

(1) பக்தங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

10

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

பிப்ரவரி 1992

(3) ஆதாரக் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கவியம்

சுருக்கவியம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரியநிதித் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

3189

அச்சகம்

பலவகை

நாள் :

19-11-1892

199

மடிக்கவேண்டியடி கையாள்வேர கூடாது.

வ.க.

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

3189
7

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Bangalore, 6th September 1892, No. 319.

I have the honour to submit particulars of reports from district officers on the condition of ancient buildings.

2. The list sent by the Collector of Kistna, and forwarded in original, contains mention of remains which it is desirable should be conserved. Serial No. 62 is a fort which I have not inspected, but records exist as to its historical interest. Nos. 64 to 66, 68 to 95, 97 to 100, and 102 are inscriptions which I have not seen, but their dates show something of their value, and they generally require little or no expenditure.

3. The Collector of Kistna also requests that Rs. 10 might be sanctioned for clearing the Undavalli caves (List Serial No. 14) from shrubs and weeds. The caves might also be inspected by the Executive Engineer to see if any of the pillars are likely to fall.

4. The Collector of Godavari reports that the old fort of Peddapur is in a dilapidated state and dangerous to the public. About eight cart-loads of beams are stated to have fallen, and it is proposed to sell these. Some of the rooms are stated to contain some good carved woodwork. Sanction is requested for a sum of Rs. 25 to block up a dangerous gateway and open another for the use of those who cultivate the land within the fort. Perhaps this sum might be recovered on the sale of the beams. It is further recommended that a sum of Rs. 100 might be sanctioned for repairing the rooms which are interesting on account of their carving. As the fort is not in the revised list and has not been seen by me, this latter proposal might stand over till I have had an opportunity of seeing the building, which I hope to do when I am in the district next field season.

5. The Collector of Madura states that items in the list Nos. 41, 42 and 43 are out of repair. Repairs to the temple at Kovilpati (No. 74) are reported to be necessary, but orders have lately been issued with respect to this building. (G.O., dated 7th July 1892, No. 477 P.)

6. The Collector of Salem reports that the fort at Krishnagiri (No. 86) is in fair condition, but the stones are said to be giving way in parts.

7. The following list of buildings at Vizianagram has been sent by the Collector of Bellary. They are not in the revised list of monuments, but have been inspected by me; they are buildings worthy of being preserved. The work proposed need not be expensive, as it is principally the removal of vegetation and rubbish :—

No.	District.	Talak.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Monument in charge of Government or private bodies.
1	Bellary.	Hospet.	Vizianagrum	Sasurakalu Ganapati's temple.	Deserted. Vegetation should be prevented from taking root and rubbish cleared out.
2	Do.	Do.	Kannalapuram	Chendrasakthara Muttum.	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
3	Do.	Do.	Do.	Chikkhuda	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
4	Do.	Do.	Do.	Ontigoda padasala	Requires some rubbish to be cleared out.
5	Do.	Do.	Do.	Entrance to underground passage.	Requires trees to be cut and some rubbish to be cleared out.
6	Do.	Do.	Kadarampur	Uddana Venabhadra's temple.	In good condition, no repair required.
7	Do.	Do.	Do.	Chendikaswaswamy temple.	Requires rubbish to be cleared out and scattered stones heaped up.
8	Do.	Do.	Venkatapur	Venabhadra's temple on Mathanga.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish cleared out.
9	Do.	Do.	Do.	Vyasuraya Muttum	Requires rubbish to be removed and scattered stones heaped up.
10	Do.	Do.	Do.	Dasakula temple with Bazaar in front of it.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.
11	Do.	Do.	Do.	Bazaar in front of Vichaswamy temple.	Requires trees to be cut and rubbish removed.

8. I would propose that the temples at Kulamandal and Ukkal, in the Arcot taluk of the North Arcot district, and brought to notice by the Sub-Collector, be preserved. The temple of Joligaganadhesvara at the former place is a deserted Chola temple in fair preservation with some fine sculpture and carving. Large panels on the walls are covered with clear and legible Chola inscriptions. These are worthy the attention of the Government Epigraphist. All the preservation required is the removal of some vegetation from the walls. The Perumal temple at Ukkal is almost completely ruined, and so overgrown with prickly-pear as to be inaccessible. There are some ancient inscriptions around the base which are worthy of attention. Beyond clearing a pathway to, and removing the dense vegetation from off and around, the inscriptions, nothing more in the way of preservation need be attempted.

