

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் Aug 1981
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் dy

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

RWD குறை

138

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	2336	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 23-8-1982		

மடிக்கவோ கண்டயடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

4 Shas

ஆவண. கா-1-18,006-15-6-87.

dy

To

2336
1

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HON'BLE
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

THROUGH THE CHIEF ENGINEER P. W. DEPARTMENT.

ENCLOSURES.

- 1 Copy of Service Register.
- 12 Original testimonials.
- 1 Superintending Engineer's Endorsement, No. 183, W Dated 15th April 1892.

14 To be kindly returned.

*The humble Memorial of A. VARADARAJU
MUDELLIAR late Clerk office of the Superintending Engineer Vth Circle, residing in No. 13, Office Venkatachalla Mudely's Street, Triplicane, Madras.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That Memorialist was entertained in the Public Works Department in 1865 as Overseer's writer on Rs. 15 and he gradually rose to the position of 2nd Clerk in the Superintending Engineer's office on Rs. 100 to 120 per mensem and during his

Col. J. Pennycuik, R. E.
Col. P. O'Connell, R. E.
Col. F. J. Moberly R. E.
Col. L. Convey-Gordon, R. E.
Col. H. M. Vibart, R. E.
Col. H. L. Prendergast, R. E.
Col. W. H. Burton, R. E.
Col. R. R. E. Drake-Brockman, R. E.
Col. H. Smalley, R. E.
Captain L. Langley, R. E.
Lieut. R. E. Hamilton, R. E.
Mr. G. R. Leggatt.
Mr. W. B. Leggatt.
Mr. E. H. Pringle.
Mr. C. H. B. Burlton.

service extending over a period of 26 years, he had the good fortune to earn an expression of the good will and high appreciation of his services always characterized by integrity and honesty from one and all of his official superiors named in the margin, as will be seen from his Service Register and Testimonials herewith submitted for favor of perusal.

2. That during his entire service of 26 years, he always conducted himself so satisfactorily that there was not an occasion in which he was fined even a single cash, also that he never availed of leave of any kind except privilege leave.

3. That in July 1890, Memorialist celebrated the marriage of his eldest son at Bangalore on the eve of which, he sustained a heavy loss, jewels of the value of Rs. 2,300 (all of which were borrowed for the occasion) and cash amounting to Rs. 700 having been stolen. Memorialist has been since then led into a peculiar turn of mind and his powers of reasoning &c began to fade. He became melancholic and sought relief in devoting his time out of office to the performance of religious duties.

4. That in or about June 1891 in disbursing pay to office establishment hurriedly in order to attend in time a religious discourse (on the Ramayana), Memorialist lost a 10 Rupees currency note. This amount was so small that any sane man of Memorialist's position and understanding, would at once have replaced it as a matter of duty, if not as a penalty for careless disbursement. But Memorialist was so unbinged in mind that in a most unlucky moment, it struck him that Government money so lost in the discharge of Government duties might be made up without his having to make it good by over charging Government. Hence his calamity. Had he for a moment thought that it was dishonest or was a fraud on Government, he would have been the last man on earth to do it. In his ignorance and unsettled state of mind, he thought it nothing but right and he now bitterly rues the unlucky impulse. He was thoroughly honest for nearly a quarter of a century and towards the close of his official career, when on the one hand the prize of the managership was attractive and on the other the prospect of retirement on a well earned pension was equally seductive; it was but a flash of weak mindedness, not to say temporary insanity that prompted him to a course of action which has proved his ruin. As soon as this fatal sum of Rs. 10 was made up by such charges, the impulse vanished. This statement was borne out by a close Examination of the cash Accounts from October 1889 (the date of Memorialist's joining the appointment in the Superintending Engineer's Office) and comparison of doubtful items with the Railway Accounts.

5. That Memorialist petitioned the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, praying that the order of dismissal might be set aside and a milder punishment awarded on the ground that his fault was really due rather to a momentary aberration than to any deliberate intention of fraud. On this an order was passed that Memorialist should not be restored to the Government service, *vide* G. O. No. 1297, W dated 23rd April 1892.

6. That Memorialist begs to urge that he cannot be justly accused of having been dishonest or of having acted with intent to defraud the Government. The result of the temporary derangement of his understanding has proved an inevitable bane. He is now left adrift after so many years' hard and assiduous work not only utterly unprovided for, burdened as he is with a large family consisting of his aged parents and a number of sons and daughters, but an individual likely to be shunned in society as a dismissed public servant. Memorialist must secure the wherewithal for the support of himself and his helpless connections and unless Government is graciously pleased to consider that it is in a manner liable to make some compassionate allowance in the case of the Memorialist who has nearly earned a fair share of his pension by previous good service, it is no exaggeration to say that he must eke out his livelihood during the remainder of his life by mere begging, as he has really no private means of livelihood of any kind to fall back upon. His working days have ended and his present mental and physical condition render it impossible that he could turn to any other occupation for livelihood. He pleads for mercy not for right. He craves condescension not kindness and in his forlorn state, the gravity of the offence ought not to be his arbiter the more so, when it is conceded that the act was committed

while in a state of mental aberration. Memorialist begs respectfully to urge that there are several cases in which graver offences were condoned on the grounds on which he now begs that his case may be treated and pensions or other allowances granted by Government.

7. Memorialist most humbly prays that your Excellency will be pleased to view the case in the light in which Memorialist has stated the simple facts of his case without any concealment or reservation and direct that Memorialist may be medically examined and his Statement in para 3 supra may be duly verified by individual enquiries. If Government is satisfied on both these points, Memorialist prays that the offence for which he has been dismissed from service, may be mercifully condoned as having occurred at a time when Memorialist was not really in a fit state of mental condition to be in the service of Government and that he may be granted a pension for the period of his approved service of 26 years and 4 months (as recommended by the Superintending Engineer in his Endorsement No. 183 W Dated 15th April 1892 on Memorialist's petition to the Chief Engineer, herewith submitted.)

For which act of condescension and humanity Memorialist shall ever pray.

Your Memorialist begs to Subscribe himself,

Karabaranji Mudalliar

Late 2nd Clerk Superintending Engineer's Office,

5th Circle Madras.

11th August 1892. }

(12)

Encls 14

Recd: 22.8.92

No. 1297 W of 23.4.92

Read the following:—

236
/ 7

Petition from A. Varadarajan
Mudelliar, late clerk office of the
S. E. V. Temple dated Aug. 11. 1892

FOR ORDERS.

Sir J. ...
Hd
1892

The undersigned
has nothing to add
to the note attached
to Co. No. 1297 W dated
23^d April 1892.

S
17.8 W

B
30m order

N^o 2336. W. of 23. August 1892
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Petition from A. Varadaraju
Mudlr., late clerk office of the
Supty Engineer V (Inde) (H.E. of Aug 11. 1892)

236
2/4

The Government
sees no ground for
reconsidering the
order of the 23. April
1892, N^o 1297 W.

0013
19.8.92

L
19.8.92

To,
The Petitioner P.V.B
with enclosures.

N^o 2336. w. of 23 August 1882

T. lo. in

3 mi

(4)

8

25/8.

20.2