(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 32

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 5.12

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 3337

அச்சகம்
பல்வகை

நாள் : 5-12-1992

199

17

மடிக்கவோ கூண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

3337/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following papers:—

From W. HUGHES, Esq., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 25th October 1892, No. 461 W.

With reference to your memorandum No. 228 C., dated 16th January 1891, I have the honour to submit a statement showing the amounts of rent as per new rules for the Sub-Collector's and the sub-divisional officer's bungalows at Tindivanam and the sub-divisional office at Vriddhâchalam. I also enclose statements in the form prescribed in G.O., No. 10 W., dated 5th January 1891, for these buildings.

2. As calculated in accordance with the rules conveyed in G.Os., No. 2981 W. and No. 248 W., dated 22nd September 1888 and 30th January 1889, rents at Rs. 61 for the Sub-Collector's bungalow and at Rs. 19 for the sub-divisional officer's bungalow were recovered. As subsequently revised by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, the rents amounted to Rs. 63 and Rs. 21. As calculated in accordance with the rules conveyed in G.O., No. 3389 W., dated 19th December 1890, the rents come to Rs. 53 and Rs. 15.

3. The Sub-Collector Mr. Tate occupied the Sub-Collector's bungalow up to 31st March 1892 and then Mr. Moberly, who succeeded him, occupied it from 1st April to 11th May 1892, and on the latter date vacated the building for repairs (see G.O., No. 1878 W., dated 28th June 1892).

4. The Sub-Collector Mr. Tate received notice in July 1890 that the rent of the building was raised from Rs. 61 to Rs. 63, but he has not paid the difference Rs. 2 for 1889-90 objecting to the higher rate being given retrospective effect from 1st April 1889. The Executive Engineer states that Mr. Moberly also considers that he should be charged rent under the new rules at Rs. 53 for the time he occupied the building. I beg to be informed, therefore, whether the differences between Rs. 53, the new rate, and Rs. 61 and Rs. 63, rent paid since April 1889, are to be refunded. If not from what date rent at Rs. 53 should be charged.

5. The extensive repairs recently carried out to this building have tended to raise the rent from Rs. 53 to Rs. 62 as shown in enclosed statement. Rs. 62 will be recovered as rent from date of re-occupation of the building after repairs.

6. The present Tindivanam sub-divisional officer has paid rent at Rs. 21 and presumably he is entitled to a refund of the difference between that amount and Rs. 15, the new rate of rent, from the date he took charge of the sub-division in May 1890.

7. The rent for the sub-divisional office at Vriddhâchalam was formerly Rs. 20; as calculated by the rules in force in 1889, it amounted to Rs. 43; and, under the rules conveyed in G.O., No. 3389 W., dated 19th December 1890, amounts to Rs. 41. Half of the building was occupied as a residence by Honorary Assistant Engineer Mr. Legge from 1st April to 20th October 1889 and a rent of Rs. 10 per mensem, being half the original rent for the whole building, was recovered from him for the

above period. The difference between this and the half rent at Rs. 43, viz., Rs. 11½, remains unpaid and amounts for the period mentioned to Rs. 79-12-0. For the reasons given in this office letter No. 3094, dated 2nd October 1890, I beg to recommend that sanction may be given to the Rs. 79-12-0 being remitted.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 29th November 1892, No. 1855 C.

[Your office-note No. 4616 C., dated 5th November 1892.]

The question of rents to be charged as per G.O., No. 3389 W., dated 19th December 1890, has been separately taken up in connection with your office-note No. 4807 C., dated 17th November 1892.

2. The Superintending Engineer's application for remission of rent is governed by the ruling in G.O., No. 648 W. of 6th March 1891, to the effect that revised rents have effect from the date on which notice of the rent being revised is served on the occupant. The occupants will therefore be entitled to a refund, or will have to pay (as the case may be) the difference between the rent already paid by them and the rent calculated according to G.O., No. 3389 W., dated 19th December 1890, from the date on which notice of revision of rent is served on them.

From W. HUGHES, Esq., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 15th November 1892, No. 482 W.

