineer's Office,
3RD CIRCLE.
Bellary 28 January 1892.

606/606A 1/8

FROM J. W. Martin Esqr.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE.

To THE Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department,
Madras.

Sir,

Enclosures.

I have the honor to state that the Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras in salary Disallowance List of Cuddapah Division for the month of December 1891 passed the Sub Divisional allowance of temporary Sub Engineer Mr. Elliot pending the sanction of Government to his pay being raised from Rs. 250 to Rs. 280 inclusive of Sub Divisional allowance, as Sub Divisional allowance is inadmissable to temporary employes. I therefore request that you will be good enough to obtain the

the necessary sanction.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Ewman Esqr
Secpty: Engineer, III Circle.

Copied by
[illegible]

606/606A
9

the necessary sanction.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Lt Wm Sayre
Sen[t]g: Engineer, III Cairdo.

Copied by
[illegible]

606
606A
9

P. W. CURRENT No. 272

From,

The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

To,

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras

160

Dated 28
Received } January 1892.
1-2-92

Enclosures.

No. 3033

Subject.

Mr. Elliot, Temporary Sub Engineer – Requesting to obtain sanction for raising the pay of – from Rs. 250 to 280 under the circumstances explained within.

Avail reference from C. to Govt. 13-3-92. Draft 1-4-92 Dw

No. 1336

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 8th January 1892

OFFICE NOTE.

Work

From W

606/606A

10

To C

Your office note dtd 16-5-A 975-1-92 from the ruling of the First Grade Overseer is clear & does not admit of Mr. Elliot's pay being drawn at the enhanced rate. Mr. Elliot is entitled to draw only the usual allowance for the period during which he is in charge of the Grade duties. Please state whether any deduction is to be made in the pay drawn by Mr. Elliot in addition to pay.

Draft 8-1-92

Dw

No. 230 SRD/11.2-92.

To W.

Herewith a statement showing names of temporary Upper Subordinates and the periods for which sub- divisional allowance has been drawn within the last IO years.

(Encome)

Statement showing since January 1882 periods during which temporary Upper Subordinates have drawn sub divisional allowance at the rate of Rs 30 per mensem.

Item No.	Name and rank of temporary Upper Subordinates	Period for which sub divisional allowance has been drawn	Salary
1	Harris, Mr R, Temporary Sub-Engineer	1st February 1882 to 14 December 1882 and 11 February 1886 to 24 May 1886	Rs 150 per mensem
2	Venkata Reddi Naidu W, Temporary Sub Engineer	4th to 18th January 1887 and 25th July 1888 to 9th March 1889 and 10th October 1892 to 18th September 1897	250 per mensem
3	Vigor, Mr E, Temporary Supervisor		
4	Elliot, Mr J, Temporary Sub Engineer	15th June 1886 to 6th November 1886 and 18 January 1888 to 8th May 1890 and 1st April 1891 to 31st December 1891	150 per mensem 150 — 10 January 1887 to 16 October 1887 200 — 17 October 1887 to 6th May 1890 250 per mensem
5	Lears Mr L, Temporary Overseer	6th to 26th August 1886	Rs 80 per mensem
6	Kenchappah, Temporary Overseer	12th November 1886 to 11th December 1886	Rs 100 — 00 —
7	Taylor, Mr H. H, Temporary Sub Engineer	1st September 1886 to 28th February 1887	Rs 300 — 00 —
8	Sankachellum Moodaliar B, Temporary Sub Engineer	10th July 1887 to 25th September 1887 and 7th March 1888 to 24th October 1888	Rs 250 — 00 —
9	Venkata Reddy Naidoo W, Temporary Sub-Engineer	1st to 31st March 1888	Rs 400 — 00 —
10	Thiriyappa Moodaliar, Temporary Sub Engineer	25th October 1888 to 19th July 1889	Rs 250 — 00 —
11	Clay, Mr, Temporary Overseer	19th to 25th February 1889	Rs 60 — 00 —
12	Venkata Reddi Naidoo K, Temporary Overseer	17th March 1889 to 2nd May 1889	Rs 60 — 00 —
13	Iyer, Sub Conductor, Temporary Supervisor	6th February 1890 to 2nd April 1890	
		10th November 1891 to 9th December 1891	Rs 150
14	Veeraswamy Iyengar, Temporary Supervisor	16th June 1890 to 7th September 1891	Rs 150 per mensem

Madras
9 February 1892

J. S. Shubert-Hubbard

Examiner of P.W. Accounts, Madras

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
6TH CIRCLE.

No. 6249 P.R.

Trichinopoly, 30th Jany 1892.

