(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 70

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 4-10-91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

48

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2194

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 6 — 8 — 1892 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

35 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,000—15-6-87.

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION

OF THE

ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS

IN THE

MADRAS PRESIDENCY,

FOR FASLI 1300 (1860-61).

2194/1

MADRAS:
PRINTED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS.

1892.

2194/2

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	Page	Paras
Number of estates under management	1	1
Estates handed over	1	2
(*a*) The Kangundi estate	2	3
(*b*) The Vadapathimangalam estate	2 & 3	4
(*c*) The Tiruvalanjuli estate	3	5
(*d*) The Tyagasamudram estate	3	6
(*e*) The Bimbalan estate	3	7
Statement No. I showing the names, &c., of estates under wardship	3	9
Season	3 & 4	10
Number of villages	4	11
Holdings	5	12
Cultivation	5	13
Demand of estates	5 & 6	14
Remissions	6	15
Net demand	6 & 7	16
Demand, collection and balance	7, 8 & 9	17 & 18
Current collections	9 & 10	19
Irrecoverable arrears	10	20
Financial condition of estates	10 & 11	21
Comparative statement of total charges	12 & 13	22 & 23
Public works	13, 14 & 15	24
Debts due to the estates	15 & 16	25 & 26
Debts due by the estates	16 & 17	27
Religious and charitable institutions attached to estates	17	28
Financial condition of minor institutions	18	29
Education of the wards	18 & 19	30
Law suits	19	31
Condition of ryots	19—23	32
Inam alienations	23 & 24	33
General remarks	24	34
Conclusion	24	35

APPENDICES.

	Page
A. No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1300 (1890–91)	25 & 26
B. No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)	27 & 28
C. No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)	29—32
D. No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)	33—35
E. No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890–91)	36—41
F. Enclosure A to Statement No. IV.—Statement showing the expenditure incurred on maramut (works) in the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890–91)	42 & 43
G. No. V-A.—Statement showing the debts due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)	44
H. No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)	45
I. No. VI.—Statement showing the educational progress made by the wards and their relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)	46—61

2194
3

REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION

OF

ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS

IN THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY,

FOR FASLI 1300 (1890-91).

Number of estates under management.—There were 53 estates under management at the beginning of the year, of which five, viz., Kangundi in North Arcot, Vadapathimangalam, Tiruvalanjuli and Tyagasamudram in Tanjore and [illegible] in Malabar, were handed over to their proprietors; while the four estates noted on the margin were taken up during the year. There were thus 52 estates under management at the end of the year. This number includes the Parlákimedi estate, as, though the landed property was in pursuance of the High Court's decree in the suit brought by the Zemindar's son, handed over to him on 1st July 1890, the old zemindar still continues to be a ward of the Court, which retains his personalty amounting in cash and Government promissory notes on the 30th June 1891 to Rs. 1,88,144 and 27,97,000, respectively.

Kurupám	Vizagapatam.
Pithápuram ...	Godávari.
B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate	Trichinopoly.
Royakota	Salem.

2. *Estates handed over.*—The subjoined statement shows the condition of the five estates above referred to at the time of their restoration as compared with their condition when the Court assumed charge of them.

STATEMENT showing the condition of the estates restored at the time of surrender as contrasted with their condition when they were taken up.

Districts.	Estates.	ANNUAL REVENUE. When the estate came under management. Current demand.	ANNUAL REVENUE. When the estate came under management. Current collection.	ANNUAL REVENUE. When the estate was restored. Current demand.	ANNUAL REVENUE. When the estate was restored. Current collection.	BALANCE ON HAND. When the estate came under management.	BALANCE ON HAND. When the estate was restored.	Debts recovered during the period the estate was under management.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	85,397	59,786	85,677	85,409	18,852	* 23,811	† 24,634
Tanjore ..	Vadapathimangalam	60,072	57,946	71,040	68,046	13,837	46,642	‡ 1,15,037
	Tiruvalanjuli ..	7,382	7,866	6,527	3,125	..	283	124
	Tyagasamudram ..	9,066	5,669	7,458	3,923	3,510	§ 56,814	‖ 46,902
Malabar ..	Bimbalan	311	311	431	431	..	598	..

Districts.	Estates.	DEBTS PAID OFF DURING THE SAME PERIOD. Principal.	Interest.	Total.	Amount expended on irrigation works.	Amount expended on other permanent improvements.	AGE OF THE PROPRIETOR. When the estate came under management.	When the estate was restored.	Period for which the estate was under management.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Y. M.	Y. M.	Y. M.
North Arcot ..	Kangundi	33,026	2,803	35,829	36,382	39,322	13 10	21 0	7 2
Tanjore ..	Vadapathimangalam	27,971	16,818	44,789	6,943	2,587	11 8	21 0	9 4
	Tiruvalanjuli ..	..	..	¶ 2,746	250	200	40 10	46 5	5 7
	Tyagasamudram ..	..	..	..	200	550	15 3	21 1	5 10
Malabar ..	Bimbalan	1,950	731	2,681	..	..	26 6	37 0	10 6

* Includes Rs. 15,000, being the nominal value of promissory notes handed over to the proprietor.
† Excludes Rs. 5,140 written off.
‡ Exclusive of debts, mainly advances to tenants, amounting to Rs. 1,00,887, written off.
§ Includes Rs. 44,000, being the nominal value of promissory notes handed over to the proprietor.
‖ Excludes Rs. 6,188 written off.
¶ Information as to how much of this represents interest has not been furnished.

3. *The Kangundi estate.*—This estate was under the Court's management for seven years and two months. The current demand shows a slight decrease, which the Collector has not explained. The current collections, however, rose from Rs. 59,786 or 69·5 per cent. to Rs. 85,409 or 99·7 per cent. of the current demand, which is very satisfactory. Debts, amounting to Rs. 24,634, were collected, while debts to the extent of Rs. 35,829 were paid off and Rs. 75,704 were spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements. The balance in favour of the estate at the time of its restoration was Rs. 23,811 against Rs. 18,852 at the time of assumption of charge. The minor and his younger brother were placed under a European tutor at Madras during the last two years of their wardship. Their educational attainments were, however, only moderate, but they visited most of the important places in India and Ceylon.

4. *The Vadapathimangalam estate.*—The Vadapathimangalam estate was under management for nine years and four months. During this period the current demand rose from Rs. 60,072 to Rs. 71,040. Debts, amounting to Rs. 1,15,037, were collected, while a sum of Rs. 1,00,887, consisting mainly of advances to tenants of the estate was written off as irrecoverable. Rs. 44,789, being debts due by the estate, were paid during management, Rs. 6,943 were expended on irrigation works and Rs. 2,587 on other permanent improvements. The apparently short expenditure on public works is ascribed to the fact that there are no large irrigation works belonging to the estate requiring any large outlay. Petty maramut works, such as channel repairs, construction and repair of sluices, tunnels, dams, &c., were, however, executed during the period of the Court's management. The cash balance at the credit of the

estate when it was handed over was Rs. 46,642 against Rs. 13,837 at the time the Court assumed charge. The ward was studying privately for the Matriculation examination when the estate was handed over to him.

5. *The Tiruvalanjuli estate.*—This small ryotwari estate was under management for five years and seven months on account of the proprietor's lunacy. The falling off of the current demand from Rs. 7,382 to Rs. 6,527 is stated by the Collector to be due to a fall in the lease-amounts of the ryotwari lands of the estate. Debts, amounting to Rs. 124, were recovered, while debts, amounting to Rs. 2,746, were paid off and a sum of Rs. 550 was spent on irrigation works and other permanent improvements. There was no cash balance at the credit of the estate when it came under the Court, but there was a small cash balance of Rs. 283 when it was restored. The proprietor having been declared by the District Court to be sane and capable of managing his own affairs, the estate was handed over to him on 3rd December 1890.

6. *The Tyagasamudram estate.*—This ryotwari estate was under management for five years and ten months. There has been a gradual fall in the current demand from Rs. 9,066 to Rs. 7,458 during the period of the Court's management. This fall is said to be due partly to unfavorable season and partly to the manager's unsatisfactory work. Debts, amounting to Rs. 46,902, were collected, while debts, amounting to Rs. 6,188, were remitted. The expenditure on public works has been small, viz., Rs. 200 on irrigation works and Rs. 550 on other permanent improvements, and has not been satisfactorily explained. It was probably due to the fact that, as in Vadapathimangalam, there were no large works requiring attention. The cash balance to the credit of the estate when it was restored was Rs. 56,814 against Rs. 3,510, which was all the balance to the credit of the estate when it came into the Court's hands. The eldest ward did not go to any English school, but was studying Sanskrit and Tamil under a private tutor. Though the other wards—four in number—attended school, they did not make much progress in education.

7. *The Bimbalan estate.*—This estate was under management for ten years and six months. During this period the current demand rose from Rs. 311 to Rs. 431; and debts, amounting to Rs. 2,681 on the whole, were paid off. There was also a cash balance of Rs. 598 to the credit of the estate at the time of its restoration, though there was none when the estate was taken up by the Court. The ward, who was a harmless lunatic, died of cholera on the 1st July 1890 at the Calicut asylum and his estate was handed over on 1st December 1890 to the sons of his paternal uncle, who produced a certificate of succession from the Sub-Court of Pálghat.

8. No report was received from the Collector of Vizagapatam regarding the Kurupám estate, which was taken under management late in the year. The Collector of Salem does not seem to have taken charge of the Royakota estate, pending the orders of the Court of Wards on his proposals for its management, which reached this office only after the close of the fasli under report. The Collector has, therefore, not reported on it. As in previous years, the Vádimitta estate in the Tinnevelly district was managed by the lessee, and no mention is, therefore, made of it either in the report or in statements Nos. II to V.

9. *Statement No. I showing the names, &c., of estates under wardship.*—Statement No. I appended to the report shows the names of the estates under management, the authority under which they were taken up, the sexes of the wards and their disabilities, the period during which the estates have been under the Court, the ages of the wards at the end of the fasli, and the dates on which such of them as are minors will attain their majority. Of the 52 wards, 44 were minors (one being a female), five were incapacitated by sex alone and the remaining three, of whom two were females, by lunacy or imbecility.

10. *Season.*—The season was, on the whole, favorable except in parts of Ganjam, Chingleput, Tanjore, Madura, Tinnevelly, Coimbatore and Salem. In Ganjam, the rains were mostly either untimely or inadequate. Owing to the cyclonic rainfall of October 1890, a good deal of land in the Kallikót estate was submerged, and the crops were damaged by disease. In Panaiyur, the season was more unfavorable to

agriculturists than in the previous year, and large remissions had, therefore, to be granted, while the work of collection was very difficult. In Sáptúr, the south-west monsoon was a failure. The north-east monsoon was good at the beginning, but brought a heavy downpour of rain which caused breaches to many tanks in the northern portion of the estate. In Belgám, there were hailstorms in February 1891, which damaged the sugarcane and gingelly crops to some extent; but the rainfall being copious and timely, the wet and dry crops yielded well. In Mailavaram, the rains were excessive both at the beginning and towards the end, while the crops suffered for want of rain in the interval. In North Arcot, there was a rise in the prices of staple grains owing to failure of crops and insufficiency of rain in the latter part of the year; whilst many cattle died for want of fodder. In Metráthi, the state of the season was very unfavorable; though the aggregate rainfall was unusually high, its distribution was bad. In Anavaratham Pillai's estate and in Erumaipati, the tanks did not receive a sufficient supply of water.

11. *Number of villages.*—Statement II-A shows the number and tenures of the villages in the *proprietary estates* and the extent of holdings and cultivation in all the estates as compared with the figures of the previous year. Parlákimedi being left out of account, there were under management during the year 35 estates held on fixed tenure against 34 in the previous year, the other estates under management consisting of ryotwari lands. The total number of villages in the permanently-settled estates was 2,466, of which 168 belonged to Pithápuram, one of the estates taken up during the year. Deducting these as well as the villages in Telaprole and Etaiyápuram, the returns relating to which were left blank in the report of the preceding year, the total number of villages in the estates which were under management in both faslis was 1,858 against 1,859 in the preceding year, showing a decrease of one, which is the net result of an increase of eight in four estates, and a decrease of nine in four others, as shown below:—

Increase.

Dhárákót	2
Bodogodo	1
Kallikót	3
Singavanam	2
Total	8

Decrease.

Srípuram	1
Kapileswarapuram	1
Palayavanam	6
Yelagiri	1
Total	9

In Dhárákót, the increase is due to one jirayati village having been hitherto omitted from the accounts by mistake and to another which was hitherto treated as a hamlet of another village having now been classed as a separate village. In Bodogodo and Kallikót, the increase is due to deserted villages, excluded from the accounts last year, having been occupied since. In Singavanam, two villages which were in the enjoyment of a relative of the minor, came into the possession of the estate during the year under report by private negotiation. The decrease in Srípuram is due to the exclusion of a mokhasa village belonging to another proprietor, the quit-rent and land-cess of which had previously been collected by the estate, but are now levied by the Deputy Tahsildar. The decrease in Kapileswarapuram and Yelagiri is only nominal, being due to two villages having been clubbed together and treated as one village on grounds of administrative convenience. In Palayavanam, six villages lying in non-proprietary tracts have now been omitted from the return. Of the 2,466 villages above referred to, 1,475 villages were held on ryotwar or joint-rent tenure, 433 were rented to middlemen, paying rents in money or in kind; 49 were partly ryotwari and partly rented, and the remaining 509 villages were held on favorable rent or free of rent.

2194/5

12. *Holdings.*—The total area of holdings in all the estates under management was 775,913 acres, of which 708,864 acres or 91·4 per cent. were cultivated. The extent of holdings in the estates which were under management in both the faslis was 348,146 acres in fasli 1300 against 330,279 acres in fasli 1299, showing an increase of 17,867 acres. This is the net result of an increase of 18,964 acres in twenty-five estates counterbalanced by a decrease of 1,097 acres in seven others. The most marked variations occurred in the undermentioned estates:—

	Acs.
Increase.	
Kallikót	13,111
Mailavaram	296
Kapileswarapuram	329
Meduru	486
Panaiyur	923
Gandharvakóta	1,131
Metráthi	442
Shulagiri	305
Yelagiri	903
Decrease.	
N. Rangáswami Aiyar's estate	500

In Kallikót, the increase is said to be due to the area of mustajari (rented) and of bunjar (waste) lands having been included for the first time this year. The increase in Mailavaram, Kapileswarapuram and Meduru is due to more lands having been taken up on darkhast than were relinquished. In Panaiyur, the increase is explained to be due partly to the inclusion for the first time during the year under report of the extent of waste lands under amani (direct cultivation) and partly to the inclusion of the area owned by the wards in the villages of Pakkam and Cheyúr for which no accounts were kept in the previous years. The increase in Gandharvakóta is due to waste lands having been brought under cultivation during the year. In Metráthi and Yelagiri, the increase represents the excess discovered in the recent survey. The increase in Shulagiri is only nominal, being due to the transfer of two rented villages to ryotwar or joint-rent tenure. The decrease in Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate is due to the sale of certain lands for the liquidation of debts.

13. *Cultivation.*—The extent of actual cultivation in the year under report was 708,864 acres or 91·4 per cent. of the holdings, against 287,183 acres or 86·9 per cent. in the previous year, the increase occurring in most of the estates. The percentage of cultivation to holdings was very low in the undermentioned estates:—

Panaiyur	64·5
Singavanam	40·9
Palayavanam	68·2
Mambarai	56·2
Metráthi	51·6
Neliyalam	18·7
Kavalpara	70·4

In Panaiyur, Metráthi and Kavalpara, the low percentage appears to be due to the unfavorable character of the season. The Collector states that in Singavanam the whole extent of the holdings was not brought under cultivation owing to the bad season of fasli 1299 having crippled the resources of the tenants. In Palayavanam, a large portion of the waste is said to be covered with low jungle: the soil is barren: the only irrigation is from rain-fed tanks; and the tenants' resources appear to have been crippled by the bad season of the previous year. In Mambarai, the low percentage is due partly to the poverty of the soil and partly to the ryots cultivating portions of their holdings in alternate years, the other portions being left fallow and also used by them as pasture for their cattle. The low percentage in Nelliyalam is not explained, but it appears to be due to the inability of the ryots, as stated in previous years, to take up more land for cultivation.

14. *Demand of estates.*—Statement II-B shows the gross demand of the estates under management, the remissions granted and the net demand. The gross demand of the year amounted to Rs. 27,77,106, of which Rs. 41,565 were remitted, leaving

a net demand of Rs. 27,35,541. The following abstract compares the figures under these heads as regards the estates which were under management in both fasli 1299 and fasli 1300 :—

Fasli.	Gross demand.	Remissions.	Net demand.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1299	13,62,378	29,942	13,32,386
1300	13,29,696	37,034	12,92,662

15. *Remissions.*—The remissions granted during the year by the jamabandi officers amounted to Rs. 41,565 against Rs. 33,321 in the preceding year, the increase being chiefly due to the unfavorable character of the season. The large items of remission are noticed below :—

In Jarada (4,870), Aska (258), Kallikót (3,884), Kapileswarapuram (611), Panaiyur (3,119), Sáptúr (9,786) and Etaiyápuram (4,476), they were granted chiefly for loss of crop, as the season was altogether unfavorable in those estates. In Mailavaram, Rs. 1,156 were remitted on account of home-farm lands of the estate and the usual deductions from the assessment payable by certain classes of ryots. In Meduru, the remissions were for lands found deficient in the holdings of the ryots and for loss of crop. In Marungápuri and Mambarai, they consist chiefly of the assessment on home-farm lands. Those in the Salem estates were the usual cowle remissions.

16. *Net demand.*—Excluding the estates which were not under management in both the years, as also those for which figures were not available, the demand of fasli 1300 shows a decrease of Rs. 39,724 on that of the previous year, which is the net result of an increase of Rs. 48,905 in twenty-two estates counterbalanced by a decrease of Rs. 88,629 in twenty-two others. The important variations are explained below :—

STATEMENT showing the net demand of estates under management during faslis 1299 and 1300.

Name of estate.	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.	Difference.	Explanation of increase or decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Jarada	22,529	17,875	— 4,654	Decrease due to the grant of large remissions during the year.
Dhárákót	74,599	81,520	+ 6,921	Increase due to increased rentals obtained on some villages and to lands in certain other villages having been measured and given on individual pattas.
Aska	10,211	11,230	+ 1,019	Increase due to less remissions than in the previous year and to increase in the rental of two villages.
Kallikót	3,23,601	3,17,356	— 6,245	Decrease due to the grant of large remissions and to decrease in rentals owing to loss of crop.
Belgám	42,305	39,977	— 2,328	Decrease due to a larger extent of land having been left waste during the year owing to relinquishments made by certain ryots.
Tangellamúdi	33,563	28,679	— 4,884	Decrease due to short crop and to the last year's figure having included the sale-proceeds of previous year's grain in store.
Mailavaram	24,244	22,635	— 1,609	Decrease appears to be due to the last year's figure including certain items unconnected with land.
Kapileswarapuram	1,23,732	1,00,334	— 23,398	Decrease chiefly due to the omission of water-tax from the estate demand, the Government having taken up its collection.
Meduru	1,26,861	99,534	— 27,327	Decrease chiefly due to the omission of water-tax from the estate demand, the Government having taken up its collection.
Ukkadai	29,356	25,955	— 3,401	Decrease due to variation in the price of paddy.
Gandharvakóta	36,450	38,828	+ 2,378	Increase due to the larger extent under cultivation this year.
Mathur	7,999	6,991	— 1,008	Decrease due partly to the low rate at which grain rents were valued and partly to the short outturn of the amani lands.

Statement showing the net demand of estates under management during faslis 1299 and 1300—*cont.*

Name of estate.	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.	Difference.	Explanation of increase or decrease.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Singavanam	2,548	13,832	+ 11,284	Increase mainly due to the improved character of the season, the low demand of the previous fasli having been due to the total failure of the north-east monsoon and to want of ryots to cultivate the lands.
Palayavanam	5,193	6,714	+ 1,521	Increase due to increased extent under cultivation.
Edamanal	21,260	17,993	— 3,267	Decrease due to the low commutation rate at which grain rents were calculated.
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate ..	30,779	25,509	— 5,270	Decrease due to sale of lands and to the change in cultivation from plantain to paddy in the case of some wet lands.
Sáptúr	43,350	60,450	+ 17,100	Increase due to the high price at which the paddy was sold and to the fact that on this basis the assessment on wet lands left waste on account of ryots' neglect was charged.
Anavaradham Pillai's estate ..	2,921	1,646	— 1,275	Decrease due to the unfavorable character of the season.
Neliyalam	8,719	7,274	— 1,445	Decrease due to the exclusion of certain fictitious items of jenmabogum and to the failure of the coffee crop in Wynaad.
Yelagiri	16,653	19,423	+ 2,770	Increase chiefly due to the increase in the extent of holdings brought to light by the recent survey.

The net demand was nearly nine and half lakhs in Pithápuram. It exceeded three lakhs in Etaiyápuram and Kallikót; one lakh in Kapileswarapuram and Telaprolo; three-fourths of a lakh in Dhárákót and Meduru; half a lakh in Marungápuri and Sáptúr; a quarter of a lakh in seven estates and Rs. 10,000 in eighteen others.

17. *Demand, collection and balance.*—Statement III shows the demand, collection and balance of the estates under management during the year. The arrear demand shown against some estates in column 8 of this statement differs from the outstanding balance of fasli 1299 as entered in the statement appended to the previous year's report. The differences are due, in the case of the estates in Tanjore, to certain miscellaneous items omitted last year having been included this year, and in others either to error in the previous year's return or to rounding of fractions. The arrear demand at the beginning of the year amounted to Rs. 27,99,541, of which Rs. 5,13,687 were collected (including an excess collection of Rs. 6 in the Metráthi estate) and Rs. 2,41,929 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 20,43,931 or 73 per cent. of the demand against 69·2 per cent. in the previous year. In three estates, the balance was *nil*, and in three others, practically so. In fourteen estates, it was below 25 per cent.; in ten others, it was between 25 and 50 per cent.; and in the marginally-noted estates, it was above 50 per cent.

