

Bulhan 12

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 21-10-51
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 1951

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD குறை

13A

அரசாணை எண். — 2453 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 3-9-1892 198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

8 Sheets

Ar.

வண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-27.

3RD SEPTEMBER 1892, No. 2453 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 14th September 1891, No. 2460 W., Public Works, para. 2.

READ—also the following papers:—

G.O., dated 16th August 1892, No. 2259 W., Public Works, para. 2.
Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 15th August 1892, No. 714 C.

ORDER—dated 3rd September 1892, No. 2453 W., Public Works.

In modification of the orders quoted above, it is now declared that printing at private presses shall in future be regulated by paras. 15 and 36 of chapter VI of the Public Works Code, *the Government Press at Madras* being read for "Calcutta" in the latter para. It should, however, be understood that—

(1) no form which is of general use in the department is to be printed locally, and

(2) that no *accounts* form should be printed without the approval of the Examiner.

2. The bills must continue to be countersigned by the Superintendent, Government Press, prior to payment and work should not be given to presses which decline to submit to this condition.

3. Superintending Engineers should restrict the use of special forms as much as possible.

4. The money limit imposed in para. 2 of the orders of the 14th September 1891, No. 2460 W., is now withdrawn.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under-Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
" the Consulting Architect.
" the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
" the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Gobt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 3rd.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 3rd Sept. 1892, No. 2453 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Printing

Orders regarding — at private presses
in modification of certain Proceedings pre-
viously issued.

Statement showing the Expenditure during 1891-92 in each Division on
printing works done at Private Presses.

Sl. No.	Division	Expenditure during 1891-92	Remarks
1 st	Visagapatam	11 11 .	
"	Godavari Eastern	109 18 .	
"	Do - Western	72 9 .	
"	Rushikulya	320 18 6	514-12-6
2 nd	Kistna Eastern	160 14 .	
"	Do - Western	105 12 3	
"	Gudivada	118 4 .	
"	Nellore	61 . 6	435-14-9
3 rd	Bellary	13 8 .	15 6
4 th	North Arcot	104 8 .	
"	Coimbatore	34 6 .	
"	West Coast	6 3 .	
"	Chingleput	43 11 6	133-12-6
5 th	South Arcot	7 8 .	
"	Yanjore	8 . .	
"	Nagapatam	3 . .	
6 th	Trichinopoly	7 . .	
"	Madura	11 5 3	
"	Tinnevely	13 . .	
Special	Periyar N. I	90 6 .	
"	Do - N. II	7 4 .	
"	N. 4 Party Tank Rectification	4 12 .	
"	N. 7 - Do - Do	277 .	
Total		1332 10 .	

Madras

15th August 1892

J. S. Mather - Hubbard

Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras

17/9/92

No. 1498.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

Ootacamund, 11th Aug: '92

C 10/8
2453
3

From

W

To

C

Please furnish
a statement showing
the expenditure
during the past
official year in
each Division on
printing work done
at Private Presses.
It seems probable
that the limit
fixed

No. 2259 of 16-8-92
2067 of 25-7-92
2460 of 14-7-91
3703 of 14-8-91
1822 of 3-7-91
2923 of 17-2-91
1498 of 11-8-92

S. I.
No. 8478/92

fixed in G.O. No.

2460W dated 14th

September 1891 will

have to be raised

3. Supdys Engineers are thus charged with the control of this expenditure, and it is for Government to decide whether a similar procedure should not be followed in this Presidency. It would certainly save correspondence between Supdys Engineers & Government, and facilitate the audit of such charges which are now objected to as being in excess of the limit laid down by Government.

4. The only restrictions that it would be necessary, in my opinion, to impose are the following:

- that no form which is of general use in the Department be printed locally;
- that no account form be printed without the approval of the Secy.

It is certainly cheaper to obtain from Madras than to set up type in several places; and it is possible for the Secy to forward necessary word in Divisional offices, or to secure uniformity of procedure, if every such office has the power to introduce forms of its own devising.

mem. 16787 11.8.92

R. No. 2460W 24.7.91

Sub. No. 3702 C 27.10.91

R. B. No. 1812 W 27.10.91

20678 W 25.7.92

not. 2259 17.7.11-8-92

17/8

(Encone)

22/8

23/9

26-8

18-8

C No 8478

For orders as to the limit to be placed on the sanctioning power of S.E.s in the case of printing charges. The present limit is Rs 45 per annum for each division.