From Lt-Col H C Smith RE
The Superintending Engineer,
6th CIRCLE.

606/1606A 12

To
The Chief Engineer,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
thro the Examiner P.W. accounts

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

Utmc Rs 10.10.91

With reference to this office No 382 P.R. dated 6th October 91, I have the honor to state that at the suggestion of the Executive Engineer Mr. H Pringar division, Sub Conductor R. Iyer, temporary upper subordinate, was placed in charge of A Sub division in addition to his own duties, during the absence on privilege leave of Mr. J. W. H Ellis, and sub divisional allowance was sanctioned to the former for the period by this office under para 526 of the P. W. Code; but the Examiner P. W. accounts has passed the allowance only provisionally. He requires sanction of Government to the pay of Sub Conductor Iyer being raised from Rs 150 to Rs 180 inclusive of sub divisional allowance, on the

* for one month from 16th Nov/91

ground that sub divisional allowance is inadmissible to temporary employes.

2. The Code para above quoted makes no distinction between temporary and permanent employs - I regret however that the sanction required may be obtained -

I have the honor to
Sir
Your most obed[t] Servt
Aldworth Wolfe
Lieut Engineers Madras

P.-W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,
6th Circle.

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 624 PR

Dated 30 January 1892
Received 30

Enclosures.

Sub. Conductor R. Fryer
Temp[y] Upper subordinate -

Requesting sanction to sub. Dy[l] allowance to — who was placed in charge of a sub Dv[n] Srirangam II Dv[n] during the absence on privilege leave of Mr. J.H. H. Ellis —

No. 205 S.D. 6.2.92

To E.W.

Forwarded in continuation of this office No. 16 S.A. dated 5th. January 1892 to the Secretary to Government P.W.D. regarding the inadmissibility of any local allowance to temporary employes.

2. If it be intended to compensate Sub Conductor R. Fryer for the period he held charge of an authorized sub-division under the sanction of the Superintending Engineer 6th. Circle, it is within the powers of the Local Government to increase his pay to any amount within the limit of the pay and sub-divisional allowance of an Upper Subordinate on the permanent establishment, added together would be.

C.

P. W. CURRENT No. 1295

No. 4611C of 20-10-91
C. No. 982 in the No. 1220/8-1-92

Recd

Submitted with reference to the Asst. Engr's note of 18th Inst.

For orders whether in making the reference to this Office by the Asst. Engr., the other case brought forward by the Exr. in Current No. 1192 shall be included in the Proposal, or whether they should be dealt with separately.

In either case, orders are requested whether the Contractor shall be requested to continue the present of each division allowance to Mr. Elliot, or whether the allowance should cease once he is stopped, or during actual to same extension only, whether always from drawn

Cee
19-2-92

Should the Contractor's cases might be granted - Also

1295 Gen
6069
13A

it is reasonable that Contractor should receive "
allowance for Jeys on account -

Para 120 does not exactly cover the case; but rather Proposal 2 the additional "working allowance" is substantially the same, but simply "in case of Jeys" it is written "the actual Jeys up to the number of Jeys in the Estimate - If this number is exceeded that additional Jeys will be paid for

13. 2

#w
B

Let the circumstances stated, the pay of Contractor R. Fryer, be appointed at Rs 200 to be increased from Rs 150 to Rs 180 from the month from 10th March 1892.

9-2-92

S. E. VI circle
Exr. P.W. Mandi

Hyd. 10-2-92.

No. 4611C of 20-10-91
C. No. 982 in file No. 1330/8

Circ 113

6806
6506/A

Sir orders. 1st

From the copy of letter from the Govt. of India submitted by C., it seem clear that the Collector must draw subsidiary allowance in addition to pay, & that the only way of granting the allowance would be in the shape of an increase of pay.

He now draws Rs 250 per mensem as temporary officiating allowance. The question is whether an application should be made to the G. of I. to grant him an addition of Rs 30 per mensem to cover the subsidiary allowance.

In any case the Govt will probably

15th, it is assumed that he should receive an allowance of Rs 30 per mensem.

Para 120 does not, I think, bear on the case; it is not [illegible] to give the additional allowance, but simply authorises the grant of an allowance, which it is within the discretion of Govt to grant or not as they please, up to the limit of Rs 250 per mensem. If the Govt sanction that limit the condition specified will be unnecessary.

S

13.

If it is from that
Mr Ellis shall not
claim the allowance
while on privilege leave
I don't see that we
want to refer to C. for
at all. The Secretary
of State and
will be responsible
to the minister of the
Fiji Group.

Note for the Secretary.