Bodogodo	60·5
Kurla	60·1
Aska	54·8
Chípurupalle	73·5
Belgám	72·4
Tangellamúdi	55·5
Pithápuram	90·4
Kapileswarapuram	62·8
Meduru	82·1
Telaprole	88·6
Timmajammal's estate	98·2
Gandharvakóta	57·1
Marungápuri	57·3
Chockalingam Pillai's estate	100·
Sáptúr	50·8
Etaiyápuram	75·1
Neliyalam	68·3
Yelagiri	57·8

The Collector reports that the manager of the Bodogodo estate has been ordered to take stringent measures for the collection of the recoverable portion of the arrears in that estate and promises a separate report regarding the irrecoverable portion. The submission of this report should be expedited. In Kurla and Aska the large outstanding arrear balances were chiefly due to the neglect of the manager who had charge of both estates. His services have since, on the restoration of the former estate, been dispensed with. Aska has been placed under the Deputy Tahsildar of that place who has been directed to pay particular attention to this subject. The large arrears in the Chípurupalle estate are due to the remissness of the late manager, who

was the son-in-law of the proprietrix and who has since been removed from the post, the estate being placed under the Deputy Tahsildar. The Collector has promised shortly a separate report regarding the outstanding arrears in Belgám. As the estate has recently been, with some others, placed under the supervision of a well-paid Manager, the Court trusts that the next report will show a better state of things. In Tangellamúdi, the major portion of the arrears consists of items that are either irrecoverable or are involved in disputes. In Pithápuram, the bulk of the arrears which go so far back as fasli 1253 is reported to be irrecoverable. The Collector is requested to expedite the further report on the subject promised by him. In the three Kistna estates, a large portion of the arrears is involved in disputes and cannot be recovered until the pending suits are disposed of, while orders have been issued to the managers to take prompt measures for recovering the remaining portion of the arrears. As stated in the last report, almost the whole balance in Timmajammal's estate had been collected by Patchamiah, the previous holder of the estate, and misappropriated by him. He was lodged in the Civil Jail, but was illegally released by the Superintendent, against whom a suit for damages has since been filed and is now pending. Of the balance shown against Gandharvakóta, about Rs. 20,528 is covered by debt bonds and Court decrees and about Rs. 18,850 is reported to be irrecoverable. In Marungápuri, a suit has already been filed for the recovery of a large portion of the arrears and steps are being taken to adjust the remainder. The Collector has not explained why no portion of the arrears outstanding in B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate, small as they are, has been collected. The greater portion of the arrears in Sáptúr has either been collected since the close of the fasli or is in course of collection. The balance against Etayápuram is reported to be mostly time-barred and irrecoverable, and the Collector has promised a separate report on the subject. This should be expedited. In Neliyalam, a large portion of the outstanding arrear balance has been ordered to be written off, but the necessary adjustments do not seem to have been made in the accounts before the close of the fasli. Of the balance of Rs. 2,617 in Yelagiri, Rs. 1,242 are irrecoverable and steps have been taken to recover the rest.

18. The remarks made above have reference to the arrear balance as it stood at the end of the year. The subjoined statement shows the percentage of the arrear balance to the arrear demand, after deducting subsequent collections and remissions as also the amounts now recommended for remission and adverted to in paragraph 20 *infra*:—

Statement showing the percentage of the arrear balance to the arrear demand and the amounts recommended for remission.

Estates.	Percentage to the demand.		
	Of balance after deducting subsequent collections.	Of amounts recommended for remission.	Of the balance.
Jurada	20·5	..	20·5
Dhárakóta	29·1	..	29·1
Bodagudo	57·9	..	57·9
Karla	59·6	..	59·6
Asika	54·5	..	54·5
Kallikót	48·2	..	48·2
Chittikada	9·3	..	9·3
Setpiram	1·6	..	1·6
Chipurupalle	71·2	..	71·2
Appikonda	13·7	..	13·7
Bolgám	69·1	..	69·1
Lakkavaram	10·6	..	10·6
Tangellamúdi	55·5	6·7	48·8
Pithápuram	30·4	..	30·4
Mallevaram	29·6	..	29·6
Kapileswarapuram	57·6	..	57·3
Madura	59·2	..	59·2
Telaprolu	67·3	..	57·3
Timmajammal's estate	95·3	..	95·2
Pannyur	27·6	..	27·6
Ukkadai	14·1	..	14·1

Statement showing the percentage of the arrear balance to the arrear demand and the amounts recommended for remission—*cont.*

Estates.	Percentage to the demand.		
	Of balance after deducting subsequent collections.	Of amounts recommended for remission.	Of the balance.
Gandharvakóta	56·4	..	56·4
Mathur	21·2	..	21·2
Singavanam	26·3	..	26·3
Pakyavanam	25·7	..	25·7
Edamural	18·2	..	18·2
Karuvelakarai	29·5	..	29·5
Peramur	15·9	..	15·9
N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	29·8	..	29·8
Marungapuri	57·0	..	57·0
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	12·4	..	12·4
Singam Aiyangar's estate	7·2	..	7·2
Chockalingam Pillai's estate	100·0	..	100·0
Sáptúr	18·6	..	18·6
Vadagarai	24·5	..	24·5
Mamburai	13·6	..	13·6
Etayápuram	74·8	..	74·8
Neliyalam	58·2	13·1	58·2
Shulagiri	25·5	4·9	20·6
Yelagiri	42·9	13·2	29·7
B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	4·9	..	4·9
K. Puttamma's estate	20·7	..	20·7
Kavalpara	21·5	..	21·5

It will be seen from the above statement that the percentage of balance is heavy in many estates.

* Lakkavaram. Pannyur. | Etayápuram.

In the case of the marginally-noted estates, * fasliwar particulars of the outstanding arrears have not been given as required by paragraph 4 of Court's Proceedings, dated 24th January 1880, No. 119.

† Karla. Asika. Kallikót. Bolgám. Tangellamúdi. Pithápuram. Kapileswarapuram. Madura. Telaprolu. Ukkadai. | Gandharvakóta. Mathur. Singavanam. Peramur. N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate. Sáptur. Vadagarai. Yelagiri. Kavalpara.

In the nineteen estates noted on the margin, † it is observed that a portion of the arrears is more than six years old. The Collectors concerned are requested to furnish in the demand, collection and balance statement for the quarter ending 30th June 1892, which should be submitted punctually, fasliwar particulars of the outstanding arrears together with information as to what portion thereof is (1) recoverable, (2) doubtful of recovery or (3) irrecoverable, and what action has been taken to adjust the outstanding arrears. All Collectors are requested to furnish similar information in their administration reports in future.

19. *Current collections.*—The total current demand for the year amounted to Rs. 27,37,514, of which Rs. 22,43,170 were collected and Rs. 209 remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 4,94,135 or 18·1 per cent. of the demand at the end of the year against 24·5 per cent. in the previous year. There was no balance in two estates; in five estates, it was less than 5 per cent.; in twenty-four others, it was between 5 and 20 per cent; while in eight estates, it was between 20 and 35 per cent. In the marginally-noted nine estates, the balance exceeded 35 per cent.

Jurada	37·2
Chipurupalle	63·2
Telaprolu	41·1
Pannyur	44·5
Ukkadai	39·5
Singavanam	57·0
Palayavanam	57·1
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	40·9
Neliyalam	43·9

The balance in Jurada appears to be due to the unfavorable character of the season. As reported last year, the large balance in Chipurupalle was due to the remissness of the manager who, as already observed, has since been removed. In Telaprolu, the balance was due to the litigation above referred to. In Pannyur, it was

due to the unfavorable character of the season. Part of the balance in Ukkadai is covered by Court's decrees and steps have been taken to collect the amounts while the remainder is due by porakudies (cultivating tenants), from whom the amounts can be collected only gradually as their circumstances improve. The greater portion of the heavy balance in Singavanam has been reduced by subsequent collections, while that in Palayavanam is covered by processes. In Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate, the balance has been somewhat reduced by subsequent collections and the manager has been instructed to collect the remainder at an early date. In Neliyalam, steps were being taken to collect the balance.

*	RS.
Kapileswarapuram	4,513
Pulicherla	89
Marangapuri	17
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	13
Neliyalam	7,316
Erumaipati	2
Shulagiri	522
Yelagiri	646
Total ...	13,118

20. *Irrecoverable arrears.*—Of the total balance—arrears and current—at the close of the year, amounting to Rs. 25,38,066, Rs. 13,118 * have since been ordered by the Court to be written off the accounts, while Rs. 3,513 are recommended by Collectors for remission in their reports for the reasons stated below:—

Statement showing the amounts recommended for remission.

Estates.	Poverty, death or desertion of ryots.	Barred by limitation.	Rent disallowed by Courts.	Erroneous demand.	Other causes.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.	RS. A. P.
Tangellamúdi ...	115 5 6	20 5 2	397 11 4	17 2 5	106 12 8	641 5 7
Neliyalam ...	278 3 6	...	...	474 4 6	951 0 0	2,149 0 9
Shulagiri ...	0 8 0	5 2 1	...	...	109 15 6	106 13 7
Yelagiri ...	126 9 3	42 6 8	2 13 8	...	424 3 10	595 11 3
Total ...	524 7 3	68 0 11	[illegible]	[illegible]	1,678 14 0	3,512 1 5

The items appearing in column 3 of the above statement against Tangellamúdi and Yelagiri became barred during the time of the late proprietors: that against Shulagiri is the assessment due on certain subsequent inams, the resumption of which is barred. Of the amount shown under "Other causes" against Tangellamúdi, Rs. 7-12-8 is classed as irrecoverable owing to deficiency in the extent of lands, Rs. 21-5-9 is not covered by the execution of pattas and muchilikas, and the balance consists chiefly of collections made before the Court assumed charge of the estate but not brought to account or claims set off but not adjusted: that against Shulagiri (Rs. 109-13-6) is the amount of assessment imposed on a rent-free inam for faslis 1297 and 1298; while that against Yelagiri (Rs. 424-3-10) represents the arrears due by cultivators of certain home-farm lands, which could not be recovered by a suit in the absence of proof of the relation of landlord and tenant.

In regard to the irrecoverable arrears of Neliyalam, no orders are now called for, as the ward is dead and the estate has since been ordered to be handed over to his rightful heirs. Excluding therefore the amount shown against that estate, sanction is hereby accorded for writing off Rs. 1,561-1-5 from the accounts.

21. *Financial condition of estates.*—Statement No. IV shows the financial condition of the several estates under management during the year. The differences between the closing balance of fasli 1299 as given in the previous year's report and the opening balance of fasli 1300 are due in Kallikót, Meduru, Ayyanna's estate and in the South Canara estates to the inclusion of the value of grain on hand in the previous year and Dhárákót to the rounding of fractions. In Kapileswarapuram, Panaiyur and Anavarathum Pillai's estate, the difference is due to the adoption of correct figures. In the estates in Tanjore, the difference under grain balance is due, as usual, to the different commutation rates adopted in the two years. The amounts shown under "Other items" against the estates in Ganjam consist chiefly of deposits of renters,

2194/8

refunds of taccavi (advances for cultivation), &c. In Appikonda the profits derived from salt and indigo business are shown under this item. In the estates of Tanjore, this item is mainly made up of deposits. In Kavalpara and Vadagarai, the malikhana allowances drawn are included.

The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 33,72,205. Adding to this Rs. 9,41,333—the cash balance at the beginning of the year—the total available assets were Rs. 43,13,538, of which Rs. 31,06,517 were expended, including Rs. 2,27,898 invested in pro-notes. The cash balance at the end of the year was Rs. 12,07,021. Adding to this the value of grain on hand and of Government securities, the balance to the credit of the estates was Rs. 55,62,223.

The charges entered in column 26 of the statement include the following unusual items:—

	RS.
Parlákimedi—	
Payment to the young zemindar ...	43,564
Dhárákót—	
Cost of a coach and a pony ...	1,003
Minor's pilgrimage to Pooree ...	850
	1,853
Bodogodo—	
Law charges	1,205
Kurla—	
Cost of bulls and coach	325
Portion of expenditure on minor's marriage	500
	825
Aska—	
Expenditure on the marriage of two grand-daughters of the late proprietor	4,000
Kallikót—	
Minor's tour charges	2,678
Cost of horses, livery, &c. ...	4,175
Cost of a landau and harness ...	2,850
	9,703
Appikonda—	
Fees for examining mercantile accounts	650
Marriage of the minors	3,000
	3,650
Chipurupalle—	
Marriage of the fourth daughter of the proprietrix	2,000
Belgám—	
Law charges	3,514
Expenses connected with the adoption of a boy	1,650
	5,164
Lakkavaram—	
Minor's marriage expenses	6,000
Tangellamúdi—	
Law charges	1,529
Arrear salaries	1,748
	3,277

	RS.
Pithápuram—	
Special advances	25,713
Purchase of property such as houses, &c.	15,816
Medical charges	3,220
	44,749
Kapileswarapuram—	
Balance of marriage expenses ...	904
Survey charges	4,549
Cost of building sites purchased ...	6,768
	12,221
Meduru—	
Survey charges	7,646
Cost of building sites purchased ...	11,756
	19,402
Peramur—	
Funeral charges of the late ward ...	1,605
Singam Aiyangar's estate—	
Marriage expenses	1,079
Sáptúr—	
Law charges	25,129
Anavarathum Pillai's estate—	
Payment on account of arrears of melwaram	2,302
Etaiyápuram—	
Amount paid to the Koilpati Spinning Company	70,400
Metráthi—	
Survey charges	3,096
Shulagiri—	
Marriage of the ward's sister ...	4,001
Yelagiri—	
Survey charges	7,276
Kavalpara—	
Cost of 2 elephants	3,000
Cost of a house and compound ...	5,030
	8,030

22. *Comparative statement of total charges.*—The total charges of the year are compared below with those of the preceding fasli in the case of those estates which were under management in both the years, the items referred to in paragraph 8 of Government Order, dated 15th August 1890, No. 662, Revenue, being left out of account :—

COMPARATIVE statement of total charges of the estates under management.

Estates.	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Jarada	23,477	21,501	Decrease due to a large expenditure on account of the minor's sister's marriage, some funeral charges and seed-grain advances in fasli 1299.
Dharakot	83,884	69,664	Decrease mainly due to the payment of the arrears of Government demand in the previous year.
Badagada	24,795	20,516	Decrease mainly due to the payment of the arrears of Government demand in the previous year.
Kurla	20,911	19,433	Decrease due to a large expenditure on account of marriage and seed-grain advances in the previous fasli.
Aska	16,033	21,796	Increase due to increased expenditure under maramut and to the payment of Rs. 4,000 to the late proprietor's daughter for the marriage of her first two daughters.
Kallikota	2,09,220	2,32,118	Increase due to increased expenditure under maramut, to a large payment on account of arrears of land-cess and Government commission and to the increased cost of education and maintenance of the minors owing to their removal to Madras.
Chikkada	21,866	19,463	Decrease due to the payment of arrears of land-cess in fasli 1299 and to the absence of any pilgrimage charges.
Sripuram	19,992	18,266	Decrease due to a smaller expenditure under maramut.
Chipurupalle	7,338	8,236	Increase due chiefly to the expenses connected with the marriage of the ward's fourth daughter.
Appikonda	16,309	26,094	Increase due to increased expenditure under maramut, to the marriage of the minors and to the grant of a loan of Rs. 11,500 to the Pasarla family.
Belgam	32,157	36,294	Increase due to a large expenditure under maramut, to the expenditure on the adoption of a boy and to the payment of arrears of peshkash and Government commission.
Lakkavaram	13,986	20,513	Increase due to the large expenditure on the marriage of the minor.
Tangellamudi	13,461	16,846	Increase due to a larger expenditure under establishment charges and maramut.
Mallavaram	17,262	28,256	Increase due to larger expenditure under public works and to the payment of arrears of land-cess and Government commission.
Kapileswarapuram	73,831	2,07,354	Increase due to purchase of house-sites and to larger expenditure on maramut and on maintenance, &c., of the minors.
Meduru	1,30,845	1,47,665	Increase due mainly to purchase of house-sites.
V. U. Ayyanna's estate ..	418	725	Increase due mainly to the estate having been under management only for a portion of the previous fasli.
Tinnajammal's do. ..	3,139	3,463	Increase due mainly to the payment of the Government salary during the whole of the fasli.
Pulicherla	10,044	9,437	Decrease due to the absence of arrear payment of salaries to old servants and of medical charges as in the previous year.
Panaiyur	15,384	16,009	Increase due to increased maintenance allowance and a larger payment on account of Government commission.
Ukkadai	35,539	41,074	Increase due chiefly to larger maramut expenditure.
Gandharvakōta	18,379	39,327	Increase mainly due to the payment of the arrears of peshkash and land-cess.
Mathur	7,372	7,147	Decrease due to smaller deposits and smaller advances for cultivation purposes.
Singavanam	20,383	5,741	Decrease due to non-payment of peshkash and to less adjustment on account of deposits.
Palayavanam	9,396	3,914	Decrease due to smaller payment on account of peshkash and deposits.
Edavanal	23,434	23,412	Decrease is small and does not call for explanation.
Karuvalakarai	3,569	2,994	Decrease due to less adjustment on account of deposits.
Perumur	10,352	9,021	Decrease due chiefly to the large expenditure on the marriage of the ward in the previous fasli.
N. Duraisami Aiyar's estate.	40,544	11,363	Decrease chiefly due to lands having been purchased in fasli 1299.
Marangapuri	42,294	41,085	Decrease due to smaller expenditure under maramut.
N. Ramasami Aiyar's estate.	13,041	12,098	Decrease due mainly to non-payment of a portion of the Government assessment.
Singam Aiyangar's estate ..	6,544	8,480	Increase due mainly to the expenditure on the marriage of the ward's sister.
Saptur	62,733	69,219	Increase due to a larger expenditure under maramut and law charges.
Vadagarai	6,579	7,521	Increase due to a larger expenditure under maramut and maintenance and education of the wards.
Nambarai	3,715	3,944	Increase due to a larger expenditure under maramut and to the payment of arrears of Government commission.
Annavaratham Pillai's estate ..	1,399	4,314	Increase due to increased expenditure under law charges.

Comparative statement of total charges of the estates under management—*cont.*

Estates.	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	
Metrāthi	4,615	7,408	Increase due to the payment of the cost of demarcation and survey.
Neliyalam	8,965	8,767	Decrease due to a smaller expenditure under "Allowance to the ward" on account of his death early in the fasli.
Kromaipati	13,772	14,792	Increase due to a larger expenditure under maramut.
Sholagiri	14,355	20,925	Increase due to increased expenditure under maramut and to the expenditure of Rs. 4,000 on the marriage of the eldest daughter of the Rani.
Yelagiri	15,493	19,429	Increase due to the payment of the cost of demarcation and survey.
B. Sarvotama Row's estate	3,514	4,465	Increase due to a large expenditure under ceremonies on account of the death of a member of the family.
K. Puttamma's estate	10,266	11,067	Increase due to increased expenditure under maramut.
Kavalpara	29,749	42,283	Increase due mainly to payment of Kanom (advances made to a proprietor of lands on receiving them on lease at a stipulated rent) &c., under decrees and to the purchase of a house and compound and of two elephants.

23. Column 33 of statement IV shows the percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the *normal* receipts, calculated according to the instructions in paragraph 9 of Government Order, dated the 15th August 1890, No. 662, Revenue. In the estates noted below the cost of management exceeds 12 per cent. :—

* Badagada	14·7	* Palayavanam	23·8
Chipurupalle	15·3	Karuvalakarai	17·7
* Appikonda	21·5	Marangapuri	12·8
* Lakkavaram	12·8	Singam Aiyangar's estate ...	23·4
Tangellamúdi	16·4	Chockalingam Pillai's estate ...	14·4
Kapileswarapuram	14·1	* Sáptór	25·2
* Meduru	19·6	Vadagarai	18·4
Telaprole	14·2	Annavaratham Pillai's estate ...	28·5
* Tinnajammal's estate	17·9	Neliyalam	15·5
* Pulicherla	22·4	Sholagiri	12·4
* Panaiyur	19·4	Yelagiri	16·1
* Gandharvakóta	12·7	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate ...	12·4
Mathur	13·8	K. Puttamma's estate	20·0
* Singavanam	15·6	Kavalpara	13·7

Taking all the estates together, the percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the total *normal* receipts, as above calculated, is 10·1 against 8·3 last year. The slight increase is apparently due partly to the levy during the year under report of Government commission for faslis 1299 and 1300 and partly to the enhancement of the rate of commission with effect from the 1st July 1890.

The Collectors of the districts concerned have been asked in paragraph 4 of Court's Proceedings, dated the 29th February 1892, No. 670, to report whether any and, if so, what reductions are possible in the charges of management in the case of the estates noted above which are marked with asterisks. It must, however, be remembered that the circumstances of estates differ very much, and that especially in the smaller ones, it is impossible to keep the charges down to a fixed percentage, without harm to the estate itself.

24. *Public Works.*—Enclosure A to statement No. IV shows the amounts sanctioned for and expended on public works in the several estates under management. The total allotment was Rs. 2,57,981, of which Rs. 1,75,802 or 68·1 per cent. was spent against 47·8 per cent. in the previous year. The expenditure is classified below.

	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.
	RS.	RS.
Irrigation works	67,565	1,02,462
Buildings	29,762	41,558
Communications	25,211	13,200
Total ...	1,22,538	1,57,220
Establishment ...	14,844	18,582
	1,37,382	1,75,802
Expenditure for which no details are available ...	283	...
	1,37,665	1,75,802

The expenditure on public works, viz., Rs. 1,75,802, amounted to 5·7 per cent. of the total expenditure of the estates. Excluding from the latter debts repaid and the amounts invested in promissory notes, the proportion rises to 7·2 per cent. against 6·8 per cent. in the previous year.

Jarada.
Aska.
Chidikada.
Lakkavaram.
Panaiyur.
Singavanam.
Palayavanam.