With reference to G.O., No. 3389 W., dated 19th December 1890, I have the honour to forward, for approval, a data statement for calculation of rents of buildings in the South Arcot division.

2. The value of sites entered in column 8 is in every case the value ascertained from the Collector.

3. The cost of repairs (average of the past eight years) is slightly in excess of three per cent. on cost of construction in the case of the Sub-Collector's residence and the quarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Tirukoilûr. Extensive repairs were executed this year to the first-mentioned building and last year to the other building. The cost of repairs on the remaining two buildings comes to eleven and six-and-half per cent., respectively, on cost of construction. The Executive Engineer explains that this is owing to the buildings being old and requiring expensive repairs to make them habitable.

4. In G.O., No. 452 W., dated 12th February 1892, sanction was given to the southern portion of the barracks at Manjakuppam being omitted from the return of buildings available for rent. The Executive Engineer reports that by a clerical error "southern" was entered instead of "northern" in his application for sanction to omitting the building from the return. In the statement now submitted the southern portion of the barracks is included and the northern portion omitted.

3337/2

ENCLOSURE.

STATEMENT giving data for calculation of rents of buildings in South Arcot division.

Item number.	Name of building.	Official designation and salary of occupant.	Whether permanent or temporary (P. or T.).	Date of completion or purchase.	Capital cost.					Actual average cost of repairs (vide G.O., No. 1367 W., dated 22nd May 1889).			Estimated cost of repairs when actual average is not taken.	Normal rate of rent on basis of foregoing data.		Rent paid prior to 7th September 1888.	Rent to be charged.		Remarks.
					Cost of construction or purchase.	Establishment and tools and plant at 10 per cent. on account in (5).	Cost of site.	Total.	Four per cent. on capital cost (5).	Cost of repairs.	Ten per cent. to establishment and tools and plant.	Total.		Annual (10 + 13) or (10 + 14).	Monthly (11).		Yearly.	Monthly.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Sub-Collector's bungalow at Tindivanam.	Sub-Collector, salary Rs. 1,034.	P.	Not known. In existence on 7th September 1883.	9,036	..	1,050	10,086	403	291	29	320	..	747	62	60	747	62	The sub-divisional officer is allowed to occupy only half portion of the building, the other half being used as office.
2	Bungalow of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Tirukoilûr.	Forest ..	P.	1878/79 ..	7,695	..	209	7,904	316	222	22	244	..	634	45	534 Half portion.	534	45	
3	Sub-divisional officer's bungalow at Vriddhachalam.	Sub-divisional officer, salary Rs. 189.	P.	Not known.	3,208	..	38	3,246	130	323	32	355	..	485	41	10	242	20	
4	Barracks at Manjakuppam, southern portion.	Police Inspector, salary Rs. 115.	P.	Do. ..	1,056	..	9	1,065	42	63	6	69	..	111	10	6½	111	10	

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 30th November 1892, No. 347 A.

Returned, with the remark, that the statement furnished by the Superintending Engineer does not include the following buildings which are shown on the monthly return of buildings rented and available for rent of the South Arcot division :—

i. Government house at Manjakuppam.
ii. Sub-divisional officer's bungalow at Tindivanam.
iii. Barracks at Manjakuppam, northern portion.

2. In the case of the last-mentioned building, the Superintending Engineer in para. 4 of his letter No 482 W., dated 15th November 1892 (enclosed) gives certain reasons for the omission of the building from the statement. If the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, approves the building may be omitted on the explanation of the Superintending Engineer.

ORDER—dated 5th December 1892, No. 3337 W., Public Works.

The statement submitted by the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, with his letter No. 482 W., dated 15th November 1892, is approved, but a supplemental statement for the first two buildings named in the Examiner's note No. 347 A., dated 30th November 1892, should be forwarded.

2. The omission from the Capital and Revenue accounts of the northern portion of the barracks at Manjakuppam is approved on the explanation furnished by the Superintending Engineer.

