(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 20
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 10/91
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

72

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2536 ~~அச்சகம்~~ பலவகை

நாள் : 12-9-1892 ~~198~~ .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

10 Shts

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

2536/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras,	dated	2nd	August	1892,	No. 1667.
Endorsement by the Marine Department	,,	6th	,,	,,	,, 1306.
Proceedings of Government, Marine Department	,,	13th	,,	,,	Nos. 291–2.

Order—dated 12th September 1892, No. 2536 W., Public Works.

Ordered that the following despatch be addressed to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

(Here enter No. $\frac{11}{2536}$ W., Public Works, dated 12th September 1892.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1892) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 12th.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O., 12th Sept. 1892, No. 2536 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Madras Harbour Trust Board

The administration report of the — for 1891–92 ordered to be forwarded to the Secretary of State.

12TH SEPTEMBER 1892, No. $\frac{11}{2536}$ W., PUBLIC WORKS.

2536/2

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

LETTER—to

THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

MY LORD,

Adverting to para. 3 of our Public Works Department Despatch, No. $\frac{9}{1706}$ W., dated 8th June 1892, we have now the honour to forward, for your Lordship's information, a copy of the Administration Report of the Harbour Trust Board for the year 1891–92, together with our Proceedings thereon, No. 291, dated 13th August 1892, Marine, which will, it is hoped, afford the required information in regard to the commercial aspect of the harbour.

We have the honour to be,
My Lord,
Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servants,

(Signed) WENLOCK.
(„) J. C. DORMER, Lieut.-Genl.
(„) H. E. STOKES.
(„) J. H. GARSTIN.

OOTACAMUND,
12th September 1892.

Exd S. J. Pereira.

2236
3

GOVT. OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

(Copy.)

LETTER to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

No. $\frac{11}{2536\text{ W.}}$, dated 12th Sept. 1892.

Forwarding the Administration Report of the Madras Harbour Trust Board for 1891-92.

Harbour Trust Board Office,

MADRAS, 2nd August 1892

No. 1667

721.

From

Ware Austin Esquire I.C.S

CHAIRMAN, HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

53/4

To

The Hon'ble J F Price I.C.S

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

Sir

Referring to G.O. No. 430 W dated 12th February 1892 and my letter No. 620 dated 21st May, I have the honour to submit herewith three copies of the Board's Administration Report for the year 1891-92 for transmission to the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your obedient Servant
W Austin
Chairman
Harbour Trust Board

Recd

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

From

THE CHAIRMAN,
HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

Dated 2nd } August 1892
Received Recd 3. 8. 92
Enclosure

No. 1667

SUBJECT.

Submitting for the information of the Right Hon. the Secretary of State for India, three copies of the Harbour Board's Administration Report for 1891–92.

Transferred

LAWRENCE ASYLUM PRESS.

[illegible] No. 1306, d/ 6 Augt 1892

Transferred to the Public Works Dept. Two additional copies of the report, together with the G.O. thereon, will be furnished in due course – with refce to the request in Office Note No. 106. D. of the 4th August 1892.

Chief Secy

J.D.B.
5/VIII

Recd 9-8

Enclos. 3 copies of the report.

10-8

13TH AUGUST 1892, NOS. 291, 292, MARINE.

2536/6

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, dated Madras, 23rd July 1892, No. 1507.

ABSTRACT.—Submitting, for the information of Government, Administration Report of the Madras Harbour for 1891–92.

ORDER—dated 13th August 1892, No. 291, Marine.

The Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, submits the Administration Report of the Trust for 1891–92.

2. As in the two preceding years, the Board consisted of ten Trustees, and the minimum number of meetings prescribed by section 17 (2) (*a*) of Act II of 1886, *viz.*, one a fortnight, was held. There were also two special meetings in February. The average attendance of Trustees was 8·7, as against 8·6 in 1890–91. The changes in the constitution of the Board during the year are recorded in paragraph 2 of the report.