In the seven estates noted on the margin the expenditure is shown as having exceeded the allotment. This has not been explained. In two estates, Sripuram and Vadagarai, the entire allotment was utilized, while in 12 it was over 75 per cent. of the allotment. In 13 estates the proportion ranged between 75 and 50 per cent., while in the marginally-noted 8 estates it was below 50 per cent. In Metráthi no portion of the allotment of Rs. 1,685 was utilized at all. In Timmajammal's estate and Pulicherla no expenditure was incurred owing to shortness of funds, while in the undermentioned 3 estates, no expenditure on public works was found necessary during the year :—

Pithápuram	32·6
Telaprole	15·2
Peramur	24·5
Marangápuri	4·5
Erumaipati	45·3
Shulagiri	48·6
Yelagiri	35·5
Kavalpara	39·8

1. V. U. Ayyanna's estate.
2. B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.
3. B. Sarvotama Rao's estate.

The cause of the short expenditure in the estates referred to above is briefly given below :—

In Pithápuram works costing Rs. 10,031 were executed, but the amount was not adjusted within the fasli owing to want of sanction on proper bills. The short expenditure in Telaprole is due partly to the late submission of the estimates by the tanadars and partly to the want of a professional agency. As the latter want has since been supplied, the Court looks for better progress in future. In Peramur provision was made for the repair of the estate house, but the work was not carried out on account of the death of the senior ward, while a well for the repairs of which Rs. 400 were provided, could not be repaired as it was full of water throughout the *fasli*. No satisfactory explanation has been given for the short expenditure in Marangápuri and the absence of expenditure in Metráthi. The short expenditure in Erumaipati and Shulagiri was due to the difficulty experienced in getting contractors to take up the works, as also in procuring labour and materials ; while that in Yelagiri was due to the works having been kept in abeyance pending the final disposal of the question as to whether the investigation of the irrigation works of the estate should be entrusted to the Tank Restoration Party. The short outlay in Kavalpara was due to the omission of the contractor to finish the dispensary building entrusted to him on the recommendation of the District Board Engineer.

2194/10

The following statement exhibits the outlay compared with the grants for 1890–91 in the several estates in which the works were entrusted to th Public Works Department :—

Estate.	Original grant.	Revised grant.	Outlay.	Remarks.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Jarada	13,530	2,645	2,420	Taken from the Administration Report furnished by the Public Works Department.
Bodogodo	4,929	5,535	5,454	
Dhárákot	7,380	7,072	6,988	
Kurla and Tarlipet	4,797	4,945	4,263	
Aska and Chakipalie	2,450	5,961	5,958	
Kallikót and Atagadá	45,510	33,825	36,932	
Chidikada	8,045	2,060	7,189	Taken from the reports of Collectors.
Lakkavaram	..	397	1,540	
Erumaipati	..	590	795	

It is observed that in the case of Kurla and Tarlipet, Kallikót and Atagadá, Chidikada, Lakkavaram and Erumaipati, the outlay exceeds the revised grants. The Collectors concerned are requested to ascertain and report the cause thereof.

25. *Debts due to estates.*—Statement V-A shows the debts due to the several estates under management. The total debts outstanding at the beginning of the fasli amounted to Rs. 17,03,939. Including those newly contracted or brought to account, as also the interest that accrued during the year, the total sum due was Rs. 18,08,747, of which Rs. 1,98,038 were collected within the year and Rupees 40,749 written off, leaving a balance of Rs. 15,69,960 at the end of the year distributed among 38 estates. Of this amount, Rs. 1,790 * have been ordered to be written off since the close of the year. The outstanding balances are large in the following estates :—

	RS.
* Mathur	80
N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate ...	420
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate ...	1,010
Yelagiri	280
Total ...	1,790

Estate.	Outstanding balances.	Estate.	Outstanding balances.
	RS.		RS.
Aska	32,367	Gandharvakota	25,248
Kallikót	1,18,111	Singavanam	14,765
Appikonda	46,731	Palayavanam	11,407
Belgám	18,622	Singam Aiyangar's estate ..	19,100
Pitháparam	4[illegible],142	Chockalingam Pillai's estate ..	31,067
Kapileswarapuram	61,904	Saptúr	26,672
Meduru	2,81,135	Ettaiyápuram	1,68,846
Telaprole	2,87,477	Neliyalam	26,989
Ukkadai	31,105	K. Puttamma's estate	1,69,714

Steps are being taken to collect the debts due to Aska. No explanation has been given for the large amount outstanding in Kallikót or for that in Palayavanam. Most of the debts due to Appikonda are either recoverable by instalments or are covered by mortgage of lands, &c., while those due to the Belgám estate are covered by Court's decrees, the execution of which has been held in abeyance by the Civil Court pending the decision of the appeal in the Belgám partition case. Accurate information as to the debts actually due to Pitháparam is reported to be not available yet and a separate report on the subject, which has been promised, is awaited. A portion of the debt outstanding in Kapileswarapuram had not fallen due, and steps had been taken for the recovery of the remainder. In Meduru fresh bonds were taken during the year for rents due from the ryots and this has raised the balance considerably. About two-thirds of this balance is due only next year and steps have been taken for the collection of the remainder. In Telaprole about half of the outstanding debts have been collected since the close of the fasli. In Ukkadai one-half of the amount still due is reported to be irrecoverable, being due under a Court's decree by persons who have no

property, while the rest is being collected: the Collector is requested to submit the statement of irrecoverable debts at an early date. It is stated that in Gandharvakóta almost all the debtors are the tenants of the estate in poor circumstances; that if legal steps be taken against them and their lands brought to sale, they will be deprived of their limited means of livelihood and agricultural stock, and that the estate itself will then have to purchase them and find tenants for them. The greater portion of the debts in Singavanam is due by persons who have no property. A portion of the debts due to Singam Aiyangar's and Chockalingam Pillai's estates has since been collected and steps are being taken to recover the remainder. It is stated that most of the debts in Sáptúr are due on bonds executed to the late Zemindar by his tenants, and that, owing to the unfavorable character of the season, only a small portion thereof was collected during the year. In Etaiyápuram Rs. 34,080 is due on seven villages and some other real property mortgaged with possession, while Rs. 70,500 covered by documents have not yet fallen due. Steps were being taken to collect the remainder. In Neliyalam a portion is reported to be irrecoverable and steps were being taken for the recovery of the remainder. The Collector of South Canara having reported that correct figures were not available for K. Puttamma's estate, the previous year's figures have been adopted pending further report promised by the Collector. It is to be observed that the wards of the two last mentioned estates are dead and their estates will shortly leave the Court's hands.

26. *Irrecoverable debts.*—Sanction is hereby accorded for writing off the accounts of the Yelagiri estate the sum of Rs. 359-4-2 for the reasons noted below:—

Rs. 107-13-0 due on a bond, but disallowed by the Civil Courts.
Rs. 251-7-2 due by a judgment-debtor who possesses no property to be proceeded against.

27. *Debts due by the estates.*—Statement V-B shows the debts due by the estates under the Court's management. The amount due at the beginning of the fasli was Rs. 21,20,121. Adding Rs. 13,658, being debts contracted and newly brought to account, as also Rs. 1,04,002 on account of interest that accrued due during the year, the total sum was Rs. 22,37,781. Of this sum, debts amounting to Rs. 4,43,616 were paid off and Rs. 763 were struck off. Thus there was a balance of Rs. 17,93,402 at the end of the year due by 22 estates. In two estates the sums outstanding were small, less than Rs. 1,000, and six estates were cleared of all debts during the year. The outstanding balances were large in the following estates:—

Estates.	Outstanding balances.	Estates.	Outstanding balances.
	RS.		RS.
Kallikót	36,761	Gandharvakóta	2,56,020
Tangellamúdi	1,06,920	Singavanam	1,30,966
Pithápuram	6,97,971	Palayavanam	2,38,332
Telaprole	39,683	Edamanal	71,218
Pulicherla	39,917	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate.	73,237
Panaiyur	15,048	Shulagiri	23,095

Almost the whole of the debt due by the Kallikót and Telaprole estates has since been paid off. In Tangellamúdi the bulk of the debt is due to the ward's natural father, the Rajah of Venkatagiri. The Court has been advised not to pay any of the personal debts of the late Rani except under decrees of Civil Courts. In Pithápuram more than two-thirds of the amount is due to the Bank of Madras and to the Rajah of Venkatagiri. The remainder is due to several creditors in small sums which were paid off at the commencement of fasli 1301 from a loan taken from the Bank at a lower rate of interest. The portion of Pulicherla left in charge of the Court of Wards consisting of the remaining two payakuts (sub-divisions) is under attachment for decrees of Civil Courts. The balance shown against Panaiyur will be paid off as funds accrue. In Gandharvakóta a sum of Rs. 14,649 has since been paid; Rs. 1,02,938 represents the amount for which certain villages have been mortgaged with possession; and the balance will be paid off when funds are available. No payments were made in liquidation of the debts due by the Singavanam estate for want of funds. Eight of the estate

2194/11

villages sold in execution of the decrees in O.S. Nos. 85 of 1882 and 21 of 1883 on the file of the Sub-Court, Tanjore, have been purchased by the judgment-creditor for Rs. 79,000. There still remains a debt of Rs. 51,966, which, in the present financial condition of the estate, it is not possible to discharge. No portion of the debts due by the Palayavanam estate was paid during the year under report owing to want of funds, and a further report on the subject, promised by the Collector, is awaited. For liquidating the most pressing debts of the Edamanal estate, certain lands and house-sites were sold for Rs. 28,000 and odd. With this amount, the Collector has since paid a portion of the outstanding debts and has promised to utilise the balance in the most advantageous manner possible. Out of the debts due by Nangavaram Rangaswami Aiyar's estate, a portion has since been adjusted and arrangements are being made to raise a loan from a European firm at a favorable rate of interest to pay off the remainder. The amount shown against Shulagiri is the unliquidated balance of the sum of Rs. 35,000 borrowed from Government and will be paid off in yearly instalments as agreed upon.

28. *Religious and charitable institutions attached to estates.*—The subjoined statement shows the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to the Peramur, Marungápuri, B. Chockalingam Pillai's, Sáptúr, Etaiyápuram and Kavalpara estates:—

STATEMENT showing the demand, collection and balance of the religious and charitable institutions attached to estates under management of the Court of Wards.

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	Demand.			Collections and remissions.			Balance.			Percentage of balance to demand.*
			Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Arrears.	Current.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly ..	Peramur ..	Musiri chattram	128	426	554	50	370	420	78	56	134	24·2
	Marungápuri ..	Devastanam	1,784	10,820	12,604	1,619	8,318	9,937	165	2,502	2,667	21·2
	Do. ..	Urane	* 194	714	908	188	*547	735	6	167	173	19·3
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	Trust property	546	409	955	..	304	304	546	105	651	68·2
Madura ..	Sáptúr	Devastanam	428	2,074	2,502	280	1,891	2,171	148	183	331	13·2
	Do.	Chattram	..	286	285	..	285	285		1	1	·3
Tinnevelly ..	Etaiyápuram ..	Devastanams	26,043	33,305	59,348	6,572	24,545	31,117	*19,471	8,760	28,231	47·6
	Do. ..	Chattrams	4,634	5,055	9,689	1,324	4,370	5,694	3,310	685	3,995	41·2
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	Tiruchengód devasam ..	* 2,582	1,083	3,665	182	737	919	2,400	346	2,746	74·9

* Difference apparently due to rounding of fractions.

No explanation has been given of the large balances in Etaiyápuram and in B. Chockalingam Pillai's estates. The high percentage in Tiruchengód devasam is due, as stated in the previous year's report, to the disputes among the co-trustees, who would not agree among themselves as to the arrangement to be made for the management of the property.

29. *Financial condition of minor institutions.*—The financial condition of the minor institutions referred to above is shown below :—

STATEMENT showing the financial condition of the minor institutions attached to estates under management of the Court of Wards.

District.	Estate.	Endowment.	Opening balance.	Receipts.	Total.	Charges.	Closing balance.	Remarks.
			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly	Peramur ..	Musiri chattram.	144	321	465	381	84	Exclusive of paddy on hand, kalams 60.
	Marungápuri ..	Devastanam ..	9,078	9,979	19,057	9,381	9,676	
	Do. ..	Urasis	740	678	1,418	275	1,143	
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	Trust property	252	11	263	110	153	Exclusive of paddy on hand, kalams 436, to the value of Rs. 443.
Madura ..	Sáptúr	Devastanam ..	2,727	2,442	5,169	4,414	755	Exclusive of paddy on hand worth Rs. 154.
	Do.	Chattram ..	103	287	390	378	12	Exclusive of paddy on hand worth Rs. 219.
Tinnevelly ..	Ettaiyápuram ..	Devastanams ..	9,143	36,570	45,713	28,407	17,306	
	Do.	Chattrams ..	590	4,711	5,301	2,609	2,692	
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	Tiruvalangád devasam.	424	928	1,352	957	395	Exclusive of grain on hand of the value of Rs. 176-10-3.

30. *Education of the wards.*—Statement No. VI shows the educational progress of the wards and of such of their relations as were receiving instruction during the year under report. The total number included in the list is 60, of whom 53 were males and seven females. Of the minors who were under Mr. Taylor at Parlákimedi, the Jarada ward was sent to the Native College at Berhampore; the Dhárákót minor was placed under a separate Native tutor—a graduate of the local University—while the Kallikót minors were, as stated in the last report, removed to Madras and placed under Mr. Potts along with the Kapileswarapuram minor. Their conduct and progress have been reported to be satisfactory. The Mathur minor was studying in the Senior B.A. class of the Presidency College at the end of the fasli and has since passed the Language Branch of the B.A. Degree examination in the second class and is now working at the Science Laboratory of the Agricultural College at Saidápet. The elder minor of Nangavaram Duraiswami Aiyar's estate having passed the F.A. examination in December 1890, is studying in the local S.P.G. College for the B.A. Degree. The marginally-noted wards were receiving instruction under private tutors. Of the rest, 11 were reading in High School classes and the remaining 29 in Middle School and Primary classes. The conduct and progress of almost all the minors are reported to have been satisfactory. The Gandharvakóta minor, who had been unfavorably reported on by the Principal of St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly, has since the close of the fasli been removed to Madras and placed under the charge of a retired Native-educational officer, Rao Bahádur M.R.Ry. P. Vijiarunga Mudaliar Avargal.

Bodogodo	1
Kurla	1
Tangellamúdi	1
Palyavanam	1
Marungápuri	1
N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate ...	1
Sáptúr	1
Mambarai	1
Vádimitta	3
Ettaiyápuram	1
B. Sarvotama Row's estate	1
	13

Minor B. Sarvotama Rao was instructed in Revenue Law and Accounts during the year, and it is stated that arrangements will shortly be made to similarly instruct the minors of Sripuram, Mathur and Ukkadai.

Of the female wards, the proprietrix of Aska is being taught by a private tutor, whom she pays from her own allowance. Timmajammal has, it is reported, made

2194/12

perceptible progress in her studies under her governess Miss Mary Connelly. The sisters of the Pithápuram, Pulicherla and Marungápuri wards were studying at home under private tutors. As reported in the previous faslis, the Kavalpara Nethiyar gave up her studies in 1881. She can read and write Malayalam well. Her young daughter has passed the Second Standard examination and has been promoted to the Third Standard class.

31. *Suits.*—The Bobbili zemindar instituted a suit during the year to recover the Chidikada estate as reversioner; it is still pending in the District Court of Vizagapatam. In execution of the decree in O.S. No. 14 of 1888 on the file of the same court, since affirmed by the High Court on appeal, the estates of Ungarada, Kintali and Mantena have, since the close of the fasli under report, come into the possession of the Court of Wards on behalf of the Srípuram minor. The applications to the High Court referred to in the previous report regarding the certificate of heirship to the personal property left by the late Tangellamúdi Rani have since been decided, the Pithápuram Raja being declared to be the heir in respect of such property. The adopted son of the late Pithápuram Raja has filed a suit in the Godávari District Court impugning the legitimacy of the Court's ward and claiming the estate: the suit is still pending. The suit by Kapileswarapuram against the late Raja Simhadri Appa Rao was compromised during the year, while that against the zemindar of Gannavaram, referred to in the previous report, was decided in favour of the Court's ward. The appeals in the Belgám and Sáptúr suits are still pending in the High Court. The High Court has remanded for disposal on its merits a suit by Sri Raja Papamma Rao, zemindarni of Nidadavól, claiming the recovery of the Tangellamúdi estate on the ground that it was separated from her estate as a maintenance grant and that on the death of its last male owner it should have reverted to her.

32. *Condition of ryots—Ganjam District—Jarada.*—The loss of crop which resulted from the unfavorable character of the season during the year under report is said to have told heavily upon the ryots who have not yet recovered from the effects of the late famine. The average rent paid by ryots of this estate is Rs. 1–15–6 per acre of dry land and Rs. 5–13–9 per acre of wet land. No recourse was had to the Rent Recovery Act or to civil suits for the realization of the demand.

Dhárákót.—The ryots are gradually recovering from the effects of the famine of fasli 1298. Rent per acre of wet is Rs. 5–4–0 and of dry land is Rs. 2–2–2. Rs. 6,830–9–5 were recovered by processes under the Rent Recovery Act. No recourse was had to Civil courts.

Bodogodo.—The ryots have not as yet fully recovered from the effects of the famine of fasli 1298. They paid As. 11–4 per acre of dry land and Rs. 2–9–7 per acre of wet land. Rs. 945–13–3 was collected by recourse to the Rent Recovery Act. There was, however, no recourse to Civil courts.

Kurla and Tarlipet.—The ryots are generally very poor and have not yet recovered from the effects of the famine of fasli 1298. They paid Rs. 4–8–0 per acre of dry and Rs. 6–12–0 per acre of wet land in Kurla and 2 per acre of dry and 8–12–0 per acre of wet land in Tarlipet. Information as to the extent to which recourse was had to the Rent Recovery Act, &c., has not been furnished.

Aska.—The ryots are poor and have not yet recovered fully from the effects of the late famine of fasli 1298. Rs. 6–8–0 and Rs. 6–2–0 are the rates paid by them per acre of dry and wet lands in Aska, and Rs. 4 and 5, respectively, in Chakipalle. No recourse was had either to the Rent Recovery Act or to Civil courts for the realization of the demand.

Kallikót.—The ryots are poorer than those in the adjoining Government taluks. Annas 13–10 and Rs. 5–7–2 are the rates paid by ryots per acre of dry and wet lands in Kallikót and Rs. 1–8–9 and Rs. 4–7–5 in Átagadá, respectively. A sum of Rs. 7,876 was collected under the Rent Recovery Act and Rs. 7,048 by civil suits,

Vizagapatam estates.—There has been no change in the condition of the ryots in this district. The average rents per acre of dry and wet lands are shown in the margin. No recourse was had to Civil or Revenue courts in Sripuram and Appikonda. In Belgám, however, a suit was filed in the Civil courts to recover Rs. 100; but the suit was dismissed and the appeal is pending. Fourteen summary suits involving Rs. 466–15–7 were filed in Revenue courts; of these, five were withdrawn, six were decided in favour of the estate, and the remaining three were pending. Distraints were issued to recover Rs. 197–3–2, which was, however, recovered before the sale of the distrained property. Nine suits under the Rent Act in Chidikada and two civil suits in Chipurupallo were filed during the year; but the amounts involved have not been reported.

	Dry.			Wet.		
	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Sripuram	2	3	10	8	8	6
Appikonda	5	7	0	8	14	0
Belgám	1	12	8	10	10	2
Chidikada	3	5	2	10	14	5
Chipurupallo ...	2	10	0	7	10	0

Godavari District—Lakkavaram.—The circumstances of the tenants are similar to those of the ryots in the adjoining Government villages. The rents paid by them ranged from Rs. 2–8–0 to 3 per acre for wet lands and from annas 4 to annas 12 for dry lands.

Tangellamudi.—The condition of the ryots of this estate is as good as that of the adjoining Government ryots. The average rents paid by ryots per acre of dry and wet lands are, respectively, Rs. 1–15–5 and Rs. 7–0–10. Forty-one processes were issued for arrears of rent, but these arrears were paid before the property was proceeded against. Only one civil suit for the recovery of Rs. 18 was filed, but it was dismissed as it appeared that the amount had been collected by a karnam previously.

Pithapuram.—The rates for dry vary from Rs. 2–2–0 per acre to Rs. 7 per acre, for wet from Rs. 3–10–0 to Rs. 8–6–0, and for lankas from Rs. 10 to Rs. 41–10–0. The rents in the central delta are the highest and are considerably higher in the principal villages than the rates charged by Government on similar lands. The tenants do not claim any right of occupancy. There is no such fixity of tenure as would induce a tenant to make improvements to his land. No information has been given as to the extent to which recourse was had to the Rent Recovery Act or to civil suits for the realization of the demand.

Kistna District—Mallavaram.—The ryots are generally in good circumstances and their condition does not differ from that of the ryots of adjoining Government tracts. There are no complaints of over-assessment. No information has been furnished as to rates of rent or as to how far recourse was had to the Revenue Act or Civil courts for the recovery of rents.

Kapileswarapuram.—The ryots of this estate have been enjoying the same privileges as those in Government villages, unlike the ryots in the neighbouring estates who "are still obliged to undergo some difficulties." All the enhancements imposed during recent years on dry lands and the enhancements on wet lands irrigated by Government channels have been entirely removed. Interest also is charged on the arrears from the year following and not from the date on which the kist falls due. The estate also is well supplied with canal water and the ryots are said to be prosperous and less litigious than their neighbours. Owing to railway extension the produce of the estate is finding a good market, and the ryots are becoming richer year by year. There are several rates of assessment prevailing in this estate, viz., for dry from Rs. 8–14–8 to As. 12–3, for wet from Rs. 12–8–0 to Rs. 2–15–0, and for gardens from Rs. 29–10–7 to Rs. 1–12–3, while in the adjoining Government taluks the dry rates vary from As. 8 to Rs. 3, wet rates from Rs. 2–8–0 to Rs. 7 and garden lands pay dry rates only. No information as to recourse to Rent Act has been furnished.

