

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 21-11-1992

(3) ஆதாரப் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொய்யம்

## தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

முதுவரைத் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

3060

அச்சகம்

பலவகை

நாள் :

8-11-1892

199

மடிக்கவோ சுண்டப்படிக் கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ப.கி

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.



Madura  
27<sup>th</sup> Sept 92

To  
The Executive Engineer  
Madura Division.

3060

Most Honorable,

I most humbly beg to inform  
Your Honor that though the Agreement bond  
was ordered to be registered at my own  
expense, I think I am at least entitled  
to Travelling allowances for the journey  
performed by me from Madurai to Srirangam  
and back on the two occasions as also to  
my absence being treated as one on duty.

2. On the first occasion, the document  
was drawn up as suggested by the Govt.  
Pleader Madurai on a reference made  
by Your Honor and I paid the requisite  
fee to the Sub Registrar at Srirangam  
for registering the document which,  
for no fault of mine, not having been  
approved of by the Govt. Solicitor Madurai  
had

ad to be modified and registered a 2<sup>nd</sup> time  
 suggested by him for which I had  
 pay a fee of Rs 5 to the Sub Registrar.  
 I need hardly inform Your Honor that  
 is a very hard thing for one in my humble  
 position, in these days of dearth and famine,  
 pay Rs 5 the 2<sup>nd</sup> time and that for the reason  
 form suggested by the Govt Pleas Master  
 is not met with the approval of the  
 Govt Solicitor Madras.

I will therefore feel highly grateful  
 could Your Honor be graciously pleased  
 recommend to the Superintending Engineer  
 sanction the travelling allowances for  
 journeys, to remit the Rs 5 paid by  
 me on the 2<sup>nd</sup> occasion, and to treat  
 as casual leave granted by Your  
 Honor from 5<sup>th</sup> to 9<sup>th</sup> June 1902, to enable  
 me to proceed to Trichinopoly for registering  
 document, as one on duty.

I beg to remain  
 Most Honorable,  
 Your Most Obedient Servant  
 S. Rungaswamy,  
 Shopkeeper, D.P.O.



Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer  
 Although it is his duty to direct the Shopkeeper  
 should be put to this expense, then an order  
 should be made to the Shopkeeper to pay the  
 being paid. 29.9.02

No 679md.

3060


Forwarded to the Chief Engineer  
 D.P.W., through the Examiner P.W. Dept  
 for favorable consideration.

2. The Shopkeeper first executed  
 an agreement and it was registered at  
 his expense; this was not approved  
 by the Govt Solicitor, Madras. Vide  
 Examiner's No 322C d/ 20 July 1902  
 A fresh or revised agreement has  
 therefore been executed and  
 registered and this also at his  
 expense -

3. In G.O No 2042 W d/ 27 October 1901  
 it was ordered that all costs in the  
 matter of accepting the title deeds of  
 his land at Trichinopoly in place of  
 security deposited by him should be  
 borne by the Shopkeeper; but he now  
 requests that the cost incurred a second  
 time in registering the revised agreement  
 and his travelling allowances to & from  
 Trichinopoly may be reimbursed to  
 him, treating the period engaged in  
 registering the document as on duty.  
 The Supt<sup>r</sup> Engineer considers that the  
 request may be granted.

Supt<sup>r</sup> Eng's office Trich.  
 Trichinopoly.  
 5 October 1902

Al Smith Esq. M.A.  
 Supt<sup>r</sup> Eng. Trich.



A. H. Garrold Esq.

Co-ordinating Engineer

Madras Division

Case with Ref<sup>n</sup> 482 Cggz int.

can be granted to the storekeeper - 1/4  
att. 55/10/92

The Superintending Engineer

66 miles

Trichinopoly

No. 1371. C of 12. 10. 92

To E.W.

Forwarded with the remark that there is no rule under which travelling allowance can be allowed to the Storekeeper.

W.K.

for C.

W.K.

dated 29 September 1892

copy 1st October 1892

Rec. 13-10-92

N<sup>o</sup> 48518

Enclosures - 11

Forwards for orders the applications from the sanction of the travelling allowance for the journey made for getting security bonds deposited.