3. The receipts of the year aggregated Rs. 5,74,014, as against Rs. 7,78,592 in the preceding year. This is accounted for by the decrease in the amount * that the Government was able to place at the Board's disposal from the Madras Port Fund. The opening balance of the year was Rs. 10,60,174, which, added to the receipts, gives a total of Rs. 16,34,188. The expenditure, including Rs. 2,78,888 refunded to Government, was Rs. 4,88,540, and the closing balance was therefore Rs. 11,45,648, or Rs. 85,474 more than the sum in hand at the opening of the year. This is satisfactory.

	RS.
* 1890–91	2,62,000
1891–92	50,000

4. Of the receipts the marginally noted were the largest items. † Compared with the figures for 1890–91, the first item shows an increase of Rs. 43,630, the second a decrease of Rs. 11,574, and the third a decrease of Rs. 14,210. In the last case, however, the receipts in the previous year were really 13 months' earnings. The increase in the first item is accounted for by the larger importation ‡ of food-grains to meet the unusual demand. This, however, as remarked in paragraph 17 of the report, is not a satisfactory reason for enhanced receipts. Had the year been a normal one it is shown that, instead of an increase on account of dues on

	RS.
† Harbour dues, Imports	2,85,071
„ Exports	80,716
Porterage	1,08,323

	Bags.
‡ 1890–91	449,490
1891–92	1,418,730

imports, there would probably have been a decline of Rs. 10,000, accounted for by the reduced importation of railway stores and material. The falling off in the amount of dues collected on exports was, no doubt, principally caused by the want of produce available for shipment. The decrease under "Porterage" is largely attributable to the cessation of the importation of railway material.

5. Under expenditure, the principal items calling for remark, as per statement at page 7 of the report, are those * noted in the margin. The increase under "Establishment" is reported to be due to the Porterage establishment having been incorporated with the Traffic Department, and to its cost having accordingly been charged against "Revenue" instead of against "Porterage" as in the report for 1890–91. The work on account of which overtime fees were paid by the Traffic Department is fully set forth in paragraph 12 of the Traffic Manager's interesting report. The fact that on only three days out of the 365 no work was done is evidence of the facilities afforded to the shipping and of the satisfactory working of the port. The expenditure on petty construction and repairs fell from Rs. 79,579 in 1890–91 to Rs. 26,111. The previous high figure was due to large expenditure on repairs to the pier, which, it is hoped, will not recur for some years.

	1890–91. RS.	1891–92. RS.
* Establishments	81,159	43,432
Overtime fees	3,000	8,487
Porterage	1,11,946	96,806
Petty construction and repairs	79,579	26,111

6. With reference to the remarks in paragraph 50 *et seq.* of the report, the Government is of opinion that in order to arrive at the result of the working of the porterage system during any year, only such items of expenditure should be taken into account as have been necessitated by the Board working the monopoly. Items on which expenditure was necessary before the Board undertook the work should be excluded. The receipts on account of porterage are probably more liable to fluctuation than the expenditure, but, as long as the two approximate as they have done during the past year, the Government will not press for a reduction of the rates charged. Particulars of the item "General—Rs. 45,599" under "VIII, Porterage," should be afforded. It is observed that there are separate charges for "Establishment," "Pier coolies" and "Boat-hire."

7. The summary of tonnage which has entered the port of Madras since 1881–82, given in paragraph 32, is both interesting and instructive. The facts that the tonnage has doubled in the past ten years, and that 436,340 tons of goods were landed or shipped on or from the pier and beach during the past year, against 391,972 tons in 1890–91 and 359,184 in 1889–90, evidence the increasing trade and popularity of the port.

8. The slow advance in the work of "Construction" is explained in paragraphs 106 and 107. At the end of the year there still remained 95 feet of the north and 89 feet of the south arm to be completed before the cylindrical pier heads are reached. The expenditure on "Construction" during the year, including protective works and machinery, was Rs. 6,52,106, as against Rs. 6,66,547 in 1890–91. The total expenditure up to the end of the year under review was Rs. 1,16,47,374, against the revised estimate of total cost of Rs. 1,23,83,386. The quantity of stone from the Pallávaram quarries used was 89,000 tons, or 14,839 more than 1890–91.