2174/13

Medaru.—The ryots were much pressed by the imposition of enhanced rates during the lifetime of the late zemindar. Unauthorised enhancements have, however, since been done away with and the tenants are in a better position than the ryots of the neighbouring estates.

Telaprole.—The dry rates vary from As. 5–6 to Rs. 7–14–0 and wet from Rs. 1–7–10 to Rs. 15–2–0. The ryots of the estate are said to be very troublesome and litigious. Those in deltaic villages are in a prosperous condition.

Bellary District—Ayyanna's estate.—The tenants of the estate are in a prosperous condition. No information has been given as to how far the Rent Act was availed of.

North Arcot District—Timmajammal's estate.—The ryots have no occupancy rights and their condition is still backward.

Pulicherla.—The condition of the ryots in this estate is fair. The tenants have occupancy rights and their lands have been paimashed (measured) and assessment fixed; but in most cases the assessment is rather heavy and it is on this account that the ryots are not in as good circumstances as could be desired. No information has been given as to the extent to which the Rent Recovery Act was availed of.

Chingleput District—Panaiyur.—The ryots are generally poor. They suffered much from the unfavorable character of the season in the preceding year, and the season in the year under report showed no improvement. A sum of Rs. 3,000 was recovered under the Rent Recovery Act. The rates of rent prevailing in this estate range from Rs. 3–8–0 to Rs. 6 per acre of wet land and Rs. 1–5–0 to Rs. 1–12–0 per acre of dry land.

Tanjore District—Ukkadai.—The tenants are generally poor. There was no necessity to have recourse to civil suits or to proceed under Act VIII of 1865.

Gandharvakóta.—Most of the ryots are poor labourers. The average rent paid by them is reported to be Rs. 1–5–5 per acre of dry and Rs. 2–5–9 per acre of wet lands. The average rent paid in the adjacent Government villages is Rs. 2–14–6 and Rs. 1–10–6 per acre of wet and dry lands, respectively. During the year under report Rs. 890 and Rs. 2,190 were recovered by coercive process under Rent Recovery Act and by means of civil suits respectively.

Mathur.—The tenants are generally poor.

Singavanam.—The ryots of this estate are very poor, possessing little or no moveables beyond a limited number of cattle. Some of them are reported to have emigrated to Colombo and other places in quest of employment. This is a common occurrence among the lower classes of Patukóta taluk. The rent per acre of dry and wet lands was, respectively, Rs. 1–4–0 and Rs. 8–4–10 against As. 5–3 and Rs. 3–15–6 in adjacent Government tracts. There was no occasion to have recourse to the Rent Recovery Act or to civil suits.

Palayavanam.—The ryots are generally poor and depend upon each year's outturn for their maintenance. Owing to two successive bad years, some are reported to have emigrated to Ceylon and other places. The rates of rent per acre of dry and wet lands were, respectively, Rs. 1–0–9 and Rs. 5–0–7 against Rs. 1–0–7 and Rs. 5–3–7 in adjacent Government villages. Rs. 1,000 were recovered by summary suits and Rs. 200 by civil suits.

Edamanal.—The tenants are generally poor.

Karuvalakarai.—The tenants of this estate also are generally poor. There was no necessity to go to court or to take steps under the Rent Recovery Act for the realization of the dues to the estate.

Trichinopoly estates.—The average rents paid by ryots in *Marungapuri* per acre of wet and dry lands is Rs. 2–10–4 and Rs. 1–2–7, respectively. The lands of the ryotwari estates are leased out in auction. No recourse was had to the Rent Recovery

Act either in Perumur or in B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate. In N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate, 18 suits involving Rs. 1,146-14-3, in Marungapuri, 119 suits involving Rs. 1,063-0-9, in N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate one suit involving Rs. 162-8-0, and in Singam Aiyangar's estate 14 suits involving Rs. 113-11-0 were filed. No recourse was had to the Civil courts except in N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate where one suit was filed for Rs. 130-5-0.

Madura District—Sáptúr.—The condition of the ryots of this estate is said to be improving. The average rent paid by each ryot per acre is Rs. 2-8-0. Recourse was had to the Rent Recovery Act and civil suits for the realization of about 6 per cent. of the demand.

Vadagarai.—No recourse was had to the Rent Recovery Act for the realization of the demand.

Mambarai.—The condition of the ryots is fair. The estate (other than home-farm lands) consists of dry lands only with an average assessment of Rs. 1-4-10. Three-fifths of the demand was realised without recourse to any coercive process at all and the remainder on issue of demand notices. There was no recourse to summary or civil suits.

Tinnevelly District—Anavaratham Pillai's estate.—The estate is ryotwari and the condition of the tenants, who are tenants-at-will, is fairly satisfactory.

Etaiyápuram.—The ryots of this estate are better off than the neighbouring Government ryots. The dry assessment is lighter than in Government tracts. The soil is generally good and the dry pannai (home-farm) lands fetch as much as Rs. 5 to Rs. 8-8-0 per acre. The wet and garden rates are high. The property of 632 defaulters had to be distrained, but the number whose property was actually sold was only 109.

Coimbatore District—Métráthi.—The ryots are generally as well off as those in the adjoining Government villages. No recourse was had either to the Rent Recovery Act or to civil suits for the realisation of the demand. The average rent paid by the tenants was Rs. 1-3-0 per acre and by the lessee of home-farm lands Rs. 1-11-0

Nilgiri District—Neliyalam.—The ryots are generally in reduced circumstances owing to the partial failure of crops during the last three years. They are said to complain of the high rate of assessment imposed at the recent settlement in addition to the jeumabhogam payable to the proprietor. No proceedings were taken under the Rent Recovery Act to recover rents, nor were any civil suits filed for this purpose. The average rent per acre of wet and dry is Rs. 1-2-1 and Rs. 1-2-0, respectively.

Salem District—Erumaipah.—The ryots are reported to be better off than their neighbours in other proprietary estates though the assessment is heavy. The average rents per acre on wet, garden and dry lands are as under:—

	Average paimash rent.	Average cowle rent.
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Wet.	8 14 1	6 9 4
Garden	6 3 0	4 15 0
Dry	1 11 2	1 6 2

The ryots are very litigious and irregular in paying their kists. Immoveable property was attached in fifteen cases and moveable property was proceeded against in sixteen cases, but in all but one the rents were paid up before the property was actually brought to sale.

Shalagiri.—The average rent per acre of land in this estate amounts to Rs. 1-15-0 in the case of dry lands and to Rs. 3-12-0 in the case of wet lands. The average dry rate is higher than the average rate of adjoining Government lands, but the average wet-rate is lower. No suits were filed in the Civil courts for recovery of rent. Twenty-nine notices of attachment were issued for recovery of rent amounting to Rs. 568, but eventually property was distrained only in twelve cases in respect of a

sum of Rs. 286. Application for sale of distrained property was made, and sale ordered in five cases involving Rs. 119, but the rents were paid up before the property was actually sold.

Velagiri.—The ryots are said to consist of two classes:—

(1) Pallies, who are contented and peaceful, and (2) Reddies, who are troublesome and litigious. The average rent per acre of wet land is Rs. 6-2-5 and of dry land Rs. 1-6-5. By the recent survey the incidence of land-tax per head of population has been raised to Rs. 1-8-6 from Rs. 1-4-11 in the previous fasli. The average size of holdings was acres 7·31 during the year. In 93 cases involving an arrear of Rs. 2,411 moveable property was proceeded against during the year, but eventually property was brought to sale only in one instance for Rs. 18. In eight cases, involving an arrear of Rs. 95, land was proceeded against, but Rs. 44 was collected before the sale. In some cases the lands, when brought to sale, had to be purchased on behalf of the estate for want of bidders.

South Canara District—B. Sarvotama Rao's estate.—The condition of the ryots does not differ in any way from that of the ryots of adjoining estates.

K. Puttamma's estate.—The condition of ryots was fairly good as in previous years. The Rent Recovery Act was had recourse to only in one case.

Malabar District.—Kavalpara.—The condition of the tenants, most of whom are in poor circumstances, compares favorably with that of the tenantry of other janmis. It is reported that the rents paid by ryots per acre of wet and dry lands cannot be fixed separately. The Rent Recovery Act has been a dead letter in this district. Only two suits, involving Rs. 143-6-3, were instituted and they were decided in favour of the estate.

33. *Inam alienations.*—The revised statement of alienations called for in Government Order, dated 29th May 1888, Mis. No. 3094, Revenue, was submitted to Government with Court's Proceedings, dated 14th July 1891, No. 1800. Parlákimedi and Royakota being left out of account, there were 34 proprietary estates under management at the close of the year. The inquiry as regards the inams subsequent to the permanent settlement in the ten estates noted on the margin has already been completed and the results have been embodied in the Court's Proceedings above quoted. In the Kurla estate, since handed over, the inquiry was, the Court regrets to observe, not completed. Reports on alienations in Tangellamúdi and Mambarai have been received and will shortly be disposed of. The Collectors concerned state that the inquiry as regards the alienations in Dharakót, Bodogodo, Aska, Kallikót, Telaprole, Sáptúr, Etaiyápuram and Velagiri is progressing. No information on the point has been furnished in the Collectors' administration reports in regard to the undermentioned estates:—

Jarada, Sripuram, Gandharvakóta, Métráthi, Erumaipati and Shalagiri. Lakkavaram, Panasiyer, Singavaram, Vádmáta,

Chidikada, Chípurupalle, Appikonda, Belgám, Kurupám, Pithápuram, Kapileswarapuram, Mailavaram, Meduru, Timmajammal's estate, Pulicherla, Palayavanam and Marungápuri.

The Court notes, however, that the inquiry into alienations in Chidikada has been allowed to lie over pending decision of the suit by the Bobbili Raja referred to in paragraph 31 *supra*. The Collector of Vizagapatam is requested to expedite the further information regarding alienations in Chípurupalle called for in Court's Proceedings, dated 28th January 1890, No. 240. Steps have been taken to set aside the alienations in Timmajammal's estate made by the ward's mother.

The attention of the Collectors concerned will be drawn to paragraph 4 of Government Order, dated 19th September 1882, No. 1149, Revenue, communicated with Court's Proceedings, dated 9th January 1884, No. 41, directing the submission of reports on alienations within one year of assumption of charge of estates.

34. *General remarks.*—The Collector of Kistna reports that the karnams of the zemindari estates under management in his district are growing insubordinate and that efficiency of administration cannot be secured until these karnams are brought under

complete control. The survey of the Meduru estate has, as already reported, been finished, while that of the Kapileswarapuram and Telaprole estates is progressing. The adoption of the Meduru minor's father by the zemindarni of Nidadavól having been set aside by the Sub-Court, the Meduru minor himself was, with the sanction of the Court of Wards, adopted by the zemindarni, Raja Papamma Rao, with the stipulation, among others, that she should enjoy the estate during her lifetime. The forests in Panaiyur are in a flourishing condition and orders have been issued for reserving them. The forests in Sáptúr were under the District Forest-officer. Some of the important village accounts prescribed in the Government Village Manual were introduced in the year in the Etaiyápuram estate. All the village accounts in the Shulagiri estate are reported to be maintained properly in accordance with the instructions contained in the new Village Manual.

35. *Conclusion.*—The Court regrets the delay of three months in the submission of this report. It is due mainly to the Collectors' reports not having been received in time and to inaccuracies in the statements forwarded by certain Collectors necessitating references back to districts.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1300 (1890-91).

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates came under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sexes of the wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					YR. MS.	YR. MS. DYS.	
Ganjam	Parlákimedi *	No. 1279, dated 22nd June 1850	Male	Imbecility	60 11	61 0 0	....
	Jarada	" 3454, " 10th July 1880	Do.	Minority	10 11	20 1 26	4th May 1892.
	Dharakota	" 149, " 6th February 1884	Do.	Do.	6 5	15 8 23	7th October 1896.
	Badagada	" 1026, " 11th September 1885	Do.	Do.	5 9	6 3 16	15th March 1906.
	Kurla †	" 610, " 22nd July 1886	Do.	Do.	4 11	20 7 18	13th November 1891.
	Aska	" 789, " 6th September 1886	Female	Sex	4 10	28 0 0	....
	Kallikota	" 1035, " 4th November 1887	Male	Minority	3 8	19 5 10	20th January 1893.
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	" 2030, " 25th September 1877	Female	Sex	13 9	51 6 28	....
	Sriparam	" 1449, " 5th " 1878	Male	Minority	12 10	16 10 5	26th August 1895.
	Chipurupalle	" 510, " 13th May 1863	Female	Sex	9 2	53 0 0	....
	Appikonda	" 337, " 13th " 1886	Male	Minority	5 2	14 5 20	11th January 1898.
	Balgam ‡	" 191, " 14th March 1889	Female	Sex	2 4	28 0 0	....
	Kurupam	" 128, " 19th February 1891	Male	Minority	0 4	13 9 25	5th September 1898.
Godávari	Lakkavaram	" 2191, " 14th December 1879	Do.	Do.	11 7	15 2 6	23rd April 1897.
	Tangellamudi	" 834, " 15th October 1889	Do.	Do.	1 5	11 8 15	16th October 1900.
	Pithápuram	" 678, " 19th August 1890	Do.	Do.	0 10	5 8 26	5th " 1906.
Kistna	Medavaram	" 662, " 15th September 1887	Do.	Do.	3 8	17 5 16	15th January 1895.
	Kapileswarapuram	" 903, " 15th " "	Do.	Do.	3 7	17 9 21	9th September 1894.
	Meduru	" 179, " 1st March 1888	Do.	Do.	3 4	4 3 23	8th March 1908.
	Telaprole	" 347, " 19th May 1890	Do.	Do.	1 0	1 10 6	31st August 1910.
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	" 699, " 13th August 1889	Do.	Do.	1 6	5 8 9	1st November 1906.
North Arcot	Ammajammal's estate	" 299, " 18th March 1885	Female	Do.	6 4	12 3 20	11th March 1900.
	Palicheria	" 711, " 22nd July 1887	Male	Do.	3 11	16 9 9	21st September 1895.
Chingleput	Panaiyur	" 233, " 4th March 1887	Do.	Do.	4 4	18 4 20	11th February 1894.
Tanjore	Ukkadal	" 1471, " 12th July 1879	Do.	Do.	11 10	18 11 15	16th July 1893.
	Gandharvakotta	" 493, " 9th March 1881	Do.	Do.	10 4	17 6 20	11th December 1894.
	Mathur	" 1292, " 20th November 1882	Do.	Do.	8 6	19 10 24	6th September 1893.
	Singavanam	" 150, " 1st February 1884	Do.	Do.	7 5	18 2 15	15th April 1894.
	Palayavanam	" 485, " 16th May 1887	Do.	Do.	4 1	8 9 4	27th March 1904.
	Kilanattal	" 809, " 21st November 1888	Do.	Do.	2 7	11 10 6	25th August 1900.
	Karuvalakarai	" 937, " 27th July 1889	Do.	Do.	1 10	5 11 15	15th " 1906.
Trichinopoly	Paramur §	" 2085, " 30th Nov. 1868; " 5931, " 25th Sept. 1860	Do.	Do.	22 7	18 1 16	15th May 1894.
	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	" 1545, " 18th November 1880	Do.	Do.	10 4	15 6 23	8th December 1896.
	Marungapuri	" 163, " 22nd February 1888	Do.	Do.	3 3	6 7 23	8th November 1905.

* The immovable property attached to the estate has been handed over to the ward's eldest son.
† Since handed over.
‡ The proprietrix has since adopted a son who has been placed under the care of the Court of Wards.
§ The imbecile ward died during the fasli and the estate continues under the Court of Wards on behalf of his minor son.

No. I.—Statement showing the ages, &c., of the wards and the period during which the estates have been under management for fasli 1300—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Government Orders by which the estates were under the management of the Court of Wards.	Sex of the wards.	Disabilities.	The period during which the estates have been under management.	Ages of the wards at the end of the fasli.	Dates on which the wards will attain majority.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					YR. MN.	YR. MN. DYS.	
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	No. 477, dated 20th September 1888	Male	Minority	2 3	15 3 4	27th January 1894.
	Singara Aiyangar's estate	" 780, " 15th November 1889	Do.	Do.	2 5	18 10 8	22nd August 1894.
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	" 734, " 14th September 1890	Do.	Do.	4 2	12 10 9	31st August 1901.
Madura	Sivaganga	" 5, " 5th January 1888; " 61, " 26th " 1888	Do.	Do.	3 6	9 11 23	7th July 1902.
	Velagari	" 1681, " 7th November 1882	Do.	Do.	2 2	17 3 7	24th December 1894.
	Manthani	" 12, " 10th January 1889	Do.	Do.	2 5	11 7 19	12th November 1900.
Tinnevelly	Vadamalai	" 2084, " 17th Nov. 1879; " 2663, " 4th May 1888	Do.	Do.	11 9	12 9 20	16th September 1899.
	Anavarathan Pillai's estate	" 359, " 4th July 1889	Do.	Do.	1 4	13 9 9	21st November 1898.
	Elayirampannai	" 487, " 22nd June 1889	Do.	Do.	1 9	7 6 1	20th December 1899.
Coimbatore	Metrathi *	" 800, " 14th July 1888	Do.	Do.	7 11	19 6 23	8th January 1902.
Nilgiris	Nellyalam *	" 364, " 11th April 1887	Do.	Do.	4 3	The ward died on 17th September 1890.	
Salem	Erumaipatti †	" 894, " 5th June 1874	Female	Imbecility	17 1	40 2 0	......
	Shevagiri	" 794, " 28th July 1883	Male	Minority	3 11	9 2 12	19th March 1903.
	Talaivasal	" 825, " 2nd June 1887	Do.	Do.	4 1	14 5 21	9th January 1898.
	Ravakota	" 998, " 5th December 1889	Do.	Do.	....	8 4 19	3rd December 1903.
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate ‡	" 1730, " 14th August 1879	Do.	Do.	13 11	20 7 21	10th December 1891.
	K. Pattamma's estate §	" 471, " 18th July 1883	Female	Lunacy	1 11	78 5 2	......
Malabar	Kavalappara	" 1161, " 30th August 1872; " 599, " 21st June 1887	Do.	Sex	18 11	33 8 0	......

* The ward having died on 13th September 1891, the estate has since been handed over to his uncle.
† Will shortly be handed over to the next heir.
‡ Since handed over.
§ Since dead.

No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	NUMBER OF VILLAGES. Ryotwari or jeiau-rent.	Rented to middlemen whether paying rent in money or kind.	Partly ryotwari and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriam.	Total.	FASLI 1299. Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	FASLI 1300. Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Ganjam	Parlakimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Jarada	31	..	..	31	62	* 3,542	* 3,542	100·	3,542	2,953	83·4
	Dharakota	112	23	8	44	187	* 15,778	* 15,760	99·9	15,898	15,898	100·
	Budagoda	118	197	3	19	337	* 7,743	* 7,743	100·	7,763	7,763	100·
	Kurla	12	1	..	2	15	1,964	1,960	99·7	1,700	1,700	100·
	Aska	9	2	..	1	12	† 1,767	† 1,767	100·	1,772	1,763	99·5
	Kallikota	318	96	8	141	‡ 563	60,084	54,582	90·8	73,195	67,780	92·6
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	5	..	..	..	5	2,218	2,209	99·5	2,203	2,203	100·
	Sripuram	5	..	..	4	9	2,912	2,912	100·	2,925	2,925	100·
	Chipurupalle	1	..	..	..	1	2,488	2,488	100·	2,476	2,476	100·
	Appikonda	1	..	..	..	1	302	302	100·	302	302	100·
	Belgam	27	..	..	..	27	4,186	4,060	96·9	3,899	3,899	100·
	Kurupam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godavari	Lakkavaram	..	7	..	..	7	735	700	95·2	860	860	100·
	Tangellamudi	6	..	..	2	8	5,035	4,846	96·2	5,127	5,127	100·
	Pithapuram	142	22	3	1	168	..	..	..	127,768	110,527	86·5
	Mallavaram	12	3	..	1	16	8,992	7,458	82·9	9,283	7,749	83·4
	Kapileswarapuram	27	5	..	25	57	23,175	17,970	77·5	23,304	19,705	85·8
Kistna	Medur	33	4	..	35	72	‡ 24,291	‡ 22,867	94·1	24,777	23,417	94·5
	Telaprolu	38	5	..	16	59	..	..	..	26,143	26,095	99·8
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	..	..	..	..	..	† 58	† 58	100·	58	58	100·
North Arcot	Tirumalammal's estate	6	..	..	6	32	1,215	1,104	90·8	1,210	1,111	91·1
	Palicheria	33	..	..	5	38	816	645	79·0	821	657	80·0
Chingleput	Panaiyur	11	3	..	..	14	4,431	2,866	64·6	5,354	3,453	64·5
Tanjore	Ukkadai	..	..	..	..	..	2,521	2,141	84·9	2,521	2,141	84·9
	Gandharvakotta	42	..	..	11	53	§ 23,016	§ 21,090	95·7	23,147	22,298	96·3
	Mathur	..	..	..	..	..	615	612	99·5	615	613	99·5
	Singavanam	12	..	..	10	22	2,736	1,174	42·9	2,962	1,213	40·9
	Palayavanam	1	1	27	23	52	2,193	1,419	64·7	2,363	1,613	68·2
	Edamanal	..	..	..	..	..	1,526	1,503	98·5	4,526	1,489	97·5
	Karuvalakarai	..	..	..	..	..	192	192	100·	192	192	100·
Trichinopoly	Perambur	..	..	..	..	..	2,449	2,025	82·6	2,449	2,071	84·6
	S. Dorasawmy Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	4,059	3,522	86·5	4,069	3,556	87·4
	Marungapuri	78	..	..	22	100	35,465	33,036	93·1	35,598	33,706	94·7
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	5,216	5,122	98·1	4,716	4,629	98·1
	Singara Aiyangar's estate	..	..	..	..	..	975	945	96·9	975	950	97·4
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	792	783	98·8

* Includes the area of rented villages not shown last year.
† This is the correct figure.
‡ Correct figures are not available, as the karnams keep no cultivation accounts.
‡ The difference is not explained by the Collector.
§ Excluding seven deserted villages.

