(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் /10/81

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

10

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2143-44

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 2-8-1892 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

Executive Engineer's Office,
WEST COAST DIVISION.

No. 1604

CALICUT 10 June 1892

2193-97

FROM
A. Joyce [illegible]
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WEST COAST DIVISION,

TO
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE,
COIMBATORE.

ENCLOSURE.
1 statement

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No. 666 W.C. of 30 November 1891 on Chief Engineer's memorandum No. 5320 C of 26 Decr, I have the honour to enclose a revised statement showing the amount of rent proposed to be assessed on the museum building Mangalore and the [illegible] Chambers Calicut, having [illegible] accurate figures as [illegible] in the above memorandum [illegible]

2. I append [illegible] explanation for several discrepancies between my figures and those of the Examiner in respect to the Capital Cost of these Buildings

P.W. E.3/7541 92

3. The so called Museum buildings are occupied both by the Sub Divisional Office, D.P.W., South Canara and the Mangalore Municipality, the former occupying a larger area as shown in the statement. The Cost given by the Examiner in his Capital and Revenue accounts evidently relates to that portion of the Building occupied by the Municipality whereas mine to the cost of the whole building which is apparently wanted by the headings of the statement.

4. I cannot account how Rs 26150 have been arrived at by the Examiner as the valuation of Vakils Chambers nor does this figure agree with the (old Form No M 41) Monthly Return of Public Buildings, in which Rs 23125 were entered. [illegible] of Rs 14539 is based on the figures furnished in para 7 of G.O. No 3131 [illegible] November 18[illegible]7 which [illegible] increased to Rs 15[illegible]48 in the revised statement, the difference of Rs [illegible] representing the Establishment and Tools and Plant charges on original cost of Rs 7087 as detailed

in

in the Column of Remarks which was omitted by an oversight in the previous statement.

2143-47/1

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

A Joyce
Executive Engineer

AV

P. W. D.

WEST COAST DIVISION.

EXAMINER No. 5250 17 JUN 1892 P. W. ACCOUNTS

SUPG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE P.W.D. 13 JUN. 92 CIRCLE.

FROM

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
WEST COAST DIVISION.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING
ENGINEER,
FOURTH CIRCLE,
COIMBATORE.

Dated 10th June
Despatched 1892

No. 1604

ENCLOSURE 1

SUBJECT.

Museum building Mangalore and Vakils' chambers Calicut

Submits a revised statement showing amount of rent proposed to be assessed on the ——— with explanation

E.1/P.S. 7278/92

No. 269/W of 14th-6-92

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D, through the Examiner of P.W. Accounts, with reference to his Memorandum No 5320 C dated 26th November 1891—

[illegible] Brickman
Lt Col
Supg Engineer 4 Circle

Enclos 1

E.1/7547/92

EXAMINER No. 225 C 18 JUL 1892 P. W. ACCOUNTS

(C. No. 1201/8.)

KEEP WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1892. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 13/14 July 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 195-C.

To 2447/92 Enc 2

E.W.

Endorsement No.269 W, dated 14th. June 1892, from the Superintending Engineer 4th. Circle..........

Forwarded with the following remarks:-

(I) The statement has been drawn up in accordance with the instruction given in G.O.No.10 W.of 5th. January 1891.

(2) Item I of the statement. Nothing should be added to the cost of construction on account of Establishment and Tools and Plant, as the building was not constructed by the P.W.D. The capital cost of the buildings is now shown as Rs.2577 whereas the Executive Engineer in his previous statement showed it as Rs.8,280 and on this latter amount calculations were made by this office in the capital and Revenue accounts of quarters as the Executive Engineer did not befor

2000—3-2-91.

state that the buildings were occupied partly by a sub-divisional office and partly by the Mangalore Municipality.