The subdivisional allowances are not claimed while on privilege leave by the subordinates (Mr Ellis) for whom Mr Fryer was acting, there was thus a saving to the State, and as Mr Fryer's responsibilities were increased beyond what was expected when his pay was fixed at £150, it is reasonable that he should receive a small increase of pay on that account.

Para 120 does not think bear on the case; it is not proposed to give the addition an "acting allowance" as "a subdivisional allowance", but simply

Require Mr Ellis's attention to the Sub divisional allowance drawn in the past -

Mr Ellis - was promoted from Rs 200 to 250 on 1st April 1891 - From the distribution returns he appears to have held charge of the Cuddapah subdivision from the beginning of 1891 - Any allowance drawn by him up to 1.4.91 can be passed by this Govt, as his pay was raised to Rs 250.

Ch
7.1.92

Apparently Mr Elliot cannot claim more than Rs 250 -

Terms of the Govt communication under which an increase of pay to Rs 280 can be asked for from F.M. - but Subordinate Executive should be asked for Sub divisional allowance already drawn under the decided instructions that amount will

7.1.92

Cir 1295
1896
Submitted with reference to the Hon. remarks. 13D

In para 526 P.W. Code, subdivisional allowance is called an addition to pay, but it is more in the nature of a local allowance, payable to the man actually performing the duty, vide article 200 C.S.R.

Ch
12-2-92

If a permanent Subordinate had acted as SDO would he not have drawn the allowance?

What is the authority for para 2. of his letter?

So far as Govt know Fryer never made his proposal was to raise his salary a certain sum while he was performing extra work he was put for which he was engaged -

DWH
11-2-92

P.T.O

If it is true that Mr Ellis did not claim the allowance while in privilege leave I think we must try to open P.C. for at all. The Treasury will be sure to object & Mr Fryer's pay

---

Note for the Treasury Members.

The subdivisional allowance was not drawn while on privilege leave by the subordinate (Mr Ellis) for whom Mr Fryer was acting; there was thus a saving to the State, and as Mr Fryer's responsibilities were increased beyond what when his pay was fixed at £150, it is reasonable that he should receive a small increase of pay on that account.

2. Para 120 does not, I think, bear on the case; it is not proposed to give the addition as an "acting allowance" or as "a subdivisional allowance," but simply

Dear

Please ascertain for the Treasury Office what is and if subdivisional allowance is always treated as a local allowance, & is forfeited by an absentee in the case of the person upon subdivisional duty it is invariably paid to the subdivisional officer actually holds charge of the subdivision, & it is granted irrespective of the limit imposed by Article 120 C.S.R. Write is especially desirable Mr Ellis did not draw the allowance while on privilege leave & the Treasury have no power to pass it to Mr Fryer in the P.C.

Yes - but as he has paid the allowance should he not be asked to refund before he is granted privilege leave?

Yes, I think so.

If it is true that Mr Ellis did not draw the R.3e (I don't find the first statement in the report) I have no objection to his being paid to Mr Fryer. But if it be stated that a temporary subordinate is entitled to local allowance it is an invasion of the rule that by a temporary increase of pay the officer granted by the Examiner should have been established the allowance when a temporary subordinate is relieved, when a temporary subordinate is promoted for increase his work is much changed and he is promoted for increase his work & which has been fixed for work not including such charge. I am therefore in favour of the local allowance in such cases as that of Mr Fryer that the privilege

Yes.

16/5/85

as a temporary — increase of pay which it is within the discretion of Government to grant at its own pleasure, up to the limit of Rs 250 per month.* It has been ascertained that no audit objection will be raised

S

W

15-2

* See Paper relating to the temporary subdivisional allowance

Note. 60/60A

With reference to the first portion of the Hon. Member's remarks on my note of 15th instant, it has been ascertained from the Examiner's office that Mr Ellis did not draw the subdivisional allowance.

2. With regard to the general question, attention is invited to the letter to the Chief Commissioner of Burma, No. 1225 G. of 29th June 1891, included in the file.

3. The question primarily involved here is whether "subdivisional allowance can be granted if it brings a subordinate's pay to above the limit of Rs 250 which the Local Government has power to sanction, but the letter goes on to lay down a general ruling, and in this connection the second paragraph is evidently intended to supplement the first.

4. The first para states distinctly that a subdivisional allowance is in addition to the pay of a temporary subordinate is inadmissible.

5. The second says that the salary of such a subordinate engaged for particular work should not be increased "unless his work is changed" and implies that it may be, if his work is changed which of course it usually is if he is put in charge of a subdivision.

6. This seems to point to the course proposed in the former notes, namely to increase Mr Dyer's pay for the time during which "his work was changed." It is clear that subdivisional allowances, as such, cannot be granted under the terms of para 1 of the letter.