No. II-A.—Statement showing the number of villages and the proportion of cultivation to holdings in the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Number of villages.					Fasli 1299.			Fasli 1300.		
		Ryotwari or quit-rent.	Rented to middlemen whether paying rent in money or kind.	Partly ryotwari and partly rented.	Inam or shrotriem.	Total.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.	Holdings.	Actual cultivation.	Percentage of cultivation to holdings.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
							ACS.	ACS.		ACS.	ACS.	
Madura	Sipdir	17	..	..	2	19	21,675	18,414	83·7	22,965	18,508	82·9
	Valagoond	..	..	..	..	..	† 477	412	86·4	481	394	81·9
	Mambarai	3	..	..	..	3	4,993	2,327	54·6	4,278	2,290	50·2
	Vadamitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	Anavarathan Pillai's estate	..	..	..	..	..	† 210	† 182	86·6	213	177	83·1
	Eraiyiapuram	300	21	..	39	301	..	..	..	273,062	266,004	97·5
Coimbatore	Metrathi	1	..	..	..	1	2,960	4,177	70·1	6,492	3,306	51·6
Nilgiris	Nellyalam	..	..	..	..	..	† 7,411	† 1,398	18·6	7,381	1,411	19·7
Salem	Erumalpatti	12	..	..	1	13	7,074	6,287	88·9	7,079	6,080	85·9
	Shalagiri	90	40	..	49	139	6,452	5,491	84·3	6,738	5,953	88·8
	Yelagiri	12	1	..	..	13	8,947	8,915	99·4	7,860	7,789	99·1
	Rayakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	R. Sarvottama Rao's estate	..	..	..	..	..	300	300	100·	302	302	100·
	K. Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	948	945	99·7	954	954	100
Malabar	Karalpara	..	..	..	..	..	16,025	11,715	73·4	16,026	11,715	73·4
	Total ..	1,475	432	43	108	2,456	290,279	257,163	88·6	773,934	705,965	91·4

† This is the correct figure.

2194/17

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue.						Other items.	Total.
		Dry.		Wet.		Total.			
		Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlakimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Jarada	686	1,332	2,856	16,731	3,542	18,063	..	18,063
	Dharakota	3,217	6,867	7,419	38,946	(a) 10,632	45,813	25,440	71,253
	Budagoda	3,016	2,134	4,747	12,327	7,768	14,461	5,453	19,914
	Kurla	476	1,643	951	5,718	(a) 1,407	7,361	1,835	9,196
	Asha	442	2,213	1,181	7,090	(a) 1,623	9,303	1,160	10,463
	Kallikota	19,759	13,286	46,021	2,05,347	(a) 66,780	2,18,633	64,669	2,83,302
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	820	2,724	1,383	14,825	2,203	17,549	..	17,549
	Seipuram	1,272	2,952	1,653	11,824	2,925	14,776	..	14,776
	Chipurupalle	2,420	6,320	56	426	2,476	6,746	..	6,746
	Appikonda	254	953	48	352	302	1,305	..	1,305
	Belgam	764	1,365	3,135	33,129	3,899	34,494	..	34,494
	Kurupam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godavari	Lakkavaram	52	196	315	881	* 368	1,077	7,185	8,262
	Tangellamudi	1,343	3,207	2,434	16,553	* 4,477	19,860	..	19,860
	Pithapuram	53,715	3,01,816	74,053	4,74,789	127,768	7,76,605	..	7,76,605
Kistna	Mallavaram	7,351	7,990	1,937	4,449	9,288	12,439	8,982	21,421
	Kapileswarapuram	15,985	31,946	7,519	40,912	23,504	72,858	870	73,728
	Medura	17,228	39,820	7,549	31,008	24,777	70,828	568	71,396
	Telaprole	17,729	38,864	8,416	45,363	26,145	84,227	870	85,097
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Appanna's estate	22	..	36	..	58	..	600	600
North Arcot	Timmayammal's estate	1,134	2,548	85	471	1,219	3,019	..	3,019
Chingleput	Pallicheria	471	1,084	350	4,722	821	5,806	..	5,806
	Pamiyur	3,010	4,311	1,040	5,836	* 4,050	10,147	..	10,147
Tanjore	Ukkadai	991	..	1,530	..	2,521	..	25,179	25,179
	Ghandarvakota	17,587	21,180	5,560	11,417	23,147	32,597	..	32,597
	Mathur	114	..	501	..	615	..	6,946	6,946
	Singavaram	219	272	994	8,305	† 1,213	8,577	..	8,577
	Palayavanam	756	826	856	4,340	† 1,812	5,166	..	5,166
	Ettanadai	492	..	1,034	..	1,526	..	17,330	17,330
	Kuruvalakarai	44	..	148	..	192	..	2,673	2,673
Trichinopoly	Poranur	2,051	..	398	..	2,449	..	11,378	11,378
	N. Duraiswamy Aiyar's estate	3,037	..	1,032	..	4,069	..	21,125	21,125
	Marungapuri	29,281	34,003	6,317	16,723	35,598	50,726	7,003	57,729
	N. Rangaswamy Aiyar's estate	3,388	..	1,328	..	4,716	..	25,185	25,185
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	654	..	321	..	975	..	7,434	7,434
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	81	..	711	..	792	..	11,178	11,178

* The difference between the figures in column 11 of statement No. II-A and these figures is due to the former representing the total area under the holding of ryots and the latter only the area under the money-rent system corresponding with the assessments entered in column 8.

† The entries in columns 3 to 8 of this statement against these two estates have been made with reference to the actual cultivation, and the Collector has not yet forwarded the revised figures called for.

(a) This figure differs from the figure in II-A and the Collector will be requested to explain.

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Land Revenue. Dry. Extent.	Land Revenue. Dry. Assessment.	Land Revenue. Wet. Extent.	Land Revenue. Wet. Assessment.	Land Revenue. Total. Extent.	Land Revenue. Total. Assessment.	Other Items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	ACS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Madura ..	Sáptúr ..	20,364	23,498	1,721	27,301	22,085	50,799	..	50,799
	Vadagarai ..	260	..	274	..	491	..	3,683	3,683
	Manachéri ..	3,477	3,393	601	2,621	4,078	6,019	..	6,019
Tinnevelly ..	Vadimitta ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Ammaiyappan Pillai's estate ..	47	..	166	..	213	..	1,424	1,424
	Ettaiyapuram ..	267,616	2,56,838	5,446	21,291	273,062	2,78,129	4,659	2,82,788
Coimbatore ..	Metupalli ..	6,472	7,390	..	..	6,423	7,390	..	7,390
Nilgiris ..	Neiyasam ..	7,240	..	272	..	7,512	..	6,247	6,247
Salem ..	Erumaipatti ..	7,532	12,133	444	5,744	7,976	17,877	..	17,877
	Sholagiri ..	6,463	7,142	293	3,630	6,756	10,772	3,281	14,053
	Yelagiri ..	6,946	9,742	904	3,541	7,850	13,283	147	13,430
	Devakota ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara ..	B. Sarvottama Rao's estate ..	200	..	102	..	302	..	4,294	4,294
	K. Puttamma's estate ..	456	..	498	..	954	..	10,679	10,679
Malabar ..	Kavalpara ..	11,722	..	4,394	..	16,116	..	20,035	20,035
	Total ..	637,413	8,51,397	109,431	10,73,121	746,844	19,18,618	3,13,340	22,41,358

2194/18

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Jodi or quit-rent on inams.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Land-cess.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Water-rate and second-crop assessment.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Other Items.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Total.	Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1299.	Difference.
1	2	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam ..	Parlákimedi ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Jarada ..	1,395	933	..	2,328	4,663	22,745	4,870	17,875	22,529	− 4,654
	Chemudu ..	2,594	2,351	..	5,029	10,274	81,527	7	81,520	74,599	+ 6,921
	Sudagode ..	651	1,295	..	4,801	6,747	26,661	..	26,661	25,888	+ 773
	Kurla ..	358	479	..	857	1,694	10,781	..	10,781	10,250	+ 531
	Aska ..	369	461	..	385	1,215	11,518	258	11,260	10,211	+ 1,049
	Kallikota ..	15,941	16,162	..	5,835	37,938	3,21,240	3,884	3,17,356	3,23,601	− 6,245
	Chikkada ..	351	955	79	3,877	5,262	22,789	..	22,789	22,656	+ 133
	Seripuram ..	882	701	1,555	1,143	4,281	19,157	..	19,157	18,996	+ 161
Vizagapatam ..	Chipurupalle ..	129	278	35	1,497	1,939	8,665	16	8,649	8,830	− 181
	Appikonda ..	89	146	..	8,631	8,867	9,512	..	9,512	8,996	+ 516
	Belgam ..	2,610	2,169	..	704	5,483	39,977	..	39,977	42,305	− 2,328
	Kurupam ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godávari ..	Lakkavaram ..	1,229	226	50	3,469	4,974	13,236	..	13,236	13,593	− 357
	Tangellamúdi ..	1,116	834	14	6,855	8,819	28,679	..	28,679	33,663	− 4,984
	Pithapuram ..	12,022	31,415	68,787	48,809	1,61,033	9,42,658	..	9,42,658	..	..
	Mallavaram ..	198	428	545	1,199	2,370	23,791	1,156	22,635	24,244	− 1,609
Kistna ..	Kapileswarapuram ..	9,109	2,550	1,666	13,892	27,217	1,00,945	611	1,00,334	1,23,732	− 23,398
	Mukara ..	12,345	2,191	396	13,284	28,216	99,812	278	99,534	1,26,861	− 27,327
	Telaprole ..	10,919	2,806	87	25,233	39,045	1,24,142	55	1,24,087	..	..
Bellary ..	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate ..	..	..	..	22	22	721	..	721	784	− 63
North Arcot ..	Thanmajammal's estate ..	240	230	..	846	1,316	4,335	..	4,335	3,805	+ 530
	Pulicherla ..	2,877	711	1,089	2,519	7,196	13,602	865	12,737	12,944	− 207
Chingleput ..	Panaiyur ..	32	319	269	12,378	12,998	23,136	3,119	20,017	19,326	+ 691
Tanjore ..	Ukkadai ..	..	..	64	712	776	25,955	..	25,955	29,356	− 3,401
	Gandharvakóta ..	94	1,247	222	4,668	6,231	38,828	..	38,828	36,450	+ 2,378
	Mathur ..	..	..	..	45	45	6,991	..	6,991	7,999	− 1,008
	Singavanam ..	632	168	..	4,465	5,265	13,832	..	13,832	2,548	+ 11,284
	Palayavanam ..	245	125	63	1,115	1,548	6,714	..	6,714	5,193	+ 1,521
	Edamanal ..	..	..	..	663	663	17,993	..	17,993	21,260	− 3,267
	Karuvalakarai ..	..	..	..	27	27	2,700	..	2,700	2,863	− 163
	Peramur ..	..	..	2	263	265	11,643	..	11,643	11,584	+ 59
Trichinopoly ..	N. Duraiswamy Aiyar's estate ..	..	2	369	67	438	21,563	..	21,563	22,022	− 459
	Marangapuri ..	99	1,700	767	7,188	9,754	67,477	5,851	61,626	61,237	+ 389
	N. Rangaswamy Aiyar's estate ..	..	..	243	82	325	25,509	..	25,509	30,779	− 5,270
	Singam Aiyangar's estate ..	..	54	3	90	147	7,581	..	7,581	7,509	+ 72
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate ..	..	..	83	1,247	1,330	12,508	..	12,508	..	..

No. II-B.—Statement showing the settled demand of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Miscellaneous Revenue. Jodi or quit-rent on inams.	Land-cess.	Water-rate and miscellaneous assessment.	Other Items.	Total.	Total of columns 10 and 15.	Deduct remissions.	Net revenue.	Net demand for fasli 1299.	Difference.
		11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Madura	Sáptúr	..	1,127	2,882	15,348	19,487	70,236	9,788	60,450	43,350	+ 17,100
	Vadagarai	..	..	..	552	550	4,633	..	4,633	4,461	+ 172
	Mambarai	..	186	..	557	743	4,962	569	4,393	4,276	+ 117
Tinnevelly	Vadamalai	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Annavaratham Pillai's estate	..	..	..	222	222	1,648	..	1,648	2,971	— 1,323
	Ettaiyapuram	2,360	9,779	4,372	49,404	65,914	3,68,102	4,476	3,63,626	..	..
Coimbatore	Metrichi	18	244	..	1	263	7,851	91	7,760	7,148	+ 612
Nilgiris	Nellyalam	..	..	..	1,027	1,027	7,374	..	7,374	8,719	— 1,345
Salem	Kurumbapatti	..	329	93	998	1,417	18,384	3,187	15,197	15,405	— 208
	Sholagiri	4,117	347	13	2,270	6,308	21,613	1,339	19,680	20,425	— 738
	Yelagiri	123	333	93	4,224	4,845	20,374	946	19,428	16,658	+ 2,770
	Kevakulu	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	S. Saravanama Rao's estate	..	..	..	21	21	4,415	..	4,415	4,311	+ 104
	K. Puttamma's estate	..	..	..	..	..	10,678	..	10,678	9,977	+ 701
Malabar	Kavalappara	..	..	..	1,061	1,061	27,286	..	27,286	27,431	— 145
	Total ..	23,165	14,335	25,648	2,79,304	4,31,969	27,77,106	41,365	27,36,941	..	..

No. III.—Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	Demand. Arrears.	Demand. Current.	Demand. Total.	Collection. Arrears.	Collection. Current.	Collection. Total.	Amount written off.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlakimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Jarada	11,997	17,875	29,872	9,379	11,221	20,601	135
	Dharakota	* 43,423	81,539	1,24,942	25,200	77,019	1,02,219	..
	Bodogoda	19,978	26,661	46,639	7,885	21,645	29,530	..
	Karla	12,619	10,781	23,400	5,028	8,341	13,369	..
	Aska	8,818	11,260	20,078	3,987	9,298	13,285	..
	Kallikota	4,92,990	3,17,298	8,10,288	67,899	2,81,636	3,49,535	1,86,428
Vizagapatam	Chikati	8,949	22,782	31,731	7,355	20,195	27,550	785
	Sriperam	2,946	19,157	22,103	2,510	16,563	19,073	389
	Chipurupalle	9,455	8,669	18,124	1,866	3,193	5,059	641
	Appakonda	5,113	9,512	15,625	1,612	7,657	9,270	3,617
	Belgam	21,499	39,977	61,476	5,934	31,389	37,323	..
	Kurupam	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godavari	Lakkavaram	1,186	13,236	14,422	978	12,111	13,089	76
	Tangellamudi	9,841	28,679	38,520	2,455	23,754	26,209	1,925
	Pithapuram	9,61,753	9,42,658	19,04,411	91,868	7,79,475	8,71,341	..
Kistna	Mailavaram	1,345	24,608	25,953	829	24,247	25,076	39
	Kapileswarapuram	97,073	1,00,314	1,97,407	17,154	83,697	1,00,851	18,911
	Medur	1,60,155	99,964	2,60,117	32,109	68,642	1,00,751	176
	Tetaprolu	3,12,113	1,24,087	4,36,200	35,642	73,129	1,08,771	..
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	..	721	721	..	721	721	..
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	1,570	4,335	5,905	..	4,335	4,335	28
Chingleput	Pulicherla	† 7,393	12,737	29,327	897	12,633	13,030	7,104
	Panaiyar	† 11,775	20,017	31,792	6,929	11,097	18,026	1,230
Tanjore	Ukkadai	† 36,180	[illegible]	56,106	23,820	15,694	39,514	..
	Gandharvakotta	† 91,467	[illegible]	1,26,225	24,777	33,660	58,437	10,151
	Mathur	352	[illegible]	7,353	170	6,560	6,730	115
	Singavanam	† 2,786	[illegible]	17,617	1,984	5,955	7,939	..
	Palayavanam	† 5,496	[illegible]	12,210	1,340	2,878	4,218	2,041
	Edamanal	3,892	[illegible]	21,685	2,316	13,048	15,564	24
	Karuvalakarai	93	2,700	2,793	57	2,178	2,235	15
Trichinopoly	Peramur	1,741	11,643	13,384	1,451	10,158	11,609	12
	N. Duraiswamy Aiyar's estate	† 5,663	21,863	27,528	3,090	16,218	18,308	1,092
	Marungapuri	† 11,358	61,326	73,014	3,626	53,904	57,530	1,236
	N. Rangaswamy Aiyar's estate	† 10,006	25,509	35,875	7,254	15,074	22,328	1,341
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	* 3,963	7,591	10,584	2,747	5,529	8,276	..
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	445	[illegible]	12,953	..	11,122	11,122	..
Madura	Sáptúr	15,287	[illegible]	75,817	6,999	51,789	58,788	550
	Vadagarai	2,282	[illegible]	6,915	1,494	3,139	4,633	..
	Mambarai	2,654	[illegible]	3,056	2,009	4,888	6,897	..

* Difference due apparently to rounding.

† Differs from the figure entered in column 18 of Statement II-B, and the Collector has been requested to explain.

No. III.—*Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)*—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Balance at the close of the fasli. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Percentage of balance to demand. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Amount subsequently collected and remitted. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Balance.
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		RS.	RS.	RS.				RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Ganjam	Parlakimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Jalada	2,492	6,644	9,136	26·3	27·2	18·9	..	..	..	..
	Dharakot	17,282	4,532	21,723	23·7	4·5	17·4	54	3,269	3,323	6,813
	Bodogodo	12,093	5,424	17,500	40·2	16·5	36·7	715	473	1,224	20,409
	Kurla	7,563	2,460	10,023	40·1	27·6	42·9	409	1,750	2,164	14,848
	Aska	4,951	1,966	6,711	54·2	17·4	32·1	79	214	293	3,739
	Kallikot	2,39,992	35,720	2,74,102	48·4	11·2	33·9	21	856	876	8,917
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	839	3,582	4,419	9·2	11·5	19·8	996	9,898	10,994	2,53,229
	Sriparam	47	2,594	2,641	1·6	13·5	11·9	..	245	246	2,470
	Chipurupalle	4,948	3,477	12,423	72·5	63·3	49·6	..	1,813	1,823	818
	Appikonda	493	1,530	3,728	14·4	19·5	17·3	212	1,730	1,911	10,454
	Sangam	13,504	8,518	24,232	72·4	34·3	30·3	47	224	244	2,473
	Kurupam	..	..	..	..	..	..	784	1,122	1,827	22,325
Godavari	Lakkavaram	138	3,163	3,297	21·1	6·3	8·7	12	1,028	1,041	718
	Tangellamudi	6,411	4,936	11,346	33·4	27·2	29·0	1	1,569	1,570	8,816
	Pithapuram	8,83,387	1,33,383	10,16,770	50·4	12·3	34·7	..	25,902	25,902	9,74,309
Kistna	Mallavaram	477	361	838	34·3	1·3	3·3	74	170	244	290
	Kapileswarapuram	41,000	26,632	7,665	62·8	16·6	22·5	3,343	2,999	8,317	29,328
	Medaru	1,48,309	25,803	1,7[illegible]	93·1	33·0	33·9	3,180	3,569	9,216	1,89,221
	Telaprolu	2,70,471	50,358	3,2[illegible]	44·6	42·1	73·1	4,092	10,737	14,829	3,11,441
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
North Arcot	Timmapummal's estate	1,541	..	1,542	30·2	..	30·1	..	..	..	1,542
Chingleput	Pullicheri	49	104	153	1·3	6	9	89	43	132	41
	Ponneri	3,414	8,030	11,450	20·7	44·5	73·4	354	2,754	3,114	8,422
	Ukkadai	6,130	10,261	16,391	29·9	39·6	29·0	2,062	3,300	5,358	11,333
	Gandharvakottai	16,328	3,104	19,287	87·1	13·8	42·9	334	330	664	30,183
	Mathur	77	431	508	38·3	6·2	8·9	..	10	10	479
Tanjore	Singavanam	1,801	7,977	9,628	47·8	42·0	34·9	423	7,307	7,832	1,746
	Paliyavanam	3,113	3,838	6,951	30·8	57·3	49·7	152	390	528	3,393
	Edamanal	1,394	4,343	5,737	54·7	17·2	29·6	844	98	742	3,316
	Karuvalakudi	11	332	343	22·6	19·5	19·4	..	..	..	343
	Parannur	179	1,445	1,642	16·4	12·8	13·3	..	330	330	1,472
Trichinopoly	N. Duraiswamy Aiyar's estate	1,783	6,345	8,128	20·9	29·4	20·1	..	3,126	3,126	5,006
	Marungapuri	5,536	7,723	13,259	37·3	42·5	19·6	30	3,730	5,760	3,066
	N. Rangaswamy Aiyar's estate	1,471	10,433	11,904	14·6	69·9	17·4	233	3,402	3,635	8,281
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	250	2,052	2,304	8·2	77·1	11·5	38	404	443	1,868
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	446	1,368	1,831	29·0	11·1	16·1	..	112	112	1,700
Madura	Sapatu	7,895	9,001	16,879	30·6	14·2	21·2	4,303	5,471	10,003	4,344
	Vadagarai	790	1,494	2,283	31·6	32·2	33·6	..	308	308	1,973
	Mambadi	345	424	1,138	31·5	11·5	14·4	127	302	429	663

2194/20

No. III.—*Statement showing the demand, collection and balance of the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91)*—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Demand. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Collection. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Amount written off.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	100	1,646	1,746	100	1,588	1,688	..
	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	3,37,507	3,83,626	7,21,133	91,255	3,12,591	4,04,846	..
	Etaiyapuram	977	7,760	8,737	† 689	6,410	7,099	294
Coimbatore	Metrathi	16,366	7,274	23,640	3,763	4,080	7,843	1,749
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	3,723	13,197	16,920	1,419	14,943	16,361	302
Salem	Erumapatti	2,144	19,680	21,826	974	18,513	19,487	102
	Shulagiri	* 4,531	19,428	23,959	1,072	14,871	15,943	842
	Yelagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Royakota	323	4,415	4,738	307	4,200	4,507	..
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	* 3,363	10,678	14,041	2,322	8,781	11,103	343
	K. Puttamma's estate	895	27,286	28,181	464	22,393	22,859	‡ 448
Malabar	Kavalpara							
	Total ..	27,90,541	27,37,514	55,37,055	5,13,687	22,43,170	27,56,857	§ 2,42,138

Districts.	Estates.	Balance at the close of the fasli. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Percentage of balance to demand. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Amount subsequently collected and remitted. Arrears.	Current.	Total.	Balance.
		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
		RS.	RS.	RS.				RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	..	58	58	..	3·5	3·2	..	51	51	7
	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	2,76,252	50,035	3,26,287	75·1	13·7	44·6	1,109	14,910	16,019	3,10,268
	Etaiyapuram	..	1,350	1,350	..	17·4	15·4	..	709	709	641
Coimbatore	Metrathi	10,854	3,194	14,048	66·3	43·9	59·4	..	..	..	14,048
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	2	255	257	·1	1·7	1·8	2	255	257	..
Salem	Erumapatti	1,070	1,167	2,237	49·8	5·9	10·2	522	1,003	1,525	712
	Shulagiri	2,517	4,657	7,174	57·8	23·6	29·9	673	3,263	3,936	3,238
	Yelagiri	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Royakota	16	215	231	4·9	4·8	4·8	..	148	148	83
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	698	1,897	2,595	20·8	17·8	18·5	..	318	318	2,277
	K. Puttamma's estate	193	2,684	2,877	21·5	13·5	15·7	..	139	139	2,738
Malabar	Kavalpara										
	Total ..	20,43,931	4,94,135	25,38,066	73·0	18·1	45·8	27,652	1,55,801	1,83,453	23,54,613

*The figure now entered is correct.