ENCLOSURE.

From A. T. ARUNDEL, Esq., Collector of Kistna, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department (through the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras), dated Masulipatam, 28th July 1892, No. 6538.

With reference to Board's Proceedings, No. 477, dated 10th August 1891, communicating G.O., No. 4109, Revenue, dated 18th July 1891, I have the honour to forward herewith a list, marked A, showing the ancient monuments worthy of being conserved but omitted in the revised list.

2. My Sub-Collector recommends the conservation of the monuments as per Statement B enclosed which formerly found a place in Mr. Sewell's list, but have been omitted from the list under reference. I do not know whether the omission was intentional, but it is desirable to add this list to the other and to conserve the remains as far as practicable.

Serial Number.	District.	Talak.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reason for conservation.	Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestion for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	No. expenditure at present required.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
58	Kistna	Gudirada	Mandapadu Agraharam.	Two inscriptions on a stone (name not known).	Of some importance.	58	II.	...	...	...	The stone containing the inscriptions was lying in a street. As it was considered of some importance the village headman has been instructed to look after it.
59	Do.	Do.	Gudirada	Ruined Buddhist tope almost demolished, but masses of large-sized brick are left. The Jain statue ..	Of archaeological interest.	59	II.	...	...	...	In the occupation of ryots under cultivation. The village headman has been instructed to look after this.
60	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	60	II.	...	...	...	A colossal figure of Buddha standing in the enclosure of a thoultry. The village headman has been instructed to look after it.
61	Do.	Sattenapalle.	Amakavali	Carved marble in a well near the tope.	Of very great value in all respects.	61	(a)	These might all be collected and placed inside the fence. Cost Rs. 100 as the well must be rebuilt.	...	...	...
62	Do.	Do.	Do.	Carved marble at the Sivaganga tank. The fort ..	Do.	62	(a)	These might also be removed and placed inside the fence. To be placed in charge of village headman with an annual grant of Rs. 24 for clearing of vegetation. To be placed in charge of village headman.	...	...	...
63	Do.	Do.	Belamkonda	...	All old forts are to be conserved.	63	(a)	...	...	...	...
64	Do.	Do.	Phiangipuram.	Inscription of A.D. 1409.	Historical ..	64	II.	...	...	...	...
65	Do.	Do.	Kotavaram	Inscription of A.D. 1552.	Do.	65	II.	...	...	...	...

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I, Gazette, pages.	Reason for conservation.	Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
65	Kistna ..	Sattenapalle.	Munigodu ..	Both the inscriptions.	65	Historical ..	66	II.	To be placed in charge of village headman.	11	12
67	Do.	Do.	Fandem ..	Inscriptions of A.D. 1231.	65	Do. ..	67	II.		Stands in private land, set in care for by the owner.	
68	Do.	Do.	Padamakona ..	Inscription of A.D. 1160 and 1175.	65	Do. ..	68	II.		In charge of temple trustees.	
69	Do.	Do.	Rampalem ..	Inscription of A.D. 1246.	66	Do. ..	69	II.	The stone stands in a jungle. It might be felled, round with a wall, cost Rs. 5, and placed in charge of village headman.	(1) Funds available. (2) Yes, if caste Hindu. (3) Yes.	
70	Do.	Do.	Sripuram ..	Inscription of A.D. 1165.	65	Historical and of much importance.	70	II.	In charge of temple trustees.		
71	Do.	Do.	Kakani or Pedakani.	Inscription of A.D. 1279 on stone in front of Gopuram, and of A.D. 1518.	65	Historical ..	89	II.	(1) No funds. (2) Yes. (3) Yes.		
72	Do.	Do.	Kaja ..	Inscription at the Vishnu temple, dated A.D. 1144.	75	Do. ..	90	II.	Inscriptions are in charge of temple trustees. Villagers report that there is no fund available with them. Trustees execute occasional repairs. The inscriptions require repair. Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. They have no objection to the inspection.		
73	Do.	Do.	Mangalagiri ..	Inscription, pillar lying near foot of steps leading to temple on hill.	75	Do. ..	91	I.	Trustees do not consent to give the management over to Government. The inscriptions are in good order. No funds are available to keep in better state. Trustees have no objection to the inspection of these by Government officers.		
74	Do.	Do.	...	Inscription of A.D. 1558 by an Orissan Prince.	..	...	..	II.	This inscription is lying flat on the ground; it should be set up on a pedestal, for which Rs. 5 at least are required.		
75	Do.	Do.	Xidumakula ..	Inscription No. 1 ..	75	Historical ..	92	II.	Trustees desire to keep the management of the inscription.		

