

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/91
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் al

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PW துறை

அரசாணை எண். 3224 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 23 11, 1892 198.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

OV

3224
1

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

READ the following paper:—

From the Presidency Port Office of
17th November 1892, No. $\frac{690}{414}$ G.

not Comd.

With reference to G. O. No. 414, dated
15th November 1892, I have the honor to beg
that it may be pointed out to the Government
that the notice to Mariners regarding the Maun Light,
submitted with my letter No. $\frac{690}{401}$ G, of
10th November 1892, will be read by Mariners in
connection with the notice on the same subject,
and the date fixed in the notice under reference
for the Exhibition of the new light meets the
wishes of the Government of India in the matter,
for the date of the original notice is the 2nd
September 1892, and the light according to the
second notice will not be exhibited until the
1st April 1893. The notice dated the 2nd September
has been already re-published by the Admiralty
Hydrographer for the information of Mariners, and
as Mariners are now warned that a change in
the light may be expected, it does not appear
requisite for the six months notice of the change
proposed

proposed to take effect from the date of the issue of the second notice.

2. I do not quite understand in what way the notice under reference is not in accordance with the instructions issued in G.O. No. 397, dated 10th October 1892, as it seems to me to meet all that is required by Mariners.

It is true that, the bearings of the Light from seaward have not been given, but as the light is visible in all directions from seaward I am of opinion that it is not necessary to give bearings.

3. There are no definite instructions on the subject of drafting notices to Mariners, and I have hitherto been guided by the notices issued by the Admiralty, and to prove that the notice prepared by me is not wanting in preciseness I append a notice regarding Tarlet-hess Light issued by the Admiralty in which, with respect to the visibility of the light, it is notified that, "it will be visible in all directions that the land permits". Should the Government desire it I will amend the notice regarding the Madras Light on the lines of the notice regarding the Tarlet-hess Light or enter the bearings from seaward but in my opinion the notice affords all the particulars a Mariner requires.

4. In conclusion, I would observe that the Admiralty have taken no exception to my

Notice?

any of the 2^d September regarding Madras Light or to the notice about Hope Island Light, which to my mind prove that the Hydrographer is satisfied that the notices are sufficiently precise.

P. S. In the notice sent herewith the height of the centre of the lantern above high water is given instead of its height above mean sea level and I beg that this notice may be substituted for the one already sent.

Order of 21 November 1892, No. 424.

The revised notice is approved for immediate issue. It should be supplemented by another in due course giving the exact date.

2. A report will be obtained in the Public Works Department as to the date when the arrangements for the Exhibition of the new light will certainly be completed.

(True Extract)

W. B. Brodie
By Order Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department
" Presidency Post Office

W. B.

[0]

Gobt. of 18 Madras.

No 2888 Wd 29-10-91
" 2945 Wd 25-10-92
" 3153 Wd 17-11-92

19-12-92 ✓

18-11-91 ✓

To

The Public Works Dept

urgent

✓ 3224 Wd/23 Nov 1892
B

Recd 23-11-92

Proceedings, dated 21 November 1892
No. 424.

Subject.

Approving of the re-
vised notice to mari-
ners regarding the
Madras light for
immediate issue.

Communicated to the
Consulting Architect for
early report with respect
to paragraph 2.

for Jd/92
HMS
23-11-92

The C. A. L. 23/11/92
L.S.

With order.

128/11

S.C. 24/11