SF 6 N<sup>o</sup> 679md F.W. 5-10-92

14/10

W.K.

Trich

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

3/2060

From the Suptg. Engineer VI circle No 679 MD of 5. 8. 92  
Office Note from the Ex<sup>2</sup> P.W. Accts No 1371 C of 12. 8. 92

FOR ORDERS.

Whether the second fee of Rs 5/- can be refunded to the storekeeper and he be allowed Travelling allowance for the second journey which had to be undertaken through no fault of his own.  
2 The Superintending Engineer has, it appears, granted him casual leave for the period of his absence and as he will draw full pay during such absence there appears

no

no necessity to convert  
the time occupied into  
"on duty."

552

10639

FOR ORDERS.

W

Make me forwarding  
C's reply to the  
reference made  
him.

No. 552 D.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund, 18-10-1892

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

<sup>4</sup>/<sub>3060</sub>

To

C

Your office note 1371  
C of 12 October 1892 -

There seems no  
audit objection to the  
registration fee of Rs  
5 being refunded.  
Kindly say whether  
the other expenses  
incurred by the Store-  
keeper on his second  
journey cannot, if  
the Government so  
decide, be also  
remitted. It must  
be remembered that

these additional  
charges have arisen  
through no fault of  
the Storekeeper, and  
it would be extremely  
hard therefore, if he  
is required to pay  
any of them -

154/9 J.W.

W

No. 552 Dags - 10-92

B. 284215 dg 27-10-91

old 101-10

No. 552 D.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Ootacamund, 18<sup>th</sup> October 1892

OFFICE NOTE.

5/  
3060

From

W

To

C

Your office Note 1371C  
of 12 October 1892.

There seems no audit  
objection to the registra-  
tion fee of Rs 5 being  
refunded. Kindly say  
whether the other expenses  
incurred by the Storekeeper  
on his second journey  
cannot, if the Government  
so decide, be also permitted.  
It must be remembered  
that these additional  
charges have arisen  
through no fault of the  
Storekeeper, and it would  
be extremely hard therefore  
if

if he is required to pay any of them.



*For*  
*W*  
*17/10/92*

No. 1490. C of 24.10.92

To W.

If Government considers that the storekeeper travelled in the interests of the public service, vide Civil Service Regulations article 1088, he may be granted travelling allowance admissible to him under the ordinary rules.

*18/10*

c.

*18/10*

No. 532 D of 18-10-92

2842 W of 27-10-92

For orders whether the expenses incurred by the storekeeper on his second journey to register the required Deed may, under the circumstances, be refunded. This journey it will be seen was undertaken to meet the requirements of the Govt. Solicitor in regard to the form of the Deed. It will be hard on the man if he has to pay expenses twice over.

*18/10*

*18/10*

It is true that the first deed was drawn by the Govt. Pleader Madurai. I have no objection.

*18/10*

*18/10*

*18/10*

*18/10*

P. 3060 W of 8<sup>th</sup> November 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Endorsement by S.E. W. Cooke on petition from

S. C. Arunagasawamy, Storekeeper

dated Sept 27. 1892. (H.E. S. 679 M. D. of 5. x 92)

Endorsement by the Ex. P.W.A. ( ... 1371 C of 12. x 92)

Office note from the ... ( ... 1490 C of 24 x 92)

The expenses incurred by the storekeeper on his second journey from Madurai to Trichinopoly undertaken in the public interests, are sanctioned as a public charge, on the understanding that the first agreement was, as stated by him, drawn up by the

Government Pleader,  
Madura. Those  
incurred on the  
first journey must  
be borne by the  
storekeeper himself.

MJB  
24/5

S-3060 Wap<sup>a</sup> Sorentu

S. E. VI C. K. <sup>1</sup>  
E. P. W. A. S. <sup>1</sup> <sub>11</sub>

R.S.

R.S.

3/11/11

70/10/11

R.S. 11/11

R.S.