The monument is in good order and is to be placed in charge of village officers.

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I, Gazette, pages.	Reason for conservation.	Serial Number.	Classification.	Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
76	Do.	Do.	...	...	76	Do. ..	93	II.		...	
77	Do.	Do.	...	...	77	Do. ..	95	II.		...	
78	Do.	Do.	...	...	78	Four inscriptions belonging to 12th century and relating to some grants made to the temple.	..	II.		...	
79	Do.	Do.	...	...	79	Inscriptions relating to grants made to the temple.	..	II.		...	
80	Do.	Do.	...	...	80	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
81	Do.	Do.	...	...	81	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
82	Do.	Do.	...	...	82	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
83	Do.	Do.	...	...	83	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
84	Do.	Do.	...	...	84	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
85	Do.	Do.	...	...	85	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
86	Do.	Do.	...	...	86	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
87	Do.	Do.	...	...	87	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
88	Do.	Do.	...	...	88	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
89	Do.	Do.	...	...	89	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
90	Do.	Do.	...	...	90	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
91	Do.	Do.	...	...	91	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
92	Do.	Do.	...	...	92	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
93	Do.	Do.	...	...	93	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
94	Do.	Do.	...	...	94	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
95	Do.	Do.	...	...	95	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
96	Do.	Do.	...	...	96	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
97	Do.	Do.	...	...	97	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
98	Do.	Do.	...	...	98	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
99	Do.	Do.	...	...	99	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	
100	Do.	Do.	...	...	100	Records the erection of the temple by a private person in the 17th of the glorious years of the Tribhuvana Chakravarty Sri Vikrama Chodi Deva.	..	II.		...	

(1) Amount of funds available for conservation is not known.
(2) Government officers belonging to Hindu religion can inspect and direct and control them.
(3) Yes.

Temple trustees.
(1) Funds are available.
(2) Yes. Only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect.
(3) Yes.
These inscriptions can in the temple compound exposed to weather; such worn out pedestal on the stone beam in the inner portion of the temple. Found on the Kalysa Marappa over two pillars. These are legible enough.

No change in the condition of the inscription.

No change in the condition of the inscription.

Subsequently repaired by the village.
The inscription is on a stone outside the compound of the temple which is in ruins. Two inscriptions on the pillars of the inner portion of the temple. The inscriptions are worn out. Care is taken to cover them.

Serial Number.	District.	Taluk.	Village.	Name of Monument.	Reference to Volume I. Sewell's List of Antiquities.	Reason for conservation.	Classification.		Suggestions for conservation of Monuments (a) in charge of Government.	Monuments (b) in charge of private bodies or individuals.	Remarks.
							8	9			
101	Kistna	Bépallo	Vellatur	Vide remark against Bhattiprola. An inscription here on an ancient temple.	80	...	II.	(b)	...	Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, only the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect.	Does not require repairs. The inscription is not readable.
102	Do.	Do.	Volera	Inscription of A.D. 1538. The Buddhist remains.	81	Records a grant made by a private person. The stupas A and G are of great importance. Historically and relate to Buddhist times. They create much archaeological interest.	II.	(b)	...	Do.	Does not require repairs.
103	Do.	Do.	Bhattiprola	...	77	...	II.	(a)	The mound should be strictly preserved.	...	This is a large Buddhist stupa or mound locally called <i>Sanjibba</i> . It has recently been acquired by the Government. Archaeological the exterior casing of bricks has been exposed by the excavations; relics were found in the pit under the centre of the mound. It is possible that some marbles may still be hidden under the debris.
...	Do.	Do.	Do.	Inscriptions on the temples of Vittalaeswara and Malliswara.	78	...	II.	(b)	...	Temple trustees. (1) Funds are available. (2) Yes, some but the first 4 castes of Hindus are allowed to inspect. (3) Yes.	There are three inscriptions in connection with Vittalaeswara temple—one on the south-east pillar of <i>Nada</i> mantapam. Letters are legible. Belongs to Salivahana era 1160. The 2nd is on the north-east pillar of the same mantapam; and the third is on a stone in the heart of the temple. The inscription is in connection with Malliswara temple, is on the gate of <i>Gudivada</i> , northern side.