17/2

Very well - Then it is [illegible] to refuse it this by [illegible] increasing his pay. He might [illegible] it, but not by a part of the [illegible] I still think it would be [illegible] transaction if we [illegible] import the case. [illegible] that Government has [illegible] for such additions of the [illegible] pubdivision, that [illegible] responsibility can [illegible] him; and it is necessary that [illegible] the Govt should have the [illegible] before the Government [illegible] such increased work [illegible] some what parallel [illegible] directly to the [illegible] is now before us.

Mr 15/2/92

606
606 A

R

Ag 606 Wdy 25 Feb 92 — Genl & Exts

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

9

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

606/606A 16

READ the following

Note from the Ex. N.A. (P.C. No 165 A dy 5.1.92)
Letter from the S.E. III Circle (" " 3033 dy 28.1.92)
Office Note from the Ex. P.W.A. (" " 230 S.A. dy 11.2.92)
Letter from the S.E. VI Circle (" " 624 P.W. dy 30.1.92)
Office Note from the Ex. P.W.A. (" " 205 S.A. dy 6.2.92)

Mr 4/2/92

The Examiner P.W. Accounts is authorized to admit the subdivisional allowance drawn by Sub Conductor Dyer, temporary upper subordinate, from 10th November to 9th December 1891, and to continue to admit the allowance now drawn by Mr J. Elliot, temporary upper subordinate, pending the confirmation of the Government of India which will be applied for.

2. The orders of the Govt of India will also be requested with respect to the other cases submitted

by the Examiner with his office note No. 230 Sa. dated 6th February 92. (F.E. No. 606 A of 25-2-92)

2. The Secretary to the Government of India, P.W.D.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1725 G dated 29th June 91, and telegram No. G 236 dated 17th July 91, to the Chief Commissioner Burma, copies of which have been furnished to this office by the Examiner, I am directed to forward a copy of the Proceedings of this Government No. W dated 1892 regarding the grant of subdivisional allowance to temporary upper subordinates, and to state that as para 26 Chap: I, Vol. I P.W. Code makes no distinction between permanent and temporary subordinates, it has hitherto been the practice in this Presidency

S. E. III Circle ✓
" VII Circle
Ex. P.W. Accts.
9/5/3

to pass the allowance to temporary upper subordinates when placed in charge of authorised subdivisions. 606/606A

2. With regard to the ruling in your letter and telegram above quoted, that subdivisional allowance is not admissible to men on the temporary establishment, but that their pay may be increased when their work is changed, I am to say that if it be accepted that subdivisional allowance cannot be passed to such subordinates, it would in the opinion of this Government be an evasion of the rule to grant them an equivalent allowance in the shape of an increase of pay for the duty in

in question. There is no doubt that increased work and responsibility not contemplated when his pay was fixed, is thrown on a temporary upper subordinate when placed in charge of a subdivision and that he is fairly entitled to the additional remuneration fixed for such increased work and responsibility, but His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the extra remuneration should be in the form of a local allowance as contemplated by rule, and not in the shape of increased pay.

3. I am therefore to request the confirmation of the

due to the action of this

15/1 17-2-92

11P

606/606A

18

Government in authorising the admission of sub-divisional allowance to Sub Conductor R. Fryer, temporary upper subordinate on Rs 150 per mensem, who was placed in charge of a subdivision in No. II Periyar Division for one month from 10th November '91, and also to Mr. J. Elliot, temporary upper subordinate on Rs 250 per mensem, now in charge of a subdivision in the Cuddapah Division.

4. With the Proceedings now forwarded is embodied a statement submitted by the Examiner shewing the subdivisional allowances passed to temporary upper subordinates in this Presidency during the past ten

years, and I am to request the sanction of the Government of India to all these charges. In many of the cases, the total emoluments amount to less than Rs. 250 per mensem, and in such cases it is within the power of this Government to sanction the allowances as increases to pay, but as already intimated, His Excellency the Gov in Council considers that the procedure would not be correct in principle.

15/3/12

Pols
20.3.12

Ch
Hem. 22.3.12

12

606/606A
19

C Lo 1295

92

Govt. of India
PUBLIC WORKS.

25 February 92 606/92

Sub Dr Allowances
to Temporary Deputies
Subordinates

19

The Secretary authorized
to admit the grant of ——
in charge of Subdivisions
in this Presidency, pending
Confirmation by the Govt
of India ——

Do do

Govt of India asked to Confirm
the sanction recorded
by this Govt for admitting
—— in charge of Subdivns

Jc 1872