The statement sent up by C shows considerable variation in the actuals of divisions, and an average charge deduced from this figures would be inapplicable in many cases.

There would probably be no objection to fixing a high limit as all bills are subject to audit by the Supt. Govt. Press before payment.

It has been decided in L.O. 2259W of 16 Aug 92 that the Madras, and the Govt. of India, procedure is to be in force.

RS

25.11.92

10/11

10/11

No. 74-Cd/15-892

W. Reg. 20.8.92

Encone

In furnishing the list called for above, I would add that I have already been asked by the S.E.V. Circle whether the rule hitherto in force in this Presidency in regard to printing charges ~~for use of~~ ^{advertisements} ~~for use of~~ ^{for use of} should not be considered as superseded by the introduction of the Indian Code.

2. The rules on this subject, which will be found in vol. I, chap. II, paras 15 and 36; and it will be observed that no money limit is imposed. The conditions laid down, on the other hand, are:

- that the expenditure shall be necessary;
- that it is cheaper to print locally than to obtain the forms from Madras (as regards this Presidency);
- that the expenditure is not in excess of the limit after Supdys Engineers authority has been obtained.

RS

The limit of Rs 45
announced by an
average of Rs 45 per month
in all his divisions for
2 years is found to be low
for some divisions,
especially his division.

I think it might be
increased to Rs 100
per m. in the 1st & 2nd
divisions & perhaps 75
and at Rs 50 for
the other 5.

But I think that the
best procedure would
be not to impose any
limit - The fact
that his Supd. Genl.
must record his limits
previously to any work
being done, and that
his Supd. Genl. then must
scrutinise each bill, is
sufficient safeguard that
no unnecessary printing
is done - Expenditure
over Rs 45 per m. now
comes to Govt for sanction.
This seems the answer.

Formal sanction is always
given - I think the Govt.
should judge as to
whether his expenditure
was necessary or not;
and if the restrictions
as to forms, suggested
by C are adhered to,
the matter might be left
to him as is done under
the S. O.

only
26/1/20

Yes - I agree in the
above remarks - Note
for order recorded.

J
20/2/20

Read the following:—

Office Note from the Examiner P. W.
Accounts No 714 C of 15. VIII. 92

2453
6

FOR ORDERS.

whether the
money limit fixed
in para 2 of G.O. No.
2460 W. dated 14th
September 1891 and
confirmed in para
2 of G.O. No 2254 W
dated 16th August
1892, may be withdrawn,
subject to the
conditions laid down
in the Code of Judicial
Code and to the
restrictions suggested
by the Examiner.

Am

2. The prior sanction of the Supty Engineer to charges will still be necessary, and the countersignature of the Engr. Press will be maintained.

A money limit greater very minutely in different divisions -
D W

27.8

On the conditions stated in para 2 I have no objection, & with a caution that S.B.s should prevent the use of special forms as much as possible.

28 Aug

R.A. 26-8
27-8
28-8

2453 W

Am note

Genl Sec

N^o 2453 W of 3 September 1891 WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

again
Read the following

Go. N^o 2460 W dated 14th Sept 91 para 2
Read also: -

Go. N^o 2259 W of 16th Aug 92 para 2.

Office note from the Engr. Press (H. N^o 714 C of 15. viii. 92)
25/11

In modification of the orders quoted above, it is now declared that printing at private presses shall in future be regulated by paras 15 & 36 of Chap. VI of the P. W. Code, the Government Press at Madras being read for Calcutta in the latter para. It should, however, be understood that

that

(1) no form which is of
general use in the Dept
is to be printed locally
and

(2) that no accounts
form should be
printed without the
approval of the
Examiner.

2. The bills must
continue to be com-
missioned by the Supply
Commission Press prior
to payment, and work
should not be given
to Presses which decline
to submit to this condition.

3. Supply Engineers should
restrict the use of
special forms as much
as possible.

4. The number limit
imposed in para 2 of the
orders of the 14th Sept 91 No
2460 is now withdrawn.

S. Es. ✓

CA ✓

Sof W. G. P.

CEfm 13/9

CEfm ✓

Ex PWA

RS
11/15/19
D. G.

2457
/ 6

C No 8478

Govt. of Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

By

For

G.O. 3rd September 2. No 2453-11

Printing

Orders regarding
at private presses, in
modification of certain
previously issued.

(8)

220
16

30-3/9 13/9