(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

114

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2599-2600 அச்சகம் புல்வாணக

நாள் : 19- 9- 1892 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

8 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

Tinnevelly Division office
Palamcottah 10th August 1892

No 27[30]

2599-600/1

From G. W. Faulkner Esquire
Executive Engineer
Tinnevelly Division

To
The Superintending Engineer
6th Circle &c.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No 416 T.C. dated 23rd June to the address of the Executive Engineer Tinnevelly Division conveying the orders of Government by which I am excluded from receiving the Concession of Famine allowances allowable under Civil Service Regulations 101 (iii), I have the honor to request the favour of your addressing the Chief Engineer with a view to the order being kindly reconsidered for the following reasons:—

1. The allowance by the Section is for an officer deputed for Famine work to a Presidency or Province other than that in which he is serving. The orders received by me in Regard were to proceed at once

to Kurnool for Famine work and I was urged to proceed without delay. I lost the time in doing so and was obliged to send my Konkan staff and furniture in a hurry to permit me leaving at once and I was a considerable loser thereby and was also put to some inconvenience

11— That I was not put to Famine duty was no fault of mine. I was prepared to go wherever ordered and it was to suit the exigencies of the Public service that I was put to a Sub Division in a non Famine District after having served from 1881 to 1891 in Bengal as Executive Engineer in charge of Divisions and from June 1891 to February 24th 1892 as Inspector of Local Works — a rank equivalent to that of Superintending Engineer. I trust this fact may have some weight.

12 The Famine allowance was granted on the Madras Famine of 1876-78 to officers deputed from Bengal, who were not placed in charge of Famine work. I could instance the case of Mr C. H. Faulkner, who came down from Bengal and was put in charge of the Cuddapah

Works shops and who received the allowance for the whole time he was in charge.

2599-60
2

2 I trust these reasons will have weight in a reconsideration of the case.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed[t] servant
Charles Faulkner 10/8/92
Executive Engineer

No. 461 TH

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. for consideration of Government, with reference to G.O. No. 1820-W dated 18th June 92 para. 3.

Supt[d] Engr's office Trichinopoly 15 August 1892
D 17/—

A Smith Lt-Col R.E.
Supt[g] Engineer, 6th Circle

Reference No 4657 C 723-8-92

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE
No. 483
12 AUG 92
P. W. D.
MADRAS

From
The Executive Engineer
Tinnevelly Division

To
The Secretary
Madras

No 2730-

Dated 10th August 1892

Despd.

Recd 15-8-92

Concession of Famine allowance

Requesting to address the Chief Engineer for reconsideration about the grant of — thereon.

Written

SEVI No. 461 TW dt 15-8-92

Ch. 148-8
Ch. 26-8

Care pers

31 Vth
59

No 4080 C dated 2nd September 1892

To E

Please verify the statements made in clause iii of Exty Jacklin's letter.

W.H.
Dy

W.S.

Ser (Appointments) 29/8

Ch. 148
M. 31-8

2-9-92.

(C. No. 1201/3)

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1892. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

2559-60/3

Madras, 8 September 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1044-C

To

W

Your Office Note No 4040 C. dated 2nd September 1892

The Salary Audit Registers for 1877/78 and 1878/79 show that Mr G. H. Faulkner drew the pay of the next higher grade while attached to the Presidency PW Stores and Workshops.

Jackl
Dy
for G
2/9
H 8/9
(Encl- as)

3000-8-2-91

CURRENT No. 7757

No 687 Madura Sub Division office
Madura 20th August 1892
22

From.

Amrito Lall Roy Chowdry Rai Bahadur
Executive Engineer.
Madura Sub Division

To.