9. It is satisfactory to learn from paragraph 118 of the report that the depth of water inside the Harbour has remained practically unchanged since 1889. It is observed that the total revenue for 1891–92 on account of Port and Pilotage Funds, &c., shown in paragraph 88, does not agree with that given in paragraph 3 of the Deputy Conservator's report. The latter includes Rs. 195 on account of the sale of a lighter and Rs. 74 for sundries.

10. Paragraph 11 of the Deputy Conservator's report will be communicated to the Government Astronomer in the Public Department.

11. The Government is glad to be able to repeat the congratulations conveyed in the concluding portion of its review of the report of the Trustees for 1890–91.

(True Extract.)

W Lewis
Under Secretary to Govt. P. W. D.
~~For Chief Secretary.~~

To the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
,, Presidency Port Officer.
,, Financial Department.
,, Public Department.
,, Public Works Department, with 3 copies of the report.
,, Do. do. (Railway Branch), } with copy of report.
,, Revenue Department, } with copy of report.
,, Chairman, Chamber of Commerce, Madras, } with copy of report.
,, Do. Madras Trades Association, } with copy of report.
,, Secretary to the Port Trust of Bombay, } with copy of report.
Editors' Table.

2536/7

ENDORSEMENT—dated 13th August 1892, No. 292, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, in continuation of No. 443, dated 9th October 1891.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt.

15 [illegible]

imports, there would probably have been a decline of Rs. 10,000, accounted for by the reduced importation of railway stores and material. The falling off in the amount of dues collected on exports was, no doubt, principally caused by the want of produce available for shipment. The decrease under "Porterage" is largely attributable to the cessation of the importation of railway material.

	1890-91. RS.	1891-92. RS.
* Establishments	31,159	43,432
Overtime fees	3,900	8,487
Porterage	1,11,946	96,806
Petty construction and repairs	79,579	26,111

5. Under expenditure, the principal items calling for remark, as per statement at page 7 of the report, are those * noted in the margin. The increase under "Establishment" is reported to be due to the Porterage establishment having been incorporated with the Traffic Department, and to its cost having accordingly been charged against "Revenue" instead of against "Porterage" as in the report for 1890–91. The work on account of which overtime fees were paid by the Traffic Department is fully set forth in paragraph 12 of the Traffic Manager's interesting report. The fact that on only three days out of the 365 no work was done is evidence of the facilities afforded to the shipping and of the satisfactory working of the port. The expenditure on petty construction and repairs fell from Rs. 79,579 in 1890–91 to Rs. 26,111. The previous high figure was due to large expenditure on repairs to the pier, which, it is hoped, will not recur for some years.

6. With reference to the remarks in paragraph 50 *et seq.* of the report, the Government is of opinion that in order to arrive at the result of the working of the porterage system during any year, only such items of expenditure should be taken into account as have been necessitated by the Board working the monopoly. Items on which expenditure was necessary before the Board undertook the work should be excluded. The receipts on account of porterage are probably more liable to fluctuation than the expenditure, but, as long as the two approximate as they have done during the past year, the Government will not press for a reduction of the rates charged. Particulars of the item "General—Rs. 45,599" under "VIII, Porterage," should be afforded. It is observed that there are separate charges for "Establishment," "Pier coolies" and "Boat-hire."

7. The summary of tonnage which has entered the port of Madras since 1881–82, given in paragraph 32, is both interesting and instructive. The facts that the tonnage has doubled in the past ten years, and that 436,340 tons of goods were landed or shipped on or from the pier and beach during the past year, against 391,972 tons in 1890–91 and 359,184 in 1889–90, evidence the increasing trade and popularity of the port.

8. The slow advance in the work of "Construction" is explained in paragraphs 106 and 107. At the end of the year there still remained 95 feet of the north and 89 feet of the south arm to be completed before the cylindrical pier heads are reached. The expenditure on "Construction" during the year, including protective works and machinery, was Rs. 6,52,106, as against Rs. 6,66,547 in 1890–91. The total expenditure up to the end of the year under review was Rs. 1,16,47,374, against the revised estimate of total cost of Rs. 1,23,83,386. The quantity of stone from the Palláváram quarries used was 89,000 tons, or 14,839 more than 1890–91.