† Includes Rs. 6, being excess collections.

‡ Rs. 209 relates to current.

§ Rs. 2,41,929, arrears and Rs. 209, current.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several Estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1300.				Cash receipts in fasli 1300.							
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain.	Amount realized by the sale of property as houses, land, jewels, Government securities, &c.	Amount borrowed.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	27,97,000	1,71,456	..	29,68,456	5,689	99,955	..	..	16,137	..	4,057	1,25,838
	Jarada	..	— 238	..	— 238	20,691	..	..	..	111	750	2,046	23,608
	Dhárákót	..	9,850	..	9,850	1,03,219	..	..	..	127	..	12,421	1,16,767
	Bodogodo	2,400	4,262	..	6,662	29,530	99	..	..	..	..	1,986	31,615
	Kurla	35,900	2,006	..	37,906	13,369	974	185	..	3,516	..	1,726	19,764
	Aska	49,000	4,040	..	53,040	13,285	1,300	4,110	..	1,362	..	1,665	21,722
	Kallikót	27,000	1,17,610	7,181	1,51,791 *	3,42,919	2,809	8,591	5,126	..	..	9,773	3,69,218
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	41,600	5,041	..	46,641	27,530	1,734	..	..	34	..	1,085	30,383
	Sripuram	8,800	13,296	..	22,096	19,073	394	..	..	639	..	143	20,249
	Chipurupalle	400	1,941	..	2,341	5,058	21	2,228	..	..	..	278	7,583
	Appikonda	5,000	12,452	..	17,452	9,276	..	9,592	..	5,519	..	4,709	29,090
	Belgám	..	2,399	..	2,399	37,323	..	698	..	3,156	3,600	482	44,659
	Kurupám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godávari	Lakkavaram	49,100	12,893	..	61,993 *	11,253	1,941	2,000	1,836	..	..	685	17,685
	Tangellamúdi	..	24,436	1,747	26,183 *	23,314	..	2,032	1,859	1,135	..	129	28,459
	Pithápuram	..	14,262	6,022	20,284 *	8,56,082	..	7,744	8,336	58,382	1,033	18,239	9,49,816
	Mailavaram	31,100	11,524	6,298	48,922 *	15,903	1,347	555	6,995	..	..	2,178	26,978
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	3,21,100	50,704	14	3,71,818 *	98,609	4,406	6,001	46	5,875	..	3,168	1,18,105
	Meduru	1,35,600	1,39,099	19	2,74,718 *	92,415	6,269	55,983	149	1,894	..	10,324	1,66,034
	Telaprole	12,000	8,732	5,573	26,305 *	1,04,987	390	19,631	5,576	5,275	..	7,948	1,43,807
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	..	2,353	105	2,460	721	..	152	301	1,538	..	101	2,813
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	..	3,792	..	3,792	4,335	..	..	..	17,533	..	5	21,873
Chingleput	Pulicherla	..	10,897	..	10,897 †	13,039	..	..	..	5,255	..	22	18,316
	Panaiyur	..	1,126	5,189	6,315	18,026	..	472	463	..	..	333	19,294
Tanjore	Ukkadai	15,000	24,150	1,139	40,289 *	2,977	..	1,004	38,355	222	..	9,925	52,483
	Gandharvakóta	..	7,626	1,206	8,832 *	56,835	..	446	1,585	27	..	1,992	60,885
	Mathur	..	3,804	564	4,368 *	276	..	3	6,229	14	..	67	6,589
	Singavanam	..	296	1,390	1,686 *	1,223	..	1,347	5,354	19	3,109	1,809	12,852
	Palayavanam	..	818	3,796	4,614 *	1,537	..	1	1,976	..	..	1,800	5,314
	Edamanal	..	1,425	18	1,443 *	1,499	..	121	13,245	4,162	..	26,039	45,066
	Karuvalakarai	..	2,439	421	2,860 *	553	..	236	1,974	67	..	50	2,883
Trichinopoly	Peramur	72,600	10,135	..	82,735	11,609	2,715	9	..	400	..	94	14,827
	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	..	1,799	..	1,799 ‡	18,215	..	..	..	33	..	739	18,987
	Marungápuri	..	9,170	..	9,170	57,530	..	2,421	..	310	..	919	61,180

* The difference between this and column 8 of statement III is due to the latter including grain collections.
† The Collector has not furnished satisfactory explanation for the difference.
‡ The difference between this and column 8 of statement III is due to the latter including advance collections.

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 14.	Charges.								
			Establishment for management and contingencies.	Máramut expenditure.	Government demand.		Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.	Repayment of debts.
					Peishkush.	Assessment and land-cess.					
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Parlákimedi	2,97,294	2,909	47,961	..	..	4,229	55,189	8,944	460	..
	Jarada	23,270	1,705	4,257	2,925	3,584	790	13,351	2,182	1,596	900
	Dhárákót	1,25,617	5,693	5,907	23,838	5,225	8,456	44,119	6,834	1,983	47,003
	Bodogodo	35,377	3,717	3,047	4,094	2,221	952	13,941	1,112	458	..
	Kurla	21,720	1,656	5,166	8,179	557	465	15,753	1,311	1,908	..
	Aska	25,762	1,071	4,957	5,718	640	454	12,840	3,000	661	..
	Kallikót	4,86,828	16,026	41,270	78,903	31,052	12,302	1,79,553	19,658	16,526	2,700
Vizagapatam	Chidikada	35,424	1,411	6,985	4,855	1,677	958	15,886	7,814	..	..
	Sripuram	33,545	1,568	880	8,786	1,003	700	12,937	3,278	..	..
	Chipurupalle	9,524	550	812	2,872	214	272	4,720	1,524	..	..
	Appikonda	41,542	2,294	2,628	601	582	712	6,817	4,001	650	4,990
	Belgám	47,968	2,886	5,210	10,263	3,009	1,631	22,999	2,805	1,007	11,670
	Kurupám	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godávari	Lakkavaram	30,578	1,523	1,822	4,713	1,756	494	10,308	1,560	180	132
	Tangellamúdi	52,895	3,082	2,343	4,500	2,927	1,082	13,934	120	..	7,529
	Pithapuram	9,64,978	61,610	10,910	2,69,213	1,25,613	..	4,67,446	26,574	6,451	2,59,689
Kistna	Mailavaram	38,592	1,778	3,466	3,760	3,198	1,095	13,297	3,427	2,833	..
	Kapileswarapuram	1,68,809	11,263	13,065	16,765	5,826	3,691	50,555	32,230	1,334	10
	Meduru	3,05,183	16,102	7,264	17,903	7,648	5,155	54,072	12,657	2,053	27
	Telaprole	1,62,589	14,103	1,097	16,376	26,474	2,816	63,866	7,362	1,653	5,644
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	5,168	..	..	..	292	87	379	136	125	..
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	25,665	543	..	..	1,231	136	2,010	1,346	..	12,015
Chingleput	Pulicherla	29,218	2,337	..	3,043	970	591	6,941	660	930	11,562
	Panaiyur	20,420	3,072	2,791	698	708	584	7,853	1,889	1,400	1,296
Tanjore	Ukkadai	76,633	1,895	2,613	..	8,543	1,217	14,268	122	600	..
	Gandharvakóta	68,511	5,611	1,398	12,436	4,618	2,070	26,133	1,460	1,260	12,456
	Mathur	10,393	555	817	..	2,829	358	4,559	1,758	205	..
	Singavanam	13,148	935	409	..	6	380	1,730	305	297	3,225
	Palayavanam	6,132	1,017	396	336	242	250	2,235	151	256	..
	Edamanal	46,491	1,587	995	..	5,223	901	8,706	556	225	6,250
	Karuvalakarai	5,322	358	74	..	760	101	1,293	25	220	..
Trichinopoly	Peramur	24,962	960	184	..	4,188	379	6,011	1,767	180	..
	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	20,786	1,189	3,943	..	5,499	589	11,220	2,303	605	..
	Marungapuri	70,350	5,827	189	20,587	2,965	1,703	31,271	486	4,401	12,114

2194 24

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estatesunder management in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Charges—*cont.*			Balance to the credit of the estate at the end of fasli 1300.				Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the normal receipts.
		Invested in Government securities.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.		
		25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjam ..	Purlâkimedi	..	44,557	1,09,150	* 27,97,000	1,88,144	..	29,85,144	+ 16,688	6·5
	Jarada	..	4,372	22,401	..	869	..	869	+ 1,107	11·4
	Dhârâkôt	..	16,724	1,16,663	..	8,954	..	8,954	− 896	7·9
	Bodogodo	..	5,005	20,616	2,400	15,361	..	17,761	+ 11,099	14·7
	Kurla	841	1,421	20,534	34,000	1,436	..	35,436	− 2,470	9·4
	Aska	1,366	5,285	23,162	50,300	2,600	..	52,900	− 140	9·3
	Kallikôt	93,826	46,401	3,58,704	1,17,200	1,28,124	8,538	2,53,862	+ 1,02,071	7·8
Vizagapatam ..	Chidirkada	1,576	5,763	31,939	43,109	4,385	..	47,485	+ 844	7·8
	Sripuram	11,803	2,035	20,853	19,800	3,692	..	23,492	+ 1,396	11·6
	Chipurupalle	..	2,162	8,396	400	1,128	..	1,528	− 813	15·3
	Appikondah	..	16,616	33,074	..	8,468	..	8,468	− 8,984	21·5
	Belgâm	..	8,487	46,568	..	90	..	90	− 2,309	11·9
	Kurupâm	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Godâvari ..	Lakkavaram	1,787	7,994	21,961	50,800	8,617	..	59,417	− 2,576	12·8
	Tangellamûdi	..	6,826	28,400	..	24,486	2,784	27,270	+ 1,087	16·4
	Pithâpuram	..	99,340	8,59,950	..	1,04,128	50	1,04,178	+ 83,894	6·9
	Mailavaram	6,281	4,399	30,237	37,100	8,265	7,329	52,894	+ 3,772	10·9
Kistna ..	Kapileswarapuram	29,911	23,235	1,37,275	3,44,700	31,534	..	3,76,234	+ 4,416	14·1
	Medûru	..	78,819	1,47,928	1,35,600	1,57,505	21	2,93,126	+ 18,408	19·6
	Telaprole	..	24,632	1,00,147	12,000	52,392	..	64,392	+ 38,087	14·2
Bellary ..	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate ..	5,880	85	4,605	3,700	563	..	4,263	+ 1,803	7·7
North Arcot ..	Timmajammal's estate	..	507	15,878	..	9,787	..	9,787	+ 5,995	17·9
Chingleput ..	Pulicherla	..	906	20,999	..	8,214	..	8,214	− 2,683	22·4
	Panaiyar	..	5,467	17,905	..	2,515	5,189	7,704	+ 1,389	19·4
	Ukkadai	19,968	26,984	61,942	35,000	14,691	3,179	52,870	+ 12,881	6·0
	Gandharvakôta	..	10,724	52,033	..	16,478	1,243	17,721	+ 8,829	12·7
	Mathur	..	625	7,147	..	3,246	302	3,548	+ 820	13·8
Tanjore ..	Singavanam	..	7,409	12,956	..	182	1,310	1,492	− 194	15·6
	Palayavanam	..	3,302	5,944	..	188	4,398	4,586	+ 28	23·8
	Edamanal	..	13,526	29,763	..	16,728	11	16,739	+ 15,206	6·1
	Karuvahkkal	..	1,266	2,804	..	2,518	197	2,715	+ 145	17·7
	Perumur	2,587	1,653	12,458	75,300	12,504	..	87,804	+ 5,069	9·2
Trichinopoly ..	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	..	1,221	15,349	..	5,437	..	5,437	+ 3,638	9·3
	Marungapuri	..	4,925	31,197	..	17,153	..	17,153	+ 7,983	12·8

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Amount to the credit of the estate at the beginning of fasli 1300.				Cash receipts in fasli 1300.							
		Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Land and miscellaneous revenue.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Sale-proceeds of grain.	Amount realized by the sale of property as houses, land, jewels, Government securities, &c.	Amount borrowed.	Other items.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Trichinopoly—*cont.* ..	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate ..	..	20,866	..	20,866	† 22,187	..	918	..	8,718	..	1,627	33,450
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	..	14,896	..	14,896	8,276	..	23,942	..	..	..	215	32,433
	Chokalingam Pillai's estate	..	5,815	863	4,679	* 681	..	1,446	868	389	..	1,360	4,844
Madura	Sâptûr	1,47,500	43,174	10,804	2,01,478	* 41,854	6,769	657	8,777	31,568	..	3,843	93,468
	Vadagarai	5,500	13,151	1,134	19,784	* 3,880	223	..	1,044	..	..	..	5,748
	Mamburai	..	2,242	..	2,242	† 6,531	..	..	..	101	..	742	7,374
	Vâdimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	..	1,330	150	1,480	* 179	..	30	904	16	2,309	23	3,452
	Etaiyâpuram	2,13,500	65,880	9,673	2,89,053	* 3,40,615	..	34,366	19,363	19,789	..	7,567	4,12,700
Coimbatore	Metrâthi	3,000	6,926	..	9,920	7,099	..	..	..	..	..	29	7,128
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	21,800	11,517	..	33,317	7,843	5,764	1,227	..	2,000	..	468	18,302
	Erumaipati	10,000	8,784	..	18,784	16,361	538	..	..	..	..	542	17,441
	Shulagiri	..	13,066	..	13,066	19,487	..	..	..	40	..	258	19,785
Salem	Yelagiri	..	6,434	..	6,434	15,943	..	1,090	..	39	..	526	17,598
	Royakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	6,800	1,828	384	8,512	* 2,335	267	..	2,169	..	..	78	4,849
	K. Puttamma's estate	..	26,487	2,188	28,675	* 3,827	369	5,304	9,442	481	..	447	19,870
Malabar	Kavalpara	96,100	15,836	3,641	1,15,576	* 22,250	3,624	..	3,899	113	..	10,235	40,121
	Total ..	41,07,800	9,41,333	69,579	51,18,712	25,37,155	1,40,909	1,94,530	1,37,471	1,97,096	10,192	1,54,852	33,72,205

* The difference between this and column 8 of statement III is due to the latter including grain collections.
† The difference between this and column 8 of statement III is due to the latter including advance collections.

2,194
22

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890-91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Cash balance and receipts, total of columns 4 and 14.	CHARGES. Establishment for management and contingencies.	Maramut expenditure.	Government demand. Peishkush.	Government demand. Assessment and land-cess.	Government commission for management.	Total management, works and Government demand.	Maintenance and education of the wards.	Allowance to the other members of the wards' family.	Repayment of debts.
		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	N. Rangaswami Iyer's estate	54,316	1,453	1,646	..	4,912	982	8,983	1,769	1,308	39,695
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	47,329	1,015	298	..	1,306	979	3,598	1,660	84	..
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	8,660	354	..	..	2,616	65	3,035	288	120	..
Madura	Sāptūr	1,36,642	11,845	30,877	8,812	2,978	1,621	58,133	1,036	3,829	..
	Vadagarai	18,898	625	900	..	2,303	246	3,574	2,403	602	..
	Mambarai	9,616	554	140	1,500	358	285	2,837	100	550	1,697
	Vādimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	4,782	248	115	..	875	68	1,306	426	120	319
	Etaiyāpuram	4,78,580	29,013	2,448	19,442	19,874	2,531	1,43,308	28,648	1,000	368
Coimbatore	Metrāthi	14,04[illegible]	287	..	1,966	402	287	2,942	695	600	..
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	29,819	1,732	424	..	216	463	2,835	115	583	..
Salem	Erumaipati	26,2[illegible]	1,168	1,216	8,698	567	651	12,300	800	..	..
	Shulagiri	32,8[illegible]	1,728	3,281	6,499	909	740	12,157	576	2,917	3,500
	Yelagiri	24,0[illegible]	1,775	337	5,585	744	876	9,617	150	1,272	..
	Royakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	6,17[illegible]	433	..	..	1,731	169	2,333	669	112	..
	K. Puttamma's estate	46,357	2,018	1,286	..	3,046	802	7,152	70	..	2,000
Malabar	Kavalpara	55,660	4,378	1,863	..	3,681	1,142	11,064	8,309	737	..
	Total	43,13,518	2,37,043	2,27,621	6,43,107	3,08,498	62,507	14,78,776	2,06,971	63,391	4,46,841

2194/23

No. IV.—Financial statement of receipts and charges of the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890-91)—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	CHARGES—*cont.* Invested in Government securities.	Other miscellaneous disbursements.	Total charges.	BALANCE TO THE CREDIT OF THE ESTATE AT THE END OF FASLI 1300. Government securities.	Cash.	Value of grain on hand.	Total.	Increase or decrease as compared with the opening balance.	Percentage of establishment charges and Government commission to the normal receipts.
		25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33
		RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Trichinopoly—*cont.*	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	980	52,745	..	1,671	..	1,671	− 19,295	10·2
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	..	3,147	8,489	..	38,840	..	38,840	+ 23,944	23·4
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	..	500	3,943	..	4,717	10,440	15,157	+ 10,478	14·4
Madura	Sāptūr	22,440	36,312	1,21,759	1,30,100	14,883	17,659	1,71,642	[illegible] 29,836	25·2
	Vadagarai	105	542	7,626	5,000	11,272	2,528	19,400	− 384	13·4
	Mambarai	..	457	5,641	..	3,975	..	3,975	+ 1,733	11·5
	Vadimitta	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Tinnevelly	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	..	2,472	4,643	..	139	712	851	− 629	28·5
	Etaiyāpuram	..	1,03,661	2,76,885	2,17,000	2,01,695	2,295	4,20,990	+ 1,31,937	8·7
Coimbatore	Metrathi	..	3,331	7,468	3,000	6,580	..	9,580	− 340	8·1
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	5,174	8,707	18,800	21,112	..	39,912	+ 6,595	15·5
Salem	Erumaipati	7,768	1,602	22,470	17,500	3,766	..	21,265	+ 2,471	10·4
	Shulagiri	..	5,285	24,435	..	8,416	..	8,416	− 4,650	12·4
	Yelagiri	..	8,383	19,422	..	4,611	..	4,611	− 1,824	16·1
	Royakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	105	1,291	4,510	6,900	1,667	386	8,953	+ 441	12·4
	K. Puttamma's estate	19,082	3,845	33,049	19,200	13,308	22	32,530	+ 3,855	20·0
Malabar	Kavalpara	3,573	22,185	45,868	99,500	10,088	1,609	1,11,197	− 4,379	13·7
	Total	2,27,898	6,82,640	31,06,517	42,85,000	12,07,021	70,202	55,62,223	+ 4,43,511	10·1

ENCLOSURE A to Statement No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on Maramut (works) in the several estates under management in fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ganjam	Parlâkimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	Jarada	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
	Dhârakôt	703	1,504	697	22	367	769	4,257	3,145	..
	Bodogodu	323	2,252	1,203	824	515	930	6,907	11,680	59·6
	Kurla	66	1,181	405	918	..	479	3,047	6,070	50·2
	Aska	1,811	1,305	810	867	..	813	5,106	5,557	91·9
Vizagapatam	Kallikôt	60	3,830	..	224	..	843	4,957	3,220	..
	Chidikada	2,469	24,243	5,807	1,358	979	6,423	41,270	61,580	67·0
	Seiparam	5,828	996	..	..	..	1,361	* 8,185	7,541	..
	Chipurupalle	..	680	..	..	..	..	* 680	680	100·
	Appikonda	..	138	..	..	..	..	* 138	200	69·
	Bolgâm	..	250	..	300	..	..	* 550	565	97·3
	Kurupâm	..	2,438	..	666	..	..	* 3,104	4,701	66·0
Godâvari	Lakkavaram	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Tangellamôdi	..	196	1,540	86	..	..	1,822	750	..
	Pithâpuram	..	723	1,534	36	..	..	2,343	2,668	87·8
	Mallavaram	..	666	..	6,531	376	3,337	† 10,910	33,440	32·6
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	..	1,201	..	1,458	567	240	3,466	4,000	86·6
	Meduru	..	11,268	..	959	553	286	13,065	19,347	67·5
Bellary	Telaprolo	226	6,519	..	389	100	30	7,264	7,377	98·5
	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate	..	1,003	..	94	..	..	1,097	7,230	15·2
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Pulicherla	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Chingleput	Panaiyur	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Ukkadai	2,345	308	..	138	..	..	2,791	1,200	..
	Gundharvakôta	700	..	1,358	555	..	..	2,613	3,899	67·0
	Mathur	..	551	269	578	..	..	1,398	1,568	89·2
Tanjore	Singavanam	598	144	..	75	..	..	817	1,023	79·8
	Palayavanam	..	..	..	409	..	..	‡ 409	100	..
	Edamal	..	90	..	300	..	..	390	350	..
	Karuvalakarai	..	716	..	279	..	..	995	1,024	97·1
	Peramur	..	..	..	74	..	..	74	125	59·2
	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate	100	131	..	70	114	..	134	750	24·5
Trichinopoly	Marungapuri	..	110	..	2,902	810	..	3,943	4,489	88·0
	N. Rangaswami Aiyar's estate	..	373	..	30	..	40	189	4,204	4·5
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	..	110	..	500	773	..	1,646	2,500	65·8
	Chockalingam Pillai's estate	..	..	..	88	100	..	298	505	59·0
Madura	Sâptûr	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Vadagarai	..	19,118	..	2,627	7,214	1,918	30,877	33,700	91·6
	Mambarai	253	47	32	568	..	..	900	900	100·
Tinnevelly	Vadimitta	..	140	..	..	..	..	140	200	70·
	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	Etaiyâpuram	..	85	..	30	..	..	115	205	56·1
		70	..	142	1,059	381	796	2,448	2,587	94·6

* The difference between this figure and that entered in column 17 of Statement IV is explained to be due to the latter including the expenditure incurred in previous faslis and adjusted during the fasli and to the former representing only the cost of work done during the fasli.