3. With regard to the questions raised in the Superintending Engineer's letter No. 461 W., dated 25th October 1892, the sums recovered in excess of Rs. 53 per mensem from Mr. Tate and Mr. Moberly for the Sub-Collector's bungalow at Tindivanam should be refunded, and rent, at the revised rate of Rs. 62 per mensem, should be charged from the date of re-occupation of the building after completion of the repairs now in progress.

4. Similarly the rent in excess of Rs. 15 per mensem paid by the sub-divisional officer at Tindivanam should be refunded.

5. No arrears of rent need be recovered from the sub-divisional officer, Vriddhachalam, for the period from 1st April to 29th October 1889, no notice of increase having been served on him for that period.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, V Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

3337
3

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

3337/3

Dated December, 5th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 5th Dec. 1892, No. 3337 W.

DECEMBER.

Rents

Statement giving data for calculation of — of buildings in the South Arcot division, approved with remarks.

Statement showing rents for the undermentioned buildings in South Arcot Division as calculated according to Code para 1041 (S.O No: 1320 dated 18th August 1890).

3337/4

		Sub Collectors Bungalow at Tindevanam Amt to subsequent extension of present Entrance Repairs	Sub Ord Officers Bungalow at Tindevanam Amt to subsequent extension of present Entrance Repairs	Sub Divisional Officers Bungalow at Vridhachellam	Remarks
1	Cost of building	9636	9636	2014	3208
2	Cost of site	1050	1050	646	38
3	Ten per cent on account of establishment & Tools and Plant				
4	Total Capital Cost	11,650	10686	2660	3246
5	Average outlay on repairs for 8 years actual	155	291	59	323
6	Ten per cent on account of Establishment & Tools and Plant on the average cost of repairs	15	29	6	32
7	Total by (5 + 6)	170	320	65	355
8.	Four per cent interest on Capital Cost of building vide item No 4	466	427	106	130
9	Yearly rent (7+8)	636	747	171	485
10	Monthly rent (9/12)	53	62	15	41

* Establishment & Tools and Plant charges not included in Capital Cost the buildings having been built prior to 1st September 1888. But added in the first Column as the rent previously paid Rs 66 will be still more reduced if these charges were omitted

25th October 1892.

W. Hughes
Superintending Engineer
Varalu

EXAMINER
7 NOV 1892
ACCOUNTS

South Arcot Division

Revised statement showing the particulars of data for rent of Sub Divisional officer's quarters at Vrobachellam Vide G.O. No 105 dated 5th January 1891.

3337/5

1.	Value of Building	3208
1a	Cost of site	38
	Total Capital Cost	3246 ✓
2.	Average cost of up-keep	355
3	Rent according to rules — per mensem	41 ✓
4	proportion of total area occupied by office (if any)	half ✓
5.	Deduction on account of office rent (if any)	20½ ✓
6	Rent that should be paid by occupant	20½ ✓
7	Rent that is proposed	10
8	Average Salary of occupant per mensem	330
9.	Market rate for similar accommodation (Obtainable as far as practicable)	10

W. Hughes

25 October 1892.

Superintending Engineer
V. Circle

EXAMINER
7 NOV 1892
ACCOUNT

South Arcot Division

Revised statement showing the particulars of data for rent of Sub Divisional officers Bungalow at Tindivanam Vide G.O. No. 1005 dated 5th January 1891.

3337/6

1 Value of Building	2014
1a Cost of site	646
Total Capital Cost.	2660
2. average cost of repairs	59
3 Rent according to rules ____ per mensem	15
4 Proportion of total area occupied by office (if any)	"
5 Deduction on account of office rent (if any)	"
6 Rent that should be paid by occupant	15
7 Rent that is proposed	15
8 Average salary of occupant ____ per mensem	280
9 Market rate for similar accommodation (to be given as far as practicable)	15

Note - This building was destroyed by fire in April 1892 - as reported in this Office No. 194 W - dated 26 April 1892.

25th October 1892.

W. Hughes
Superintending Engineer
V. Circle

T 1378 WD 25.97

EXAMINER
7 NOV 1892
ACCOUNT

South arcot Division

Revised statement showing the particulars of data for rent of Sub Collectors Bungalow at Tindivanam Vide G.O. No. 10 W dated 5th January 1891.