9. It is satisfactory to learn from paragraph 118 of the report that the depth of water inside the Harbour has remained practically unchanged since 1889. It is observed that the total revenue for 1891–92 on account of Port and Pilotage Funds, &c., shown in paragraph 88, does not agree with that given in paragraph 3 of the Deputy Conservator's report. The latter includes Rs. 195 on account of the sale of a lighter and Rs. 74 for sundries.

10. Paragraph 11 of the Deputy Conservator's report will be communicated to the Government Astronomer in the Public Department.

11. The Government is glad to be able to repeat the congratulations conveyed in the concluding portion of its review of the report of the Trustees for 1890–91.

(True Extract.)

W Lewis
Under Secretary to Govt P. W. D.
~~for~~ Chief Secretary.

2536/7

To the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.
" Presidency Port Officer.
" Financial Department.
" Public Department.
" Public Works Department, with 3 copies of the report.
" Do. do. (Railway Branch), } with copy of report.
" Revenue Department, } with copy of report.
" Chairman, Chamber of Commerce, Madras, } with copy of report.
" Do. Madras Trades Association, } with copy of report.
" Secretary to the Port Trust of Bombay, } with copy of report.
Editors' Table.

Endorsement—dated 13th August 1892, No. 292, Marine.

Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, in continuation of No. 443, dated 9th October 1891.

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. Raitt.

[illegible] CURRENT No. 8643

Memo No 1062 D/ 4-8-92
Pr. G.O. 2798W d/ 24.10.91
No. 431W d/ 12-2-92
950-51W d/ 22-3-92
1273W d/ 21-4-92
1705W d/ 8-6-92

Govt. of {1892} Madras.

MARINE.

Issued

Recd. 25-8-92

Encl. 1 (+ 2 Enc.)
15 J.C.

G.O., &c., 13th August 1892,
Nos. 291, 292.

AUGUST.

Harbour Trust Board.

Reviewing the Administration Report of the — for the year 1891-92. Copy to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DESPATCH

FOR SIGNATURE

536/C

OF

THE RIGHT HON'BLE THE GOVERNOR

AND

MEMBERS OF GOVERNMENT,

(With Original.)

8 para

Print for despatch

2536 W d/ 12 September 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

2536/9

READ the following

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras	(H.E. No. 1667 d/ 2. viii. 92)
Endt. by the Marine Dept.	(" " 1306 d/ 6. viii. 92)
Pros. of Govt. — do —	(" No. 291 & 292 d/ 13. viii. 92)

Ordered that the following despatch be addressed to the Rt: Hon: the Secretary of State for India.

Ret 31 Aug.

(H.E. No. 2536 W d/ 12 Sept 92)

To

The Rt: Hon: the Secretary of State for India.

My Lord,

Adverting to para

0/1258

para 3 of our Publi Works Department Desp No $\frac{9}{1706}$ W d/ 8th June we have now the honor to forward for your Lordship's information a copy of the administration Report of the Harbour Trust Board for the year 1891–92 together with our Pros: thereon No 291 d/ 13th August 1892, Marine, which will, it is hoped, afford the required information in regard to the Commercial aspect of the harbour.

14/9/92

2536/10

para 3 of our Publi
Works Department Desp
No $\frac{9}{1706}$ W d/ 8th June,
we have now the honor
to forward for your
Lordships' information
a copy of the administra-
-tion Report of the
Harbour Trust Board
for the year 1891-92
together with our Pro:
thereon No 291 d/ 13th
August 1892, Marine,
which will, it is
hoped, afford the
required information
in regard to the Com-
-mercial aspect of the
harbour.

14/9/92

29.8

27.8.92

2536
10

C No 8643

Govt. of {1892 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated [illegible]

Recd

Encl.

G.O., 12 September 1892. No. 2531 W

7 11/2531 W

Madras Harbour
Trust Board

The Administration Report
of the ——— for 1891-92, ordered
to be forwarded to the Secy
of State ———

Forwarding the Administration
Report of the Madras Harbour
Trust Board for 1891-92

(10)

20 April [illegible]
[illegible] 2531 [illegible]
20 April [illegible]

F.C. 12/9