† Works costing Rs. 10,091 appear to have been executed, but not adjusted within the fasli.

‡ Rs. 409 have been spent on account of incomplete works of fasli 1299.

Enclosure A to Statement No. IV.—Showing the expenditure incurred on Maramut (works) in the several estates under management, &c.—*cont.*

Districts.	Estates.	Irrigation works.		Buildings.		Communications.	Establishments.	Total expenditure.	Allotment in the budget.	Percentage of expenditure to allotment.
		New works.	Repairs.	New works.	Repairs.					
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Coimbatore	Metrâthi	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,685	..
Nilgiris	Neliyalam	..	..	..	291	133	..	424	800	53·
Salem	Erumaipati	210	854	..	..	..	152	1,216	2,684	45·3
	Shulagiri	..	2,690	..	354	100	137	3,281	6,750	48·6
	Yelagiri	..	143	..	194	..	..	337	950	35·5
	Royakota	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
South Canara	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
	K. Puttamma's estate	555	100	33	569	..	29	1,286	1,371	93·8
Malabar	Kavalpara	..	37	..	1,639	137	..	1,863	4,670	39·8
	Total	16,322	86,140	14,138	27,420	13,200	18,582	1,75,802	2,67,981	68·1

2,1942

24

No. V-A—Statement showing the debts due to the estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts newly contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts collected.	Debts written off.	Total.	Remainder due at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Jarada	745	..	..	..	745	..	..	..	745
	Bodogodo	865	..	..	..	865	..	..	..	865
	Kurla	5,215	..	..	39	5,254	185	..	185	5,069
	Aska	36,853	..	..	82	36,617	4,110	..	4,110	32,507
	Kalikót	1,28,802	..	..	182	1,28,802	8,591	2,100	10,691	1,18,111
	Chidikada	31	..	..	..	31	..	31	31	..
	Chipurupálle	8,215	..	..	..	8,215	2,226	..	2,226	5,989
Vizagapatam	Appikonda	50,122	11,500	..	2,912	64,534	9,592	8,211	17,803	46,731
	Belgám	18,594	..	..	726	19,320	698	..	698	18,622
	Lakkavaram	4,839	..	..	217	5,056	2,000	1,114	3,114	1,942
Godávari	Tangellamúdi	14,378	131	..	790	15,299	2,022	200	2,222	13,077
	Pithápuram	49,225	..	7,229	2,310	58,764	7,744	10,878	18,622	40,142
	Mailavaram	1,399	..	..	..	1,399	555	..	555	844
	Kapileswarapuram	70,865	..	2,569	484	73,916	6,001	3,010	9,011	64,905
Kistna	Meduru	† 4,06,810	..	12,748	17,621	4,37,179	55,983	81	56,064	3,81,115
	Telaprole	2,85,133	1,973	17,038	2,964	3,07,108	19,631	..	19,631	2,87,477
Bellary	Venkatapur Uppara Ayyanna's estate ..	4,684	..	..	453	4,537	153	..	152	4,385
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	5,824	..	..	312	6,136	..	..	..	6,136
Chingleput	Panaiyur	7,217	..	..	534	7,751	472	..	472	7,279
	Ukkadai	31,212	237	1,654	..	33,103	‖ 1,998	..	1,998	31,105
	Gandharvakóta	26,590	..	..	795	27,394	446	..	446	26,948
	Mathur	790	264	..	..	1,054	‖ 844	..	844	210
Tanjore	Singavanam	15,695	..	56	461	16,112	1,347	..	1,347	14,765
	Palayavanam	11,423	..	..	..	11,423	1	15	16	11,407
	Edumanal	* 5,498	..	51	132	5,681	¶ 1,680	..	1,680	4,001
	Karuvalakarai	1,491	259	124	75	1,949	** 360	580	930	1,019
	Peramur	9	..	..	..	9	9	..	9	..
	N. Duraiswamy Aiyar's estate	426	..	..	..	426	..	..	..	426
	Marungapuri	2,950	..	..	223	3,173	2,421	..	2,421	752
Trichinopoly	N. Rangaswamy Aiyar's estate	5,164	..	..	..	5,164	918	572	1,490	3,674
	Singam Aiyangar's estate	46,361	..	204	1,434	47,999	23,942	4,961	28,833	19,106
	Chokalingam Pillai's estate	31,456	..	846	791	33,133	1,446	..	1,446	31,687
	Saptúr	28,493	..	..	1,014	28,107	657	1,778	2,435	25,672
	Vadagarai	450	..	..	..	450	..	..	..	450
	Mambarai	6,215	..	..	240	6,455	..	..	..	6,455
Madura	Anavarattham Pillai's estate	* 426	..	..	..	426	30	..	30	396
	Etaiyápuram	‡ 1,82,223	..	27	10,689	1,92,912	24,366	..	24,366	1,68,546
Tinnevelly	Noliyalam	33,292	..	100	1,772	35,164	1,227	6,948	8,175	26,989
Nilgiris	Yelagiri	1,977	..	..	86	2,063	1,090	280	1,370	693
Salem	K. Puttamma's estate	1,75,018	..	..	..	1,75,018	5,304	..	5,304	1,69,714
South Canara	Total ..	17,03,939	14,364	42,767	47,677	18,08,747	1,98,038	40,749	2,38,787	15,69,960

* Not explained by the Collector.
† This is the correct figure.
‡ Excludes Rs. 23 collected before August 1890.
‖ Includes Rs. 994, being recoveries of advances.
¶ Do. ,, 841, do. do.
** Do. ,, 1,559, do. do.
†† Do. ,, 114, do. do.

No. V-B.—Statement showing the debts due by the Estates under the management of the Court of Wards for fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Estates.	Debts as per last year's account.	Debts contracted.	Debts newly brought to account during the year.	Interest accrued during the year.	Total.	Debts paid off.	Debts struck off.	Total.	Remainder due by the estate at the end of the fasli.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Ganjam	Jarada	10,170	750	..	908	11,828	900	..	900	10,928
	Dhárakót	54,003	..	..	3,085	57,088	47,003	..	47,003	10,085
	Kallikót	36,781	..	..	2,700	39,481	2,700	..	2,700	36,781
Vizagapatam	Appikonda	8,540	..	..	450	8,990	4,990	..	4,990	4,000
	Belgám	9,874	3,000	..	788	13,662	11,670	..	11,670	1,972
Godávari	Lakkavaram	93	132	..	..	225	132	..	132	93
	Tangellamúdi	1,10,379	28	..	3,942	1,14,349	7,529	..	7,529	1,06,820
	Pithápuram	9,01,133	1,033	270	55,174	9,57,610	2,59,639	..	2,59,639	6,97,971
Kistna	Kapileswarapuram	..	..	10	..	10	10	..	10	..
	Meduru	..	..	..	27	27	27	..	27	..
	Telaprole	37,900	..	2,738	4,680	45,327	5,644	..	5,644	39,683
North Arcot	Timmajammal's estate	16,358	..	..	1,247	17,605	12,015	..	12,015	5,590
	Pulicherla	49,176	..	..	2,303	51,479	11,562	..	11,562	39,917
Chingleput	Panaiyur	15,048	..	..	1,296	16,344	1,296	..	1,296	15,048
	Ukkadai	642	..	..	..	642	..	..	..	642
	Gandharvakóta	2,58,480	..	..	9,996	2,68,476	12,456	..	12,456	2,56,020
	Singavanam	1,27,857	3,109	..	..	1,30,966	* ..	..	..	1,30,966
Tanjore	Palayavanam	2,36,904	..	..	1,428	2,38,332	..	..	..	2,28,232
	Edumanal	71,352	..	138	6,403	77,893	6,350	325	6,675	71,218
	Marungapuri	12,018	..	..	337	12,355	12,114	241	12,355	..
	N. Rangaswamy Aiyer's estate	1,06,328	..	150	6,454	1,12,922	29,695	..	29,696	73,237
Trichinopoly	Chokalingam Pillai's estate	3,517	..	..	203	3,720	..	..	..	3,720
	Mambarai	14,358	..	..	1,029	15,387	1,697	..	1,697	13,690
Madura	Anavaratham Pillai's estate	† 11,290	2,300	..	323	13,913	319	..	319	13,594
	Etaiyápuram	368	..	..	..	368	368	..	368	..
Tinnevelly	Noliyalam	197	..	..	..	197	..	197	197	..
Nilgiris	Shulagiri	25,328	..	..	1,267	26,595	3,500	..	3,500	23,095
Salem	K. Puttamma's estate	2,000	..	..	..	2,000	2,000	..	2,000	..
South Canara	Total ..	21,20,121	10,352	3,306	1,04,092	22,37,781	4,43,616	763	4,44,379	17,93,402

* This differs from the amount in column 24 of statement IV, and the Collector will be requested to explain.
† This is the correct figure.

2-1945
25

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890-91).

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Ganjam.	Jarada ..	Sri Raghunatha Samantharaya Deo.	26	9	..	15th June 1883.	First form, Native College, Berhampore.	195	169
	Dhárákot.	Sri Madana Mohana Simha Deo.	15	9	..	28th May 1885.	III class, private tuition.	149	142
	Bodogodo.	Sri Kesora Chandra Simha Deo.	6	..	..	16th Mar. 1890.	Under the tuition of the guardian.	360	240
	Kurla ..	1. Tripasur Venkata Kondal Row.	20	16	IV Lower ..	Jan. 1887.	V and VI classes, Native College, Berhampore.	195	159
		2. Tripasur Venkata Narasinga Row.	18	13	Do.	Do.	VI class, Native College, Berhampore.	195	108
		3. Tripasur Venkata Jagannadha Row.	16	11	Lower and Upper Primary.	Do.	IV Lower and IV Upper classes, Native College, Berhampore.	193	185
		4. Tripasur Venkata Rama Row.	8	..	..	..	Private school at home, IV standard.	302	282
	Aska ..	Tripasur Sundramma.	28	..	..	..	..	..	..

2194/26

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890-91).

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
Marden's Third Reader. Geography—Asia. Arithmetic—Simple Rules. Sanskrit.	Was sick, and did not attend the examination.				Attendance, pretty fair; handwriting, good; general behaviour, good.
New Royal Reader, No. II, 53 pages. Arithmetic—Compound Rules, G.C.M., L.C.M., and easy problems. Geography—The World and the Madras Presidency, finished, and Asia commenced. Uriya—(Prose) Hitopadesa, Part II, finished; Sakuntala, 20 pages. (Poetry) Nalacharita, 240 lines. Radhanadharay's Grammar, 30 pages.	English Reader, very fair; Reading, fair; Dictation, very fair; Translation and speaking, fair.	Good ..	Geography, fair.	Prose and Poetry, good; Grammar, fair; Dictation, very good.	Attendance, very good; handwriting, English, fair; Uriya, very fair; general behaviour, very satisfactory.
A little portion in Uriya Primer. Multiplication tables in Uriya.	..	..	..	..	General behaviour, good.
1. Selections in Poetry and Prose (V Class). 2. English Manual of Grammar. 3. Euclid and Algebra. 4. History of India and English History. 5. Physics and Chemistry. 6. Vernacular — Matriculation text.	48 140	32 120	37 120	37 120	Attendance, good; handwriting, poor; general behaviour, good.
Matriculation Text-book for 1890. Physics and Chemistry; Euclid and Algebra; English and Indian History and Geography.	Appeared for the Matriculation Examination.				Attendance, good; handwriting, poor; general behaviour, good. (The ward did not study in the first term of the year.)
Barrow's Fourth Reader. Bradshaw's Fifth Reader. Vernacular—Nalacharitra. Venkayya's Grammar. History of India. Geography—Asia.	43 140	41 120	11 120	26 120	Attendance, good; handwriting, pretty fair; general behaviour, good.
English—First Book. Arithmetic—G.C.M., L.C.M. and Fractions. Sinclair's History of India. Geography of the Madras Presidency. Hygiene. Telugu—Poetry and Grammar.	..	..	..	..	Very attentive and regular in his studies; general behaviour, good.
	..	..	..	..	The Proprietrix was illiterate when the estate was taken under the Court's management. It is reported that she is being taught by a private tutor whom she pays from her towji (allowance).

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91).

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Ganjam —*cont.*	Kallikót	Sri Hari Hara Maradaraja Deo.	19	16	..	July 1888.	Private tuition.	77	77
		Sri Brajaraja Deo ..	15	10	..	Do. ..	Do. ..	77	77
Vizâgapatam.	Srîpuram.	Sri Raja Inuganti Raja Gopalarayaningaru.	16	4	..	28th Apr. 1879.	Lower IV and Upper IV classes, Hindu College, Vizagapatam.	208	152
	Appikonda.	1. Mindi Ramajogi Garu.	14	9	I Class ..	10th Apr. 1889.	III class, Municipal High School, Bimlipatam.	209	181

2-177
27

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
Whole of Garthwaite's English First Book. Arithmetic—Simple Rules.	Reading and dictation, fair; translation, very fair.	..	..	..	Under Mr. Potts' private tuition at Madras. Uriya translation, fair; conduct, very good; and general behaviour, all that could be desired.
Whole of Garthwaite's English First Book. Arithmetic—Simple Rules.	Reading, pretty good; writing, fair; translation, fair.	..	..	..	Under Mr. Potts' private tuition at Madras. Uriya translation, middling; behaviour, very good.
From July to December 1890. 1. Barrow's Fourth Reader—5 Lessons. Manual of English Grammar, pages 25—59. MacMordie's English Composition, pages 6—12. 2. Translation (Shanmukharam, Part II), Lessons 8—15. Sabdamanjari, pages 10—20. Sanskrit Poetry—Raghuvamsa, verses 20—50, I canto. Grammar — Bhandarkar's First Book, Lessons 8—16. 3. Indian History (Sinclair's smaller book), pages 40—73. Geography (Duncan's Primer), Europe, pages 30—63. 4. Arithmetic—Practice and Miscellaneous Problems. Algebra—Addition, Subtraction and Multiplication. Geometry—Book I, Propositions 2 to 4.	77 180	84 205	65 120	22 156	Attendance, unsatisfactory; handwriting, general style, good, but unsettled; general behaviour, good; progress in mathematics and vernacular, bad.
From January to June 1891. 1. English Prose—Royal Fifth Reader—5 Lessons. Poetry. Manual of English Grammar, pages 1—80. MacMordie's English Composition, pages 1—20. 2. Translation (Shanmukharam, Part III), 15 exercises. Sanskrit Poetry—Raghuvamsa, 50 verses, I canto. Sabdamanjari, pages 1—26. Bhandarkar's Sanskrit First Book, 1—25 lessons. 3. Indian History—(Sinclair's smaller), pages 1—120. Geography (Duncan's Primer) Africa, America and Oceania. Arithmetic—To the end of simple proportion. Algebra—Addition, Subtraction, Multiplication and Division. Geometry—First Book, Propositions 1—XXXII.	34 72	15 40	25 60	35 66	Attendance and general behaviour, good.
1. English—Marden's Third Reader, pages 1—30. Grammatical Primer, pages 1—40. 2. Vernacular—Nalacharitram, 1—50 verses. Grammar—Venkayya's, pages 1—20. 3. History—Sinclair's Indian; History—(Telugu). The British Period. Geography—Duncan's Europe (Telugu). The whole. 4. Arithmetic—Vulgar and Decimal Fractions.					

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Vizagapatam—*cont.*	Appikondi—*cont.*	2. Mindi Sri Ramachandram Garu.	13	8	Preparatory class.	15th July 1882.	III class, Municipal High School, Bimlipatam.	209	209
		3. Mindi Subramanyam Garu.	10	5	Not of school going age.	January 1885.	I class, Town School, Bimlipatam.	227	165
	Lakkavaram.	Sri Rajah Mantri-pragada Bhunjanga Row Garu.	15	3	..	16th Oct. 1880.	V class, Mission school, Ellore.	182	162
Godâvari	Tangellamúdi.	Sri Rajah Vaisgoti Govinda-Krishna Yachendra Garu.	11	10	I Class	9th April 1890.	II class (private tuition).	243	213
	Pithâpuram.	Sri Rajah Row Venkatarmanayyamma Garu.	5	4	..	23rd Oct. 1890.	Private tuition.	..	..

2-194/28

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
1. English—Marden's Third Reader, pages 1—30. Grammatical Primer, pages 1—40. 2. Vernacular—Nalacharitram, 1—50 verses. Grammar—Venkayya's, pages 1—20. 3. History—Sinclair's Indian History (Telugu). The British Period. Geography—Duncan's Europe (Telugu). The whole. 4. Arithmetic—Vulgar and Decimal Fractions.	25/72	15/49	27/60	23/60	Attendance and general behaviour good.
1. English—Whole of Garthwaite's First Book. 2. Vernacular Prose—Mr. Jose's Second Book. Poetry—Nithimanjari, 1—40 verses. Grammar—Seshayya's, pages 1—16. 3. History—Sinclair's Indian History (Telugu), pages 1—40. Geography—Madras Presidency, pages 1—47. 4. Arithmetic—Venkatavadhanarow's first four rules.	14/50	10/56	11/20	23/60	Do. do.
1. English—Matriculation Text Book for 1891. Bain's English Grammar. 2. Telugu—Uttara Ramayanam. History of Kusalavula. Harischandrupakyanam. Chinnayya Suri's Grammar. 3. History—Sinclair's Indian and English Histories. Manual of Geography. Arithmetic, Algebra and Geometry. Physics and Chemistry.	517/885	876/1235	464/905	142/250	The Principal of the School remarks as follows:—I am well satisfied with the way in which the student's work in school has been carried on. His place in the classs is always a high one.
English—Garthwaite's Second Reader, first 30 pages. Royal Reader No. II, first 29 pages. Grammatical Primer, first 23 pages. Geography—(Telugu) Duncan's—Asia. History—(Telugu) Sinclair's, first 43 pages. Arithmetic—G.C.M., L.C.M., Vulgar Fractions and easy problems.	101/200	73/100	56/100	136/268	Conduct, good; progress, satisfactory.
English—Alphabet and some words in Vocabulary. Arithmetic—Numeration and Notation (up to a limited extent). Telugu—Alphabet, spelling and names.	..	..	..	..	..

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Kistna..	Mailavaram.	1. Rajah Suranani Venkata Purushotham Jagannatha Gopal Row Bahadur.	17	13	III Class ..	August 1888.	Upper IV class, Noble College, Masulipatam.	185	147
		2. Rajah Suranani Venkata Papayya Row Bahadur.	15	12	I Class ..	Do. ..	Lower IV class, Noble College, Masulipatam.	185	134
		3. Rajah Suranani Venkata Narayana Row Bahadur.	14	10	Do. ..	October 1888.	III class, Noble College. Masulipatam.	77	76
	Kapileswarapuram.	Rajah Vekata Rangayya Appa Row Bahadur.	17	14	III Class ..	1888 ..	Upper IV class and Form IV, Pachcappah's College.	126	112
North Arcot.	Timmajiammal's estate.	Timmajiammal ..	12	8	I Standard.	20th December 1887.	Private tuition.	..	..
	Pulicherla.	1. Venkatachendrappa Nayani Varu.	16	13	III Standard (I Class).	20th January 1888.	V Standard, Local Fund Primary School, Chittoor.	248	92
		2. Kadiramma ..	12	8	..	..	..	..	..