337/1

	Prior to execution of recent extensive repairs	Subsequent to execution of recent extensive repairs
1 Value of building	9636	9636
1a Cost of site	1050	1050
Total Capital Cost	10686	10686
2. Average Cost of repairs	135	291
3 Rent according to rules — per mensem	53	62
4 Proportion of total area occupied by office if any	.	.
5 Deduction on account of office rent if any	.	.
6. rent that should be paid by occupant	53	62
7 Rent that is proposed	53	62
8 average salary of occupant per mensem	1034	1034
9 Market rate for similar accommodation (to be given as far as practicable)	53	62

25 October 1892.

W. Hughes
Superintending Engineer
V. Circle

EXAMINED
7 NOV 1892
W. ADDISON

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepák, 26th October 1892.

No. 4618

From W. Hughes Esquire 3337/8

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P. W. Department

1 Pice

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No 228C d/16th January 1891 I have the honor to submit a Statement showing the amounts of rent as per new rules for the Sub Collectors and the Sub Divisional officers bungalows at Tindevanum and the Sub Divisional office at Viddachellam. I also enclose statements in the form prescribed in G.O. No 10 W d/5th January 1891 for these buildings.

Enclosures.

2. As calculated in accordance with the rates conveyed in G.Os. No 2981 W and No 248 W d/22nd September 1888 and 30th January 1889 rents at Rs 61

Rs 61, for the Sub Collectors bungalow and at Rs 19 for the Sub Divisional officers bungalow were recovered. As subsequently revised by the Examiner P. W. Accounts, the rents amounted to Rs 63 and Rs 21. As calculated in accordance with the rules conveyed in G.O. No 3389 W dt/19th December 1890, the rents come to Rs 53 and Rs 15.

3. The Sub Collector Mr. Ate occupied the Sub Collectors bungalow upto 31st March 1892 and then Mr. Moberly who succeeded him occupied it from 1st April to 11th May 1892 and on the latter date vacated the building for repairs. (See G.O. No 1878 W dated 28th June 1892).

4. The Sub Collector Mr. Pate received notice in July 1890 that the rent of the building was raised from Rs 61, to Rs 63, but he has not paid the difference Rs 2 for 1889-90 objecting to the higher rate being given retrospective effect from 1st April 1889. The Executive Engineer states that Mr. Moberly also considers that he should be charged rent under the new rules at Rs 53 for the time he occupied the building. I beg to be informed therefore whether the difference between Rs 53, the new rate and Rs 61 and Rs 63 rent paid since April 1889 are to be refunded. If not from what date rent at Rs 53 should be charged.

3337/9

5. The extensive repairs recently carried out to this building have tended to raise the rent from Rs 53 to Rs 62, as shown in enclosed statement. Rs 62 will be recovered as rent from date of reoccupation of the building after repairs.

6. The present Tindevanum Sub Divisional office

officer has paid rent at Rs 21, and presumably he is entitled to a refund of the difference between that amount and Rs 15, the new rate of rent, from the date he took charge of the Sub Division in May 1890.

7. The rent for the Sub Divisional office at Vriddachellam was for= =merly Rs 20; as calculated by the rules in force in 1889. it amounted to Rs 43; and under the rules Conveyd in G.O. No 3389 W dated 19th Decem= =ber 1890 amounts to Rs 41. Half of the building was occupied as a residence by Honorary Asst. Engineer Mr. Legge from 1st April to 29th October 1889 and a rent of Rs 10 per mensem being half the original rent for the whole building was

was recovered from him for the above period. The difference between this and the half rent, at Rs 43 viz Rs 11½ re= =mains unpaid, and amounts for the period mentioned to Rs 79-12-0. For the reasons given in this office letter No 3094 dated 2nd October 1890, I beg to recommend that sanction may be given to the Rs 79-12-0 being remitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt. Servt

W. Thyer

Supdg. Engineer & Cuda

3337/10

P. W. CURRENT No. 1072

EXAMINER No. 1057 7 NOV 1892 ENCLOSURES

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

To

THE Chief Engineer

P. W. Dept a

No. 4616 C dt 5- November 1892

Do C

Dated 25 October 1892.
Recd. 27

Enclosures. 4

No. 461 W

Sub Collectors and the Sub Divisional Offices Bungalows at Tindivanam and the Sub Division Office at Vedhachellam.