No. 1517.

MEMORANDUM.

In paragraph 10 of G.O., dated 9th January 1891, No. 17, the Government expressed its intention of conserving only such monuments as are unique or very ancient or possess exceptional merit as typical specimens of their style of architecture. Mr. Rea will report whether his present recommendations come within the above descriptions. His attention will, at the same time, be called to the instructions in G.O., dated 15th January 1892, No. 30.

23rd September 1892.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey.

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Kumbakonam, 28th September 1892, No. 364.

With reference to memorandum in the Public Department, No. 1517, dated 23rd September 1892, I have the honour to report as follows:—

2. The list sent by the Collector of Kistna was addressed to Government, and I was thus obliged to forward it in its entirety, although several of the remains included therein had not been inspected by me.

3. The budget for the current year was prepared before G.O., dated 15th January 1892, No. 30, was issued, and was only calculated to meet the expenses of the ordinary survey tours of the department. A special tour through a great portion of the Kistna district and into the heart of the Godavari district to inspect these remains would probably have entailed travelling expenses beyond the present year's grant. I have made a provision for conservation tours of inspection in the Conservation budget for next year.

4. As to the items in the list of the Collector of Kistna,* a number are inscriptions whose importance I can only judge of from their dates as given in Mr. Sewell's lists. Perhaps the Government Epigraphist would be best able to decide what historical interest they possess.

Item No. 1.—Two inscriptions at Gudivada, dated S. 1165 and 1159.

Item No. 2.—Ruined Buddhist Tope at Gudivada.—It has been inspected. The remains are very ancient, dating from or before the Christian era. The mound is included in the catalogue of mounds. No expenditure is required, nor is there likely to be any.

Item No. 3.—A Jaina Figure at Gudivada.—The image is a unique piece of work.

Items Nos. 58 and 59.—Marbles at Amaravati.—These are slabs which were taken at the demolition of the stupa and built into the masonry of a well and a tank. Their importance is undoubted. It would certainly be best to remove them to the stupa enclosure, as proposed; but if Government does not consider the expenditure advisable, they might remain where they are and the village authorities receive instructions to protect them.

Item No. 62.—Hill Fort at Bellamkonda.—As stated in my letter, I have not yet had an opportunity of inspecting it.

Items Nos. 64 to 102.—Excepting Nos. 67, 96 and 101, which are inscriptions of evidently ancient date, I have not seen the others. The dates given in the Collector's list show the other inscriptions to be ancient historical records. The Epigraphist could decide on their value.

Item No. 103—*Buddhist Stupa at Bhattiprolu*.—The building, which has been partly laid bare by excavation, is very ancient, dating from the 3rd century B.C.; it is one of the oldest in India. It is unique in the relics, &c., that were found in the centre.

Item No. 104—*Inscriptions at the Temples of Vittalesvara and Mallesvara at Bhattiprolu*.—These date from the 11th to the 15th centuries.

* *Ib.*, paragraph 3.

5. The 'Undavalle caves' are included in the standard list.

6. The proposed special expenditure of a small sum on the fort at Peddapur† in Godavari was suggested, as it seemed a case of urgency, the building being dangerous to the public. Mr. Sewell's

† *Ib.*, paragraph 4.

Lists (p. 23) mention it as containing some good carved woodwork. The various papers forwarded by the Collector in connection therewith note a number of interesting traditions regarding the fortress—that it was considered interesting, and that many visitors came to see it. The question of its inclusion in the list would stand over till I had inspected it.

‡ *Ib.*, paragraphs 5 and 6.

7. The items mentioned by the Collectors of Madura and Salem‡ are included in the standard list.

8. The buildings brought to note by the Collector of Bellary|| are good examples of 15th century work. They can scarcely be described as unique, yet it seemed a pity that they should be allowed

|| *Ib.*, paragraph 7.

to go to ruin when a small sum would remove the vegetation which causes it.

9. The temples at Kulamandal and Ukkal were inspected by me. The former is an ancient Chola temple of the 11th century, and is in every way worthy of being preserved. The latter is too much ruined for conservation. It was only proposed to make an attempt to preserve the inscriptions, which are ancient.

