(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1/82
(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

③

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 2125

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 1-8-1892 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 Sheets

ஆவண, கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,

No. 259W.

Chepák, 2d June 1892.

From
Lieut. Col. D. Mac Neil Campbell R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

2/125/1

SIR,

I have the honor to request that sanction may be given to charge allowance for Mr. D. Marshall Assistant Engineer under Article 176 of the Civil Service Regulation for holding charge of the Tanjore Division from 7th April 1892.

Enclosures.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

D. McN Campbell Lt Col. R.E.
Supt Engr V Circle

6061

From P. W. CURRENT No. ~~5892~~

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

V CIRCLE,

EXAMINER
No. 1888 S.A.
8 JUN 1892
P. W. ACCOUNTS

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Department.

Dated 2d } June 1892.
Recd. ~~2d~~ }
R. 10-6-92

ENCLOSURES.

&

No. 259 W

W. Marshall Esqr.
Offg Executive Engineer

Requests that sanction may be given to charge allowance for — for holding charge of Tanjore Division from

No. 2864 C d/ 4th June 1892

To

C.

For favour of remarks.

DWB
4.6.92

No. 799 S.A. d/ 9-6-92

To W.

Mr. Marshall Asstt. Engineer has not passed the Examination prescribed in chapter II, para 18, Government of India Code, volume I for promotion to Executive Engineer 4th. Grade, he cannot therefore be appointed to hold charge of a Division under article 176 Civil Service Regulations.

9/6
C.

Copy of letter from the Accountant General Public Works Department to the Examiner Public Works Accounts Madras No. 1187 E. dated 9th July 1892.

21st/2

In reply to your letter No. 865 S.A. of the 21st June 1892, I have the honor to state that it is the practice in the case of lists administered directly by the Government of India not to give charge allowance to officers who have not passed the examination referred to in para 18 Chapter II, Volume I Public Works Department Code though placed in charge of divisions. According to this practice Mr. D. Marshall, Assistant Engineer 2nd grade would not be entitled to any charge allowance.

2 With reference to paras 5 to 9 of ~~my~~ your letter under reply, I may add that had Mr Marshall passed the required examination he would have been entitled to charge allowance for the period of Mr Lambert's joining time, but not for any period from the 3rd May 1892 to the date (if subsequent) on which Mr Lambert was relieved

(True Copy)

G. E. Ratton
Supt. Correspondence Branch
20/7

No. 2983 C

EXAMINER
No. 1935
14 JUN 1892
P. W. ACCOUNTS

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 11th June 1892

OFFICE NOTE.

From W

2d 25/3

To C

Early
14/6

Your office note No. 799 S.A. d/ 9-6-92

As a matter of fact Mr Marshall was appointed to the charge of the Tanjore division on Major Henderson's departure and did the work until relieved; it seems to me that he is entitled to a charge allowance under Article 176 C.S. Regns.

Para 91 P.W. Code (para 18 Chap. 27 Indian Code) refers to promotion to the rank of Executive

P. W. CURRENT No. 7480

Engineer, and not to a charge allowance under Article 176 C.S.R.

Please reconsider the question.

D

W
11.6

R 21.7.92

No. 50 A. of 20-7-92

To W.

Please see copy of letter from the Accountant General P.W.D.No.1187 B dated 9th.July 1892, which gives the practice in the case of lists administered directly by the Government of India.

C.

Memo No. 2983 C of 11.6.92
Lr. B no. 1602 W of 25.5.92
1451 Mly of 9-6-92
" " 2197 C of 26.4.92
" " 1756 W of 24.6.90
Memo no. 2481 C of 4.6.90

20/7

(Enclosures)

R 29-7-92

C No. 7480

Note for H. M.

I am not quite satisfied about the case now. That an officer has not passed the necessary examination is a good reason for not putting him in charge of a Division, but if, as in this case, the exigencies of the service require him to be put in charge and he does the work satisfactorily, he ought to be paid for it.

We could not however expect the Government to pass any allowance in the face of the Accountant General's letter, without reference to the Govt of India, and in the matter, the same letter is [illegible] seems hardly worth while to make a reference.

Cu 6061

Note

Mr Marshall's case appears similar to that of Mr J. W. S. Smythe, disposed of by G.O. No 1756 W of 24 June 1870.

Cu
11/8/92

25/6

Note for Hon: Member

25/6

I am not quite satisfied about this even now. That an officer has not passed the necessary examination is a good reason for not putting him in charge of a Division, but if, as in this case, the exigencies of the service require him to be so placed and he does the work satisfactorily, he ought to be paid for it.

We could not however

/17 R 29-7-92

Examiner to pass
ny allowance
n the face of the
ccountant General's
letter, without a
reference to the
Govt of India, and in
he face of the same
letter it seems hardly
orth while to make
reference (?)

I think

No. 2125 W. d/1 August 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the S.E. V Circle (H.Q. No. 259 W d/2 6.92)
Office note from the Ex. PWA (" " 799 S.A. d/9.6.92)
— do — do — (" " 50 A, d/ 20.7.92

Mr. Marshall not having passed the examination prescribed by para 91 P.W. Code, the Government regrets that no extra allowance can be granted to him for the period he held charge of the Tan

Tanjore division.

OWB
4.6.42

No. 2125 W. d/1 August 1912

V

S. E. V Circle

Ex. P.W.A.

√ 2/8

CM

J.C. 3/8

3/8