

3

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9.90
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 600

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

36

அரசாணை எண்.	482	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	15-2-1892	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

6 Sheets

ஆவண. வா-1-12,006-15-6-87.

சுவாமி

15TH FEBRUARY 1892, No. 482 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers:—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 6th November 1891, No. 6820.

The order of Government in the Financial Department No. 636 A., dated 23rd September 1891, communicated with G.O., No. 2690 W., dated 10th October 1891, seems to be opposed to that issued on the same subject in the Judicial Department in G.O., No. 19 6, dated 19th September 1891, communicated with G.O., No. 2688 W., dated 10th October 1891.

2. According to the former, charges incurred on account of incidental expenses in criminal suits are required to be paid for by the department for which the work is done, while, according to the Judicial Department order, such charges are required to be met from magisterial contingencies and are not to be debited to the department concerned.

3. As these orders, therefore, conflict with one another, I shall be obliged by your obtaining the orders of Government as to which of the orders above referred to should be acted upon.

No. 4973 C.

Referred to the Financial Department.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

11th November 1891.

Referred to the Accountant-General for opinion.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

18th November 1891.

G.O., dated 11th February 1892, No. 169, Financial.

Read—the following papers:—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 11th November 1891, No. 4973 C.

ABSTRACT.—Transferring office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 6th November 1891, No. 6820.

From the Accountant-General, dated 22nd January 1892, No. I.B.D.-21.

ABSTRACT.—Returning the office-note of the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras, and stating that the incidental charges referred to relate to fees for copies of judgments in criminal suit against the late head clerk, Cuddalore Bridge division, and should be treated as magisterial contingencies and requesting that the endorsement in the Financial Department may be reconsidered.

Order—dated 11th February 1892, No. 169, Financial.

There appears to be no real conflict between the two orders quoted by the Examiner, the object of the Financial endorsement, No. 636 A., dated 23rd September 1891, being to inculcate the principle that incidental charges of this nature should be borne by the departments in which the work is actually executed.

2 If, in the present instance, the copying work was done in the office of the Cuddalore bridge works, the cost would be payable by the Public Works Department; if not, by the Joint Magistrate, South Arcot.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Ag. Under-Secretary.

ORDER—dated 15th February 1892, No. 482 W., Public Works.

Communicated to officers of the Public Works Department, for information and guidance, in continuation of the undermentioned orders:—

G.O., No. 2688 W., dated 10th October 1891.

" 2690 W. " "

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.
" the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
" the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

482
/2

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 15th.

Read.

Encl. 1

G.O., 15th Feb. 1892, No. 482 W.

FEBRUARY.

Criminal Suits

Proceedings, Financial Department, passing orders on the apparent conflict between certain orders in connection with the debit of incidental charges in —, communicated to officers of the Public Works Department.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

1891

23 September 1891

(O. No. 1201.)
8

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1891.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 6 Nov 1891.

OFFICE NOTE No. 6820.

To
P. W.

The order of Government in the Financial Department No. - dated communicated with G.O. No. 2690 W dated 10th. October 1891 seems to be opposed to that issued on the same subject in the Judicial Department in G.O. No. 1958 dated 19th. September 1891, communicated with G.O. No. 2688 W dated 10th. October 1891.

2. According to the former, charges incurred on account of incidental expenses in criminal suits are required to be paid for by the Department for which the work is done, while according to the Judicial Department order such charges are required to be met from Magisterial contingencies and are not to be debited to the department concerned.

3. As these orders therefore conflict with one another I shall be obliged by your obtaining the orders of

Government as to which of the orders above referred to should be acted upon.

Financial.

Offg. C.

3821

Offg. C.

No. 4773 C of 11th November 1891

Referred to the
Financial Department.

Col. Webb

Secretary to Govt

9/18 November 91
Referred to the
Chief Secy.

Chief Secretary

4/7/91



482/4

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department of 11th November 1891 No. 4943 C. transferring office note from the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, of 5th November 1891 No. 6820.

From the Accountant General of 22nd January 1892 No. 2. B. 10, returning the office note of the Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras, and stating that the incidental charges referred to relate to fees for copies of judgments in criminal suits against the late Head Clerk, Cuddalore Bridge Division, and should be treated as marginal Contingencies and requesting that the endorsement in the Financial Department may be reconsidered.

Order 11th February 1892 No. 169

There appears to be no real conflict between the two orders quoted by the Examiner. The object of the Financial endorsement No. 636 A dated 23rd September 1891 being to illustrate the principle that incidental charges

charges of this nature should be borne by the departments in which the work is actually executed.

2. If in the present instance the copying work was done in the office of the Cusdalone bridge works the cost would be payable by the Public Works Department, if not by the Jacob Magistrate, South Arcot.
(Dated 21st Dec)

W. W. W. W.
ag. Under Secretary

The Public Works Department
with C.N. 24701st
Accountant General

h. 1982 hr 23.7.91
- 1893 hr 9.7.91
- 302. D 5.6.91
- 905. hr 1.4.91
- 170. hr 19.1.91
- 5156 c 10-12-90
- 4104 c 10-10-90
- 3913 c 29.7.90
- 783 D 12-9-90
- 603 D 12-8-90
- 994 hr 28-3-90
- 537 hr 16-2-88
- 5672 c 16-12-91
- 2688 hr 10-10-91
- 2690 hr 10-10-91

28/1/92

(D)

Govt. of 18 | Madras.

To

The M.D.

Genl
G. L. L. L. L.
No. 482 W of 15 - 1572

Communicated to
officers of the Public
Works Dept for information
and guidance in con-
tinuation of the under-
mentioned orders.

Proceedings, dated 11-2-1892,
No. 168

Subject.

R.O. 2688 W of 10 Oct. 91
" 2690 " " "

Sr

15.1.92

Passing orders on
the file from the
Inamdar of P.W. Dept
regarding the apparent
contradiction in the
order of 28 Sept 1891 &
order of 20 Sept 1896 of the
1924 in connection
with the debit of mi-
nute expenses
in Criminal suits.

S. Engr.
C. A. L. L. L.
S. W. P. P.
C. P. S.
B. P. W. A.

for the order

ES

482/6

12-9-12 B.D.

C No 1678

Govt. of India

PUBLIC WORKS

16/10/92

15 February 92 4827

Criminal Suits

Pro: Financial Dept
passing orders on the
apparent conflict between
certain orders in connection
with the debit of incidental
charges in
communicated to officers
of P.W.D.

6

220
14

16/10/92

16/10/92