The Chief Engineer
Roads and Buildings Branch.
Madras
Thro' The Executive Engineer
Madura Division and.
Superintending Engineer 6th Circle

Sir,

In reference to the Order of the Government of Madras No 1820 W dated 18th June laying down that though transferred from Bengal for Famine duty, I am not entitled to the concessions provided in Article 101 of Civil Service regulations in as much as I am not employed in a famine District. I have the honour to submit that it is not my fault to have been posted to a non-famine District. I was deputed by the Government of India for famine duty in this

this Presidency and I was prepared to go any Famine District which this Government would choose to send me but to suit the exigencies of the Public Service I have been posted, though I was in charge of Divisions and my last appointment in Bengal was Officiating Inspector of Local Works, to a Sub Division in the Madura District, where though there is no actual famine the prices of food grains are yet nearly the same as those of the Famine Districts.

Whether sent to a famine district or not the loss, trouble and heavy expense one is put to, in having been transferred all of a Sudden to a distant Province, are the same. I therefore request that you will be kind enough to have my case reconsidered on the grounds Stated above.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
A.N.D. Chundy
29/8/92
Executive Engineer
Madura Sub Division

No 384/16

Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer

24/8/92
Dept 27/8/92

No 541/mD d/31st August 1892
0—1/9.

Forwarded.

Aldcroft Lt-Colr
Supt Engineer Vizag

2 99-60
5

From:

Amrita Lall Roy Chowdry
Rai Bahadur
Executive Engineer
also duly Ext Assistant

To

Chief Engineer
Roads & Buildings Branch
Calcutta.
thro' The Executive Engineer
Chakuria Division &
Superintending Engineer 6th Circle

Dated 20th August 1892
Regd 22
Read & replied 25th

No 687.

Conversions founded on Notification of Govt Famine Regulations
on Officers transferred on famine duty. Submits application for
entitlement to Fee ——

Memo No 4040 C dt 2.9.92
Ex. Bill No 1820 W dt 18.6.92

D 781
29.8.92

P. W. Department.

Read the following:—

From G. W. Faulkner Esqre Ex Engineer No: 2730 d/ 10. viii. 92
Endorsement from the Supdg: Engineer VI circle No: 461 J. N d/ 15. viii. 92
From A. L. Roy Chowdry Rai Bahadur Ex Engr No: 687 d/ 20. viii. 92
Endorsement from the Supdg: Engineer VI circle No: 541 M. B d/ 31. viii. 92
From the Examiner P. W. Accounts No: 1044 C dated 8. ix. 92

FOR ORDERS.

With reference to the Honorable Member's remarks attached to G. O. No: 1820 W dated 18th June 1892.

It is a doubtful point. The journey for the sole purpose of transport to the famine district seems to indicate that actual employment on famine duty is contemplated but mere transfer only I would refer it to Audit

WLS
13/9.

AWM
12.9.92

E
W
12/9

Cr

9/9 R 19.9.92

FOR ORDERS.

Ans

O.S. 95-9
Hue 12-9
Re 16-9

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

N. 2599 W. Dt 19 September 1892

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

N 599-600/8

READ the following

From G. W. Faulkner Esq. Ex. Engr (M.E. No 2730 dt 10. VIII. 92)
Endt from the S. E. VI circle (" " 461 T.W. dt 15. VIII. 92)
From A. L. Roy Chowdry,
Rai Bahadur Ex. Engr (" " 687 dt 20. VIII. 92)
Endt from the S. E VI circle (" " 541 Drft dt 31. VIII. 92)
From the Examiner, P.W.A (" " 1044 C dt 8. IX. 92)

The question as to the admission to officers employed otherwise than on Famine Work of the allowances under article 101 of the C. S. Regulations will be referred for the orders of the Govt of India.

S. E. VI circle
Ex. P.W. accts.

(M.E. No 2600 dt 19 September 1892)

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of India
P.W.D

Sir

Sir;

I am directed to request the orders of the Govt of India as to whether the allowances provided under article 101 of the C.S. Regulations can be granted to officers of other Provinces deputed to Madras for Famine duty but whose actual employment has been in ordinary divisions. The cases which have given rise to this reference are those of Mr G. W. Faulkner and A. L. Roy Chowdry, Engrs of the Bengal establishment. These officers have not been employed on Famine duty in Madras but have filled places in ordinary divisions left vacant in consequence of Famine operations. The officers in question claim the Famine allowance, but their title to it is, under the circumstances, doubtful.

I have &c

(8)