Submits a Statements showing the amounts of rent as per Fasli Rules for the — & also Statements in the form prescribed in G.O.Ms. 10 W — Jany 1891

(C. No. 1201/6)

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1892. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 29 November 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1855-C

3337/11

To

W.

Your office note No.4616 C.dated 5th.November 1892.

The question of rents to be charged as per G.O.No.3389 W.dated 19th. December 1890 has been separately taken up in connection with your office note No. 4807 C.dated 17th.November 1892.

2. The Supg.Engineer's application for remission of rent is governed by the ruling in G.O.No.648 W.of 8th.March 1891 to the effect that revised rents have effect from the date on which notice of the rent being revised is served on the occupant. The occupants will therefore be entitled to a refund, or will have to pay,(as the case may be) the difference between the rent already paid by them,and the rent calculated according to G.O.No.3389 W.dated 19th.December 1890,from the date on which notice of revision of rent is served on them.

C.

3000—3-2-91.

P. W. CURRENT No. 1864

No 976 W 4/9-4-86

Rec'd 30-11-92

Superintending Engineer's Office,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepak, 15th November 1892.

No. 4822 W

From W. Hughes Esquire
Superintending Engineer, V Circle,

To The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

5 Recd

3337/12

Sir,

Enclosures. 1

With reference to G.O. No 3389 W dated 19th December 1890. I have the honor to forward for approval a data statement for calculation of rents of buildings in the South Arcot Division.

2. The value of sites entered in Column 8 is in every case the value ascertained from the Collector.

3. The cost of repairs (average of the past eight years) is slightly in excess of three per cent on cost of Construction in the case of the Sub Collector's residence and the quarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Tiruvallur. Extensive repairs were executed this year to the first mentioned building and last year to the other building. The cost of repairs on the remaining two buildings comes to eleven and six and half per cent respectively on

on cost of construction. The Executive Engineer explains that this is owing to the buildings being old and requiring expensive repairs to make them habitable.

4. In G.O. No 452 W dated 12th February 1892 sanction was given to the Southern portion of the Barracks at Mangalapuram being omitted from the Return of buildings available for rent. The Executive Engineer reports that by a clerical error "Southern" was entered instead of "Northern" in his application for sanction to omitting the building from the Return. In the Statement now submitted the Southern portion of the Barracks is included and the Northern portion omitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt Servt

W. Hughes

Supdg Engineer & Cable

No 10 W dy. 5-1-91
" 242 W - 26-1-91
" 648 W - 6-3-91
" 3307 W - 9-12-91
" 452 W - 12-2-92
" 3385 W - 15-12-90
" 2857-8 W - 14-10-92

3337
13

P.W. CURRENT No. 4444
1902

EXAMINER
No. 684 A
18 NOV 1892
ENCLOSURES /
P.W. ACCOUNTS

From

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

No 4807 C dt 17 Nov 1892

To C

For favour of

remarks.

DWB
7.12.92

No 347 A dt 30-11-92

To W.

Returned with the remark that th
statement furnished by the Supg.Enginee
does not include the following building
which are shown on the monthly return
of buildings rented and available for
rent of the South Arcot Division.

i. Government house at Munjakuppam.

ii. Sub divisional officer's bungalow
at Tindivanam.

iii. Barracks at Munjakuppam Northern
portion.

2. In the case of the last mention
ed building ,the Supg.Engineer in para
4 of his letter,No.482 W.dated I5th.No-
vember I892(enclosed)gives certain rea-
sons for the omission of the building
from the statement. If the Secretary
to Govt. P.W.D. approves the building
may be omitted on the explanation o'
the Supg. Engineer.

C

(Encl)

Dated 16 November 1892.