From A. REA, Esq., M.R.A.S., Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Camp, Kumbakonam, 26th September 1892, No. 357.

I have the honour to submit in original a letter received from the Collector of Bellary.

2. I have seen the building mentioned in paragraph 1; it is worthy of conservation.

ENCLOSURE.

From R. SEWELL, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, Madras), dated Bellary, 12th September 1892, Ref. No. 4490.

I have the honour to suggest that the handsome mandapam called the Sasuvakalu Ganapati, part of the ruins of the old city of Vijayanagar, just at the head of the descent into the modern village of Hampi from the Krishnasami temple, be entered in the list of monuments worthy of conservation in this Presidency. It is a striking object and should not be allowed to fall into decay. At present, no expenditure will be required on it, and probably it will require none for many years.

2. I am shortly about to address Government with a proposal that all the ground about the main group of palace buildings and connected temples be set apart for grazing ground, declared *peramboku* and all cultivation prohibited. I know that this will result in a certain loss of revenue to Government, but the amount is not very large, while the advantage of having the ruins situated in the middle of an open tract always accessible will be very great. At present every roadway and pathway is blocked by hedges of thorns put across by the ryots to prevent injury to their crops; and to get to the palace buildings at all I have had on the occasion of my present visit to pull down no less than three such barriers, while in most cases the different pathways are all ploughed over. I hope that this proposal will meet with a favorable reception from Government.

3. The Head Assistant Collector is anxious that the temple of Chandikesavulu Swami, on the road from Kamalapur to Hampi and about one-fourth mile from the Krishnasami temple, should also be entered on the Conservation list, but I do not think this advisable. There are other and finer specimens of the same style of architecture extant, while the temple is much ruined and would require considerable expenditure to maintain it.

ORDER—dated 31st October 1892, No. 753, Public.

The Government cannot definitely sanction the addition of any monument to the list of those to be conserved unless it has been inspected by the Superintendent or unless the extraordinary interest of it is vouched for either by him or by Dr. Hultzsch or Mr. Sewell. The remarks made by Mr. Sewell in regard to the temple of the god Chandikesavulu are not improbably applicable to several of the items in the present Kistna list. The Sub-Collector has apparently not seen or read G.O., No. 17, Public, dated 9th January 1891, the principles in which should still regulate action.

2. The entry in the returns furnished by Collectors of remains which are already in the accepted list is unnecessary.

3. It seems doubtful whether the information furnished in these papers in regard to the inscriptions will enable the Epigraphist to pronounce definitely as to their value. The Collector had better address Dr. Hultzsch on the subject. Probably rubbings could easily be taken and sent to Dr. Hultzsch. Proposals to preserve inscriptions about which nothing is known by entering them in the list of monuments to be specially conserved cannot be entertained. The first thing to be done in such cases is for the local authorities to put themselves in communication with the Epigraphist, to describe the inscription, to send him a copy or a rubbing of it; and if he reports that the inscription may be of extraordinary interest and the Collector cannot possibly arrange for its protection until it can be actually inspected by an expert, it will then be time to address the Governor in Council.

4. The proposal to add the Sasuvakalu Ganapati *mantapam* in Bellary district to the list is accepted. But in respect to the temple at Kulamandal, information as to the class into which it would fall should be afforded before Government passes orders. Mr. Rea should also report whether a rubbing of the ancient inscriptions at Ukkal cannot be taken.

5. The Government desires Mr. Rea's free criticisms on the proposals made by Collectors. He must not content himself with endorsing these, whether they are in accord or not with the principles which regulate conservation.

(True Extract.)

To the Revenue Department.
Public Works Department.
Superintendent, Archaeological Survey.
Government Epigraphist.

Ed. A. Baitt.

W. H. D. S.
Chief Secretary

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC.

No 3109 W/7 19 Nov
1892

Communicated
to Suptg Engrs
for information

Issued
Recd. 15/11/92 Encl.

G.O., 31st October 1892, No. 753.

1043
18/11/92

OCTOBER.

Archæology.

Passing orders on letters from the Superintendent, Archæological Survey, Madras, regarding the conservation of certain ancient monuments in certain districts.

By
Suptg Engrs
2/11/92
X.C.I/11