(3) Item 2 of the statement. The figures in the present statement differ in the following respects from those in the statement previously submitted:-

	As previously given.	As now given.
Cost of Construction.....	15,125	9,087
Cost of site.............	8,000	5,452
Cost of repairs..........	832	

The cost of repairs should be taken into account when calculating rent. see Code para 1041, rule 1, clause 2 and note. The capital cost of these buildings is now shown as Rs.15,448 whereas the cost arrived at by this office from data furnished by the Executive Engineer in his previous statement was Rs.26,150 made up as follows:-

Total cost of building including cost of site	Rs.23,125
Add 20 per cent in accordance with the old rule on Rs.15,125 (cost of construction)........	Rs. 3,025
Total	Rs.26,150.

C.

P. W. CURRENT No. 7278

Enclo 2

Recd: 14.7.92

C
18/7

,, No. 3557 C d/15-July 1892

To
C

Should not the charge on account of establishment and tools and plant be omitted in both cases, both buildings having been in existence prior to September 1888?

Can you give the average cost of repairs in the case of item 2, or state over how many years the outlay of Rs 832 was spread? If your office can answer this question, it will obviate a further reference to the S. E.

1575

P. W. CURRENT No. 7547

(C. No. $\frac{1201}{8}$.)

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

Recd. 23. VII 92

enclos 3

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1892. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22nd July 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 352-C.

To

W.

Your office note No.3557 C.of 15th. July 1892.

Returned with the following remarks :-

(1) Para 1 of office note. The cases of the two buildings are different. The first was not constructed by the Department hence the question of establishment and tools and plant charges does not arise in connection with it (Code para 1041, Rule 1 (i)). The second building was constructed by the department, and the omission of charges for establishment & tools and plant would be allowable only if such omission did not result in a reduction of the rent previously paid for it. As the omission results in such reduction, it is not allowable in this case.

(2) Para 2. No repairs were executed to the building (item 2) since 1881-82. Rs.832 entered by the Executive Engineer in his previous statement as cost of repairs was 3 % calculated

3000—3-2-91.

on Rs.27,750 (capital cost including percentages for establishment & tools and plant at old rates as shown in Executive Engineer's previous statement). The figure for cost of repairs may now be taken at 3 % on the cost of construction or, considering that no repairs were executed to the building for the last 10 years at a smaller sum(Code para 1041, Rule 1(2) note).

C.
22/7
(Enc 3)

memo No. 3557 C d 15.7.92 ✗
" " 3456 C d 7.7.92 ✗
" " 2817 C d 2.6.92 ✗
" " 2816 C d 2.6.92 ✗
" " 822 C d 17.2.92 ✗
" " 5320 C d 26.11.91 ✗
" " 9450 d 24.9.91 ✗
" " 794 B d 1-9.91 ✗
" 3305 C d 14.1.91 ✗
B no. 2021 w d 27.7.91 ✗
memo No. 2242 C d 24.4.91 ✗
" " 1758 C d 30.3.91 ✗
" " 1575 C d 19.3.91 ✗
" " 246 C d 17.1.91 ✗
B no. 32524 w d 8.12.90 ✗
A " 2417-18 w d 8.9.90 ✗
" " 2714 w d 16.10.83 ✗
" " 1533 w d 20.6.84 ✗
B " 1806 w d 6.7.87 ✗
A " 2049 w d 16.6.86 ✗
" " 1833 w d 8.7.85 ✗
" " 3131 w d 17.11.87 ✗
B " 116 w d 12.1.88 ✗
" " 310 w d 26.1.88 ✗
" " 801 w d 10.3.88 ✗
" " 1104 w d 12.4.88 ✗
memo no. 246 C d 17.2.91 ✗
Pr. A no. 2621-27 w d 30.7.91 ✗
" " 648 w d 6.3.91 ✗
" " 242 w d 26.1.91 ✗
" " 10 w d 5.1.91 ✗

2.8.92
2/7

Statement under ~~C E's~~ Chief Engineer's No: 5320 C dated 26th November 1891 of Public Buildings Called for in Superintending Engineer's No: 666/W.C dated 30th November 1891.