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
English—Fifth Reader. Manual of Grammar and General English. Arithmetic—Decimal Fractions, Proportion, Interest, &c. Algebra—First four rules. Geometry—First Book. Sanskrit—Bombay First Book with some slokas of its latter part and Sabdamanjari. Indian History—Mogul and British periods. Manual of Geography and Duncan's Indian Geography. Psalms, Old and New Testament stories in the Bible.	86/200	66/200	32/100	44/100	....
English—Fifth Reader. Manual of Grammar (Orthography and Etymology). Arithmetic—Vulgar and Decimal Fractions, Practice and some problems. Algebra—Addition and Subtraction. Geometry—Book I, Proposition 1 to 16. Indian History—Hindu and Muhammadan periods. Manual of Geography (Europe). Duncan's Indian Geography (Madras Presidency). Sanskrit—Raghuvamsam, Subdamanjari and Calcutta First Book. Bible.	81/200	24/100	38/100	44/100	....
The minor was admitted into the 3rd class in the latter part of January 1891, and hence had no annual examination.					....
English—Fourth Reader. Grammatical Primer. Arithmetic—Vulgar Fractions and some problems. Indian History (Telugu). Duncan's Geography (Asia). Telugu—Nalacharitram Panchatantram, Venkayya's Grammar and Vibaktichandrika. Bible.	58/120	74/120	56/125	45/120	Under Mr. Potts' tuition at Madras. Conduct, very good.
Middle School and Matriculation Text-books.	..	..	..	..	Under Miss Mary Connelly's private tuition at Ranipet. Telugu handwriting, good; conduct, good.
English—First Book. Telugu Poetry. Seshayya's Grammar. Telugu Geography—Madras Presidency. Arithmetic—Simple and Compound Rules. Needlework.	The ward appeared for the Primary School Examination and passed in the optional subjects, viz., History and Geography only.				Very irregular in his attendance; handwriting, fair; general behaviour, reported to be not satisfactory.
Telugu—Third Reader. Poetry and Grammar. History. Geography. Arithmetic. English—Second Reader.	..	..	..	..	Reading at home under a private tutor.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Chingleput.	Panaiyur.	1. C. T. Kalappa Mudaliar.	18	14	IV Lower or 2nd Form.	26th September 1887.	V and VI classes, Native High School, Mylapore, and Wesleyan College, Rayapet.	194	184
		2. C. T. Arumuga Mudaliar.	16	12	II Class ..	Do. ..	III and IV forms, do.	194	163
Tanjore.	Ukkadai.	Annasawmi Tevar .	19	7	..	..	IV Upper class (III form), St. Peter's College, Tanjore.	168	131
	Gandharvakóta.	Suryanarayana Pandarathar.	17	7	Elementary.	19th Aug. 1881.	I Form, St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly.	197	121
	Mathur.	M. S. Natarajan..	19	11	III Class ..	28th Jan. 1880.	Junior and Senior B.A. classes, Presidency College.	141	127
	Singavanam.	Dorai Rajah *alias* Sarvai Vijia Raghunatha Aiyarappa Meikan Gopaiar.	18	10	II Standard.	November 1884.	Lower Secondary class, St. Peter's College, Tanjore.	142	105

2194/30

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
....	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	The ward is very intelligent, but unfortunately has no tendency to sit and read.
....	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{3}{5}$	The junior ward possesses no natural endowments, but is a little more steady.
Text-books prescribed for the Middle School Examination.	Did not appear for the examination.				Attendance, regular; conduct, uniformly good. The ward has been taking greater interest in his studies than in former years.
English, Tamil, Arithmetic, History and Geography.	$\frac{11}{110}$	Absent.	$\frac{3}{70}$	$\frac{28}{80}$	The Manager of the College states as follows:— "The conduct of the minor is quite unsatisfactory. He is very irregular in attending the class. His application is not at all good. He keeps bad company. He never comes to sleep in the room allotted to him within the precincts of the college. He absents himself without permission during the examinations. Never listens to the advice and directions of his guardian."
English, Sanskrit and Chemistry.	$\frac{165}{200}$	$\frac{39}{180}$	..	$\frac{42}{120}$	Conduct, good.
English—1. Bradshaw's Fifth Reader, in Prose 1—50 pages, in Poetry 110—123 pages. 2. Manual of Grammar, Etymology and first 20 rules in syntax. Tamil—The Cole of Anthology No. II and Mahalinga Iyer's Grammar. Arithmetic—Problems in Arithmetic, chapters 1 to 18. Mathematics—The first 36 propositions in Euclid. From the beginning to the end of L. C. M. in Algebra. History and Geography—Sinclair's Indian History. Duncan's Geography—Asia and Europe.	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5}{8}$	Attendance, satisfactory; handwriting, good; general behaviour, satisfactory.

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Tanjore—*cont.*	Palaya-vanam.	Audavaraya Vanangamudi Pandarathar.	8	4	I Standard.	16th Mar. 1888.	..	..	..
	Edamanal.	1. Vijia Raghavalu Naidu.	11	9	I Class ..	7th Mar. 1887.	IV and V standard classes, Town High School, Kumbakónam.	195	185
		2. Muthukrishna Naidu.	9	6	II Standard.	7th Mar. 1887.	III and IV standard classes, Town High School, Kumbakónam.	205	191
	Karuvalakarai.	Vellal *alias* Suri Chetty.	5	4	..	1st July 1890.	A.V. School at Karuvalakarai.	..	..
	Peramur.	Prasanna Venkatesa Iyer.	18	17	VI Class ..	Jan. 1882.	VI class, S.P.G. College.	160	112
	N. Duraiswami Aiyar's estate.	1. N. D. Lakshminaroyana Aiyar.	15	4	..	26th Jan. 1882.	VIII and IX classes, S.P.G. College.	148	140
		2. N. D. Subbarama Aiyar.	11	..	..	7th June 1886.	III class and II Form, S.P.G. College.	177	145
Trichinopoly.	Marungapuri.	1. Krishna Vijaya Pachayya Nayakar.	6	3	..	1890 ..	..	..	..
		2. Rukmaniammal.	13	10	..	..	..	..	..
	N. Banguswami Aiyar's estate.	1. N. R. Annadurai Aiyar.	16	13	I [illegible]ver.	1883 ..	VI class, S.P.G. College.	160	155
		2. N. R. Nataraja Aiyar.	8	5	..	..	..	..	..
	Singam Aiyangar's estate.	1. Srinivasa Aiyangar.	16	13	..	1882 ..	VI class, S.P.G. College.	164	142
		2. Rangasawmi Aiyangar.	15	12	..	1883 ..	Do. ..	164	142
	B. Chockalingam Pillai's estate.	Avadiya Pillai ..	10	9		Not known.	III class, S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly.	..	..
	Sáptúr .	Ramasawmi Kamayya Nayakar.	10	6		1st Jan. 1887.	III class, private tuition.	295	278
Madura.									

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
Tamil—Nulatharam, Part I, and 15 lessons, in Nulatharam, Part II.— Arithmetic—Simple Additions and Subtractions.	..	..	..	..	General behaviour, good. The ward is studying under a private tutor.
English, Arithmetic, History and Geography and Tamil.	$\frac{63}{70}$	$\frac{20}{30}$	$\frac{26}{45}$	$\frac{35}{45}$	Attendance, regular; behaviour, good.
English, Arithmetic, History and Geography and Tamil.	$\frac{25}{40}$	$\frac{2}{50}$	$\frac{32}{50}$	$\frac{24}{40}$	Attendance, regular; behaviour, good.
Tamil Alphabet and Spelling-book.	..	..	..	..	....
Text-books for the Matriculation Examination.	$\frac{7}{30}$	$\frac{1}{6}$ Science	$\frac{18}{65}$	$\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{9}{24}$	....
....	$\frac{54}{95}$	$\frac{9}{35}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	....
....	$\frac{5}{28}$	$\frac{7}{20}$	..	..	The ward is studying under a private tutor.
....	..	..	..	..	Do.
....	..	..	..	..	....
....	..	..	$\frac{22}{65}$	$\frac{29}{30}$	The ward is studying under a private tutor.
....	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{10}$	..	..	....
....	..	..	..	$\frac{9}{40}$	....
....	$\frac{41}{120}$	$\frac{19}{60}$	$\frac{3}{18}$	$\frac{41}{80}$	This ward joined the college only recently.
....	$\frac{53}{120}$	$\frac{20}{60}$	$\frac{23}{65}$	..	Attendance, good; handwriting, improving; and general behaviour, good.
....	..	..	..	..	

English—Garthwaite's Second Book, pages 15—138. Mardsen's Third Book, pages 61—76.
Elements of English Grammar, pages 1—24.
Arithmetic—Down to Vulgar Fractions.
Geography—Madras Presidency and Asia, pages 1—12.
History of India (Tamil), pages 27—84.
Tamil—Third Reader, Anthology, Parts I and II, and Pope's Grammar No. I (pages 39).

15

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Madura—*cont.*	Vadagarai.	V. Ramabadra Naick.	17	8	..	24th Sept. 1880.	VI class, Native College, Madura.	200	176
	Mambarai.	1. Venkatrama Naick.	11	9	..	..	III standard.	..	..
		2. Parasurama Naick.	9	7	..	..	I standard.	..	..
		3. Malla Bommi Naick.	7	5	..	..	Infant standard.	..	..
	Vadimitta.	Perumsami Meniyagar.	13	2	..	1st Jan. 1884.	III Class.	261	261
Tinnevelly.	Anavaratham Pillai's estate.	Sivasubramania Pillai.	13	12	Lower IV Class.	1887 ..	Lower IV class, Church Mission School, Tinnevelly.	170	95
	Etaiyápuram.	Jagaveera Rama Venkateswara Ettappayan.	12	11	III Class ..	1888 ..	III class (private tuition.	213	189
Coimbatore.	Metráthi.	Venkata Rangasawmi Nathama Nayakar.	10	2	..	26th Sept. 1887.	II class, Board Primary School, Udumalpet.	135	105
Salem ..	Shulagiri.	Sriman Masti Mummadi Ramachandra Raja Garu.	9	..	..	27th Jan. 1887.	II Standard class, Local Fund Primary School, Shulagiri.	268	165
	Yelagiri.	1. Muni Papi Reddi.	16	12	V Standard	21st Sept. 1887.	III and IV forms, Church of Scotland Mission High School, Vellore.	165	105

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
....	The minor appeared for the Matriculation Examination of December 1890, but failed to pass.				Conduct, good.
English, Tamil, Arithmetic and Geography.	14/40	8/30	..	21/60	The wards were studying under a private tutor.
	..	3/24	..	16/30	
Tamil and Arithmetic.	..	5/18	..	10/18	
Do.	..	24/60	45/120 History	15/35 Grammar	Under a private tutor.
English—Third Reader, pages 1—60. Grammatical Primer. Arithmetic—Fractions and Decimals. History—India, pages 1—120. Geography—Europe. Tamil—Anthology, Part II, 35 stanzas. Mahalingier's—Grammar, 100 rules.	31/100		23/60 Geography.	20/30 Text.	
	20/100	16/160	19/110	17/100	Attendance, poor; handwriting, fair; general behaviour at school, satisfactory; but he is reported to be inattentive and his behaviour out of school is said to be unsatisfactory.
			22/32	15/27 Tamil.	Attendance, good; behaviour, decent; handwriting, poor; and general progress, fair.
English—Third Reader, pages 30—40. Æsop's fables—Pages 1—9. Grammatical Primer—pages 22—37. Selections in English Poetry—64 lines. Arithmetic—to the end of Decimals and Simple Rule of Three. History and Geography—Indian History pages 10—52. Duncan's Asia, pages 33—58. Tamil—Fourth Reader, pages 84—52. Anthology, Part II, stanzas 33—63. Grammar—Verbs and a part of participles. Sanskrit—Bashamanjari, pages 1—41.	19/35	25/36		15/20 Sanskrit.	
	..	..	..	..	Attendance, fair; handwriting, improving; general behaviour, good.
Tamil—Nulatharum, Part II, pages 1—30. Anthology, Part I, 20 lines. Arithmetic—Addition, Subtraction and Multiplication.	..	5/30	..	13/20	....
Telugu—First Reader, Part II. Arithmetic. Geography.	..				
			8/60	8/40	Passed the Middle School Examination. Conduct, good; handwriting, middling.
....	17/120	18/120			

No. VI.—Statement showing the Educational progress

Districts.	Names of estates.	Names of the Wards.	Age at the end of the fasli.	Age and attainments when taken under the Court.		Date when first put under tuition.	Class and school in which the Ward was reading during the year.	Number of school days.	Number of days the Ward attended school.
				Years.	Class for which fit.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Salem—*cont.*	Yelagiri—*cont.*	2. Munt Ramalinga Reddi.	8	4	Alphabet Class.	..	II standard, Municipal Hindu Primary School, Tirupatúr.	267	200
South Canara.	B. Sarvotama Rao's estate.	B. Sarvotama Rao.	20	..	..	1st April 1877.	..	..	..
Malabar.	Kavalpara.	1. Parvathi Nethiar (mother of Nos. 2 and 3).	25	6	Was put under a Malayalam tutor in 1872, but discontinued her studies in 1881.	..	..	..	..
		2. Kavalpara Nayar named Kumaran Raman.	9	..	I Standard.	December 1886.	IV standard, Kavalpara Primary School, and I Form, Kerala Vidyasala, Calicut. Left School from February 1891.	176	152
		3. Narayani Nethiar.	7	..	Infant Standard.	Dec. 1888.	II standard, Primary School, Kavalpara.	257	155

made by the Wards and their Relations in fasli 1300 (1890–91)—*cont.*

Subjects studied in the year.	Results of the annual examination.				General remarks.
	English language.	Mathematics.	History and Geography.	Vernacular.	
11	12	13	14	15	16
Tamil—Nulatharam, Part II ..	..	Passed ..	..	Passed ..	Conduct, satisfactory; handwriting, middling.
....	..	..	..	..	The ward was studying under a private tutor.
....	..	..	..	..	Having attained her majority, she has given up her studies. She reads and writes Malayalam well.
English—Garthwaite's Second Reader and Marden's Third Reader (28 lessons). Grammar—Meiklejohn's first 45 pages. Malayalam—Third Reader—Panchatantram—Second Tantram, first 250 lines. Grammar—Garthwaite's Catechism, first 120 questions. Arithmetic—To the end of Decimal Fractions. History of India—(Sinclair's) first 40 pages. Geography—Duncan's Europe, First 40 pages.	Passed the Primary School Examination.				General behaviour, very fair; handwriting, poor.
Malayalam—Garthwaite's Balapatam, Part II. Arithmetic.	..	Passed ..	Passed in Geography.	Passed in reading.	....

2154
34

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—Proceedings of the Court of Wards, dated 3rd May 1892, No. 1430.

ABSTRACT.—Submitting Administration Report for fasli 1300 (1890-91).

ORDER—dated 4th July 1892, No. 680, Revenue.

Miscellaneous.

With the Proceedings read above, the Court of Wards submits its report on the administration of the estates under its charge during fasli 1300 (1890-91). There was a delay of nearly 3½ months in the submission of this report which is explained to have been caused by the late receipt of the district reports and by inaccuracies in the statements forwarded by certain Collectors which necessitated references being made to them. Every effort should be made to submit future reports on the 1st February to admit of their reaching the Government of India on the due date, viz., 1st April.

2. During the year under report, five estates were handed over to their proprietors while four were newly taken up so that the number of estates under management at the end of the year was 52, or one less than at the beginning. Of the wards, 44 were incapacitated by minority, 5 by sex alone and the remaining 3 by lunacy or imbecility. In statement No. 1 appended to the report, the entries in column 8 opposite to the Mathur, Kuruvalakarai and Anavaratham Pillai's estates are incorrect if the age of the wards is correctly given in column 7.

3. The financial condition of the five estates handed over to their proprietors during the year is reviewed in paragraphs 2—7 of the Court's report and as usual was creditable to its management. The only point calling for special notice is the fall in the current demand in the two ryotwari estates of Tiruvalanjuli and Tyagasamudram from Rs. 7,382 and Rs. 9,066 to Rs. 6,527 and Rs. 7,458, respectively. The demand consisting mostly of income from landed property, especially of rent in kind, must vary from year to year in accordance with the character of the season; but the Court's explanation that in one case the fall was due to low bids and in the other to unfavorable season and bad management, is hardly sufficient and the matter should have been further investigated. The Government observes that the Vadapathimangalam and Tyagasamudram estates were restored with large cash balances (Rs. 46,642 and Rs. 56,814, respectively), but that very little had been expended on permanent improvements. This was probably due, as surmised by the Court, to the absence of irrigation or other works calling for much outlay by the estates.

4. Of the 52 estates under management, 35 were held on zemindari tenure while the rest consisted of ryotwari lands. The number and tenures of the villages in the proprietary estates and the details of holdings and cultivation are commented on in

paragraphs 11 to 13 of the report and do not call for any special remark. In paragraph 4 of the Court's Proceedings recorded in G.O., dated 19th May 1891, No. 2966, Mis., it was stated that column 11 of statement No. II-A excluded the extent rented to middlemen and this statement agrees with the explanation furnished in paragraph 12 of the present report in respect of the increase under holdings in the Shulagiri estate. It is not understood, however, why a different principle has been followed in the case of Kallikót and why rented lands have been included. Again, one of the causes assigned for the increase under holdings in the Panaiyur estate is that the extent under amani or direct cultivation is included for the first time during the year under report, whereas in paragraph 2 of the Court's Proceedings, dated 20th February 1892, No. 570, it was stated that such lands had been included in the previous year also.

5. The gross demand of the estates under management amounted to Rs. 27,77,106, of which Rs. 41,565 were remitted, leaving a net demand of Rs. 27,35,541. Comparing the estates which were under management in both the faslis, it is observed that the net demand amounted to Rs. 12,92,662 against Rs. 13,32,586 in the previous year. The decrease of Rs. 39,724 appears to be due chiefly to the exclusion from the demand of the Kapileswarapuram and Meduru estates, of the water-tax which had hitherto been collected by the estates from the ryots concerned but the collection of which has been undertaken by Government directly in the year under report.

6. The subjoined statement exhibits the state of collections :—

—	Demand.	Collections and remissions.	Balance.	Percentage of balance to demand.		
				Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
Arrears	27,09,541	(a) 7,55,610	20,43,931	62·2	60·2	73·0
Current	(b) 27,37,514	22,43,379	4,94,135	23·3	21·5	18·1
Total ..	55,37,055	29,98,989	25,38,066	37·5	42·9	45·8

(a) Excluding Rs. 6 overcollected in Metrathi.
(b) There is a difference of Rs. 1,973 between this figure and that shown in paragraph 5 above which the Collector of Kistna has been asked to explain.

The arrear collections were unsatisfactory. Deducting subsequent collections and remissions as also the amounts now reported to be irrecoverable, the balance exceeded 25 per cent. of the demand in no less than 25 estates, in 14 of which a portion of the arrears is stated to be more than six years old. In paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 15th August 1890, No. 662, the Government referring to the unsatisfactory state of the arrear collections, directed that special reports should be called for showing how much of the arrears could be collected without undue hardship to the ryots and what portion should be written off the accounts as irrecoverable. This order was communicated to Collectors in the Court's Proceedings, dated 24th March 1891, No. 790, with the remark that this point together with certain others referred to in the order would receive attention in the report for fasli 1299. This report, however, did not go into the question fully and merely requested Collectors to scrutinize the arrear lists carefully and recommend for remission such portion of the arrears as could not be recovered (paragraph 18 of the report for fasli 1299). The importance of the subject was again pointed out in paragraph 7 of G.O., dated 10th September 1891, No. 857, and the Court promised to give its particular attention to the state of the outstanding arrears and to obtain special reports in regard to those estates in which the arrears were either heavy or of long standing (paragraph 3 of Proceedings, dated 20th February 1892, No. 570); but these special reports were not insisted on. The Government trusts that the subject of arrear collections will receive the *serious* consideration of the Court and that when the next report is submitted, considerable improvement will have taken place. The current collections were more satisfactory showing an improvement as compared with the two previous years. By subsequent collections, the balance was reduced to Rs. 3,38,334, or 12·4 per cent. of the demand as in the previous year, a result which may be regarded as satisfactory.

7. The total cash receipts of the year amounted to Rs. 33,72,205 and the total charges to Rs. 31,06,517. Excluding the unusual items marginally-noted, the receipts and charges aggregated Rupees 29,70,387 and Rs. 23,10,508, respectively.

Receipts.

	Rs.	Rs.
Total receipts		33,72,205
Deduct—		
Debts recovered	1,94,580	
Amount borrowed	10,192	
Sale-proceeds of property	1,97,096	
		4,01,818
Net Receipts ..		29,70,387

Charges.

	Rs.	Rs.
Total charges		31,06,517
Deduct—		
Debts repaid	4,46,841	
Amount invested in Government securities	2,47,898	
Amount invested in lands and houses and also loans, &c., as per statement in paragraph 21 of the report	1,21,270	
		7,96,009
Net Charges ..		23,10,508

8. Taking all the estates together, the cost of management (*i.e.*, establishment charges and Government commission) averaged 10·1 per cent. of the normal receipts against 8·3 per cent. in the previous year. The increase is ascribed partly to the levy during the year of the Government commission for faslis 1299 and 1300 (1889–90 and 1890–91) and partly to the enhancement of the rate of commission with effect from 1st July 1890. The cost of management exceeded 12 per cent. of the receipts in 28 estates. In the case of 11 of these, the Court has already called for report as to the feasibility of any reduction being made; similar reports should be called for as regards the remaining estates.

9. The following statement shows the expenditure on public works during the last three years :—

Items.	Fasli 1298.	Fasli 1299.	Fasli 1300.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1. Irrigation works	92,579	67,566	1,02,452
2. Buildings	33,120	29,762	41,553
3. Communications	2,886	25,211	13,269
4. Expenditure for which no details have been furnished ..	1,30,709	283	..
5. Cost of supervision	21,424	14,844	18,583
Total ..	2,80,718	1,37,665	1,75,862

The expenditure during the year compares favorably with the outlay in the previous year and was 7·2 per cent. of the charges excluding debts repaid and investments in Government promissory notes. Excluding also Rs. 1,21,270 invested in houses, lands, &c., as detailed in paragraph 21 of the report, the percentage rises to 7·7 against 6·8 in the previous year. In 9 estates, the works were entrusted to the Public Works Department, and Rs. 72,220 were expended against a revised grant of Rs. 63,930. As regards the works that were in charge of Collectors, it is observed that the expenditure fell short of the allotment in several estates.

10. The educational progress of the wards and of such of their relations as were receiving instruction appears to have been on the whole satisfactory.

11. Paragraph 32 of the report contains interesting information on the condition of the ryots in the estates under the Court's management.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Court of Wards.
Copy to the Public Works Department.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.

65 Copies.

P. W. CURRENT No. 7982

Govt. of {1892} Madras.

REVENUE.

B No. 2194 W. d/6th August 92

Communicated to Supdtg. Engineers for information

Issued 15-5-c

Recd. Engrs. 6-8-92

Encl.

G.O., 4th July 1892, No. 680.

JULY.

Court of Wards.

Reviewing the report on the administration of the estates under the — for fasli 1300.

35

S. Engrs. 9/8

S. Engrs. copies sent 9/8/92

North order

T.C. 9/8