Recd. 1-12-92

ENCLOSURES. /

No. 482 W.

Data Statement for
Calculation of rents
of buildings'

Forwarded for approv
-al a- in the South
Arcot Division -

3337/14

Statement giving data for Calculation of Rents of Buildings in South Arcot Division

3337/14

Sl. No.	Name of building	Official designation and salary of occupant	Whether permanent or temporary Por T.	Date of completion or purchase	Capital Cost: Cost of erection or purchase = + charges for establishment + 7½ P.C. on amount in (5)	Land	Total	4% on Capital cost (8)	Actual average cost of repairs per annum for 5 years ending 1889 (G.O. No. 1267 dated 22nd May 1889): Cost of repairs = Rains	10% for establishment + T & P	Total	Estimated cost of repairs when actual average is not known	Normal rate of rent on basis of foregoing data: annual 10+13 or 10+14	monthly 15/12	Rent fixed prior to 7th September 1888: yearly	monthly	Rent to be charged: yearly	monthly	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	Sub Collectors Bungalow at Tindivanam	Sub Collector Salary Rs 1034	P	Not Known Inclusive on 7th September 1888	9636	"	1050	10686	427	291	29	320	"	747	62	60	747	62	
2	Bungalow of the Assistant Superintendent of Police Tirukoilur	Vacant	P	1878 1879	7055	"	200	7255	290	222	22	244	"	534	45	34¼	534	45	
3	Sub-Divisional officer's bungalow at Vriddhachellam	Sub Divisional officer Salary Rs 180	P	Not Known	3208	"	38	3246	130	323	32	355	"	485	41	10	242	20	The Sub Divl. officer is allowed to occupy only half portion of the building, the other half being used as office.
4	Barracks at Munjakuppam Southern portion	Police Inspector Salary Rs 115	P	do	1050	"	8	1058	42	63	6	69	"	111	10	6-9-0	111	10	
		11	3	6	3	6		3	3	3	4	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	12

(Signed) S. P. Davidson
Executive Engineer

15th November 1892

W. Hughes
Supdg. Engineer & Circle

EXAMINER
No. 684 R.
18 NOV 1892
ACCOUNTS

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 3337. R. dy. 5th December 1892.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

3337/15

READ the following

From the Superintending Engineer Circle (H.E.) No. 461. W. d/25th Oct. '92.
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts. No. 855 E. d/29th Nov. '92.
From the Supdg. Engineer Circle (H.E.) No. 482. W. d/15th Nov. '92.
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts. (H.E.) No. 347. A. d/30th Nov. '92.

The Statement submitted by the Supdg. Engineer Circle with his letter No. 482 W. d/15th November 1892 is approved, but a supplemental statement for the first two buildings named in the Examiner's Note No. 347. A. d/30th November 1892 should be forwarded.

2. No omission from the Capital and Revenue accounts of the Northern portion of the barracks at Manjakuppam is observed on the explanation furnished by the Superintending Engineer.

3. With regard to the question raised

raised in the Supt.s Engineer's
letter No. 461. W. d/ 25th October
1872, the sums recovered in
excess of Rs 53 per mensem
from Mr. Dale and Mr.
Moberly. for the Sub Collector's
bungalow at Tindivanum
should be refunded, and
rent at the revised rate
of Rs 62 per mensem should
be charged from the date
of reoccupation of the
building after completion
of the repairs now in
progress.

4. Similarly the rent in
excess of Rs 6 per mensem
paid by the Subdivisional
officer at Tindivanum
should be refunded.

5. No arrears of rent need
be recovered from the
Subdivisional officer
Vriddhachallam for the
period from 1st April to 29th
October 1889 no notice of
increase having been
served on him for that
period.

To
The S. E. & Circle.
Examiner P.W. accts.
Revenue Dept.

3337
16

C bco 11902

10-9-16

Govt. of {1892 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

3337/16

Dated
3427 15.12.92

Rcd. Encl.

G.O. 5th December 1892 No. 3337-W

Rents

Statement giving data for calculation of — of building in the South Arcot Division, approved with remarks.

3-6-12