

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/91
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் [Signature]

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

(27)

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	<u>2476</u>	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 5-7-1882		198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

3 Sheets

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

W. H. K.
Read the following:—

Endorsement from the Consulting Engineer for Railways, No 405, dated 12th May 1892.

Letter from the Agent, South Indian Railway, No 696, dated 9th May 1892.

With reference to this office No 557, dated 12th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that Mr. W. Lind Buijers has applied for an extension of the leave already granted him, and I therefore forward herewith duly certified and countersigned by the Examiner of accounts, Trichinopoly, an application from Mr. W. Lind Buijers, Executive Engineer, Dharmasaram Hindupur State Railway for one month's privilege leave in extension of the two months previously granted as per Madras, P. W. D. Notification No 75—published on page 514 Part I of the Fort St. George Gazette dated 26th April 1892; and I have to request the favor of the sanction of Government being accorded to the grant of the leave asked for.

Submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to G.O. No 1110 R, dated 29th April 1892.

Offe

Office Note to the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway
Accounts, No 2580 C, dated 17th May 1892.

As Mr. Byers will probably retire in September
the certificate following that officers application
for one month's extension of privilege leave already
granted, viz that he will not retire within 3 months
of return to duty does not appear correct.

Office Note from the Examiner, Guaranteed Railway
Accounts, dated 27th May 1892.

There is nothing to show that it is Mr. Byers's
intention to voluntarily retire in September and the
fact that he may be compelled to retire in that month
on attaining the age of 55 years does not, in my opinion,
preclude him from signing the certificate as it might
be his intention to remain till 60 years of age if govern-
ment would permit of it.

I consider that even if Mr. Byers declared
that it was his intention to retire within 3 months after
his return to duty, he would not have evaded any rule
of local Government might, if it so desired, grant
the extension under article 891(1) of the Civil Service
Regulations, but as I may be singular in this view
it might be advisable to refer the question to India
to ascertain if I have correctly interpreted the meaning
of note 1 to article 891.

Office Note to the Government of India, P.W.D. No 199,
dated 31st May 1892.

B. 199x

B 199. H. L. Byers, South Indian Railway who
should retire 11th September on account of age wishes
two months privilege leave ending 7th June extended one
month. Examiner thinks leave admissible, but this is
doubtful. Please wire orders.

21/6/92

Office Note to the Government of India, P.W.D. No B. 206,
dated 3rd June 1892.

Telegram from the Government of India, P.W.D. No
1628 G, dated 3rd June 1892.

1628 G. Byers telegram numbered and dated as follows
B 199. 1st instant leave can only be sanctioned to Byers
subject to conditions of article 891 being fulfilled.

Order thereon No 1535 R, dated 2nd June 1892.

The extension of leave applied for by Mr.
Byers is sanctioned and will be notified in the Fort
Saint George Gazette.

2. The Government of India will be informed of the
action taken by this Government.

/ True Extract /

/ Sd/ H. A. Coker, Secy. R.C.

Jt. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.
By Branch

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

21/8
READ the following

Letter from the Government of India, Public Works Department,
No 2379 G, dated 11th August 1892.

With reference to your letter No 1536 R, dated the 25th
June last, I am directed to point out, for future guidance, that
the interpretation put on article 891 of the Civil Service Regulations
by the Examiners of Guaranteed Railway Accounts was incorrect and
that Mr. W. L. Baynes, Executive Engineer, should not have been
granted an extension of privilege leave under the circumstances
of the case.

Order thereon No 2127 R dated 31st August 1892.

Communicated to the Examiners Guaranteed Railway
accounts for future guidance with reference to Prop: No 1535 R,
dated 20th June 1892.

[True Extract]

A. B. S. S. S. Major, R. E.
Under Secy: Colyent P. W. D.

By
Do.

The Comm: Engrs for R. P.

" Ex: Engrs: R. P. accs:

" Ex: P. W. accounts.

" P. W. D. General Branch

2 with copy of G. O. No 1535 R of 20th June 1892. 3

P. W. CURRENT No. 9028

Recd. 5. 9. 92

9/8
9/

3

B

No. 2476 W. 5 September 1892

Recorded

9/8
9/

27

es.

Oct 5-9.

9/9