Item No.	Names of Buildings	Value of building and Site	Average Cost of Repairs	Rent according to rules	Proportion of total area occupied by Office if any	Deduction on account of Office rent (if any)	Rent that should be paid by occupant	Rent that is proposed		Average Salary of occupant	Market rate for similar accommodation (to be given as far as practicable)	Remarks
								Yearly	Monthly			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1	Museum building Mangalore 106×55 = 5830 Sft	23700 25770 - -	224 - -	97 10 8 104 8 - per month	67×55 = 3665 Sft	66 - 10	31 9 10 38 7 2 per month	100 - -	8 5 4	occupied by Mangalore Municipality	30 - -	Original Cost Rs 20,700 Cost of Site Rs 3000 Est: Tools & plant 10% Rs 2070 Capital Cost 25770 Average Cost of repairs Rs 204 Est. Tools & plant 10% Rs 20 224
2	Vakeels Chambers Calicut 246×21½ = 5289 Sft	15448 - -	272 - -	74 51 8 -	Nil	- -	74 51 8 -	864 - -	72 - - or Rs two per [illegible]	occupied by 36 Vakeels	about 75 - -	Original Cost Rs 9087 Cost of Site Rs 5452 Est: Tools & plant 10% Rs 909 Capital Cost 15,448

2 4 3 3 - 4 4
5

[illegible]

West Coast Division Office
10th June 1892

A Joyce
Executive Engineer

SU ENGINEER'S OFFICE No. 13 JUN. 92 Enclo FOURTH CIRCLE

EXAMINER 525-52 17 JUN 1892 P.W. ACCOUNTS

EXAMINER No. 18 JUL 1892 ENCLOSURES P. W. ACCOUNTS

Rs 52 7278/92

Statement to accompany letter to Government of India No. 2144 W of 2d Augt. 1892.

N°	Names of Buildings	Value of building and site	Average cost of repairs	Rent according to rules.	Proportion of total area occupied by office if any.	Deduction on account of office rent (if any)	Rent that should be paid by occupant	Rent that is proposed		Average salary of occupant	Market rate for similar accommodation (to be given as far as practicable)	Remarks.
								Yearly	Monthly			
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	Museum buildings Mangalore 106 × 55 = 5830 s. ft.	23700 - -	224 - -	97 10 8 per month	67 × 55 = 3685 s. ft.	66 - 10	31 9 10 per month	100 - -	8 5 4	Occupied by Mangalore municipality.	80 - -	
	Vakils' Chambers Calicut. 246 × 21½ = 5289 s.ft.	15448 - -	272* - -	74 - -	nil	- - -	74 - -	864 - -	72 - - or 6 per chamber	Occupied by Vakils	about 75	*Estimated at 3 per cent on cost of construction, no expenditure having yet been incurred on repairs

2/6 53-55

10/ No. 2143. W. d/ 2nd August 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

43-57/

READ the following

Endorsement from the S. E. IV. circle No. 269. W. d/ 14-6-92.
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 795. C. d/ 13-7-92.
do ——— do ——— No. 352 C. d/ 22-7-92

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

1 HE No. 2144 W. d/ 2nd Aug. 92

To
The Secy. to the Govt. of India
P.W.D.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 2021. W. d/ 27th July 1891 and with reference to your No. 14.B. d/ 9th January 1891, I am directed to forward a statement in the form prescribed in your No. 441.B. d/ 9th December 1890, giving the

~~Giving~~ the required information regarding the museum building at Mangalore, a portion of which is let to the Municipality, and the Vakils' chambers at Calicut, and to request the sanction of the Government of India to the rates of rent fixed by this Government, viz Rs 100 per annum for the former and Rs 2 per chamber per mensem for the latter.

I have &c.

W

(7)

27-7-92

Cr

11 62-7

No. 2143-44. W. d/2 August
1892.

7

Note for Madras Off[illegible]

Please return [illegible] file to [illegible] [illegible] of India.

29

2/8.