

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 7.72
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் Q

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

43

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1974	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 9-7-1882	198	.

my

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாளவோ கூடாது

9/Shaft

1974

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper :—

From A. G. CARDEW, Esq., M.A., C.S., Acting Inspector-General of Jails, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Octacumund, 3rd June 1892, No. 2504.

I have the honour to submit, for the approval and sanction of Government, revised estimates, as noted in the margin, amounting to Rs. 13,890 and 40,910, respectively, for the construction of a quarantine yard and of a close prison attached to the Rajahmundry Central Jail.

Quarantine yard.		RS.
1. Estimate, abstract general	...	13,890
2. Do. for wood and iron work	...	4,800
3. Do. for compound wall	...	3,730
4. Report.		
5. Estimate, comparative statement of differences.		

Close prison.		RS.
6. Estimate, abstract general	...	40,910
7. Do. wood and iron work	...	10,970
8. Do. compound wall	...	8,940
9. Report.		
10. Estimate, comparative statement of differences.		

2. The original estimate, amounting to Rs. 9,600, for the quarantine yard was sanctioned in G.O., dated 24th April 1889, No. 1084 W., Public Works. From the Judicial G.O., dated 13th June 1888, No. 1318, printed in the former Proceedings, it will be observed that the Public Works Department originally estimated the work to cost Rs. 11,520 + Rs. 1,580, value of convict labour, or Rs. 13,100 in all, but that at the suggestion of

Mr. Grimes a pisé compound wall was substituted for a stone or masonry one (it does not appear which) and the estimates were thereby reduced by some Rs. 3,450. The original estimate for the close prison amounted to Rs. 29,260 and was sanctioned in G.O., dated 7th July 1890, No. 1851 W., Public Works. In that also a pisé compound wall was provided.

3. In December last the Superintendent, Central Jail, Rajahmundry, addressed the Inspector-General of Jails stating (1) that experience had shown the nature of the soil at Rajahmundry to be unsuited to pisé work; (2) that it was undesirable to have a pisé wall round a close prison, as the worst characters are confined therein and such a wall would afford a better chance of escape than one of stone. He accordingly recommended that a stone wall should be substituted, and in official memorandum, dated the 7th January, Colonel McDonald Smith approved of this proposal. The revised estimates herewith submitted have been prepared accordingly.

4. From the comparative statements of differences which accompany this letter, it will be observed that of the excess of Rs. 4,240 in the revised over the original estimate for the quarantine yard, Rs. 2,180 are due to the substitution of stone for pisé in the compound wall. The remaining differences, amounting to Rs. 2,060, are caused by (1) a revision of the rate for teak; (2) omission of centage from the original estimate which has now been supplied. I understand that the whole of the buildings except the compound wall have been completed, and the only practical question to be settled is, therefore, that of stone *versus* pisé.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

G.O., 9th July 1892, No. 1974 W.

JULY.

appear which) and the estimates were thereby reduced by some Rs 450. The original estimate for the Close Prison amounted to Rs 29,260, and was sanctioned in G.O. 7th July 1898, No 1857 W Public Works. In that also a ^{compound} pierce wall was provided.

3. In December last the Superintendent Central Jail Rajahmundry addressed the Inspector General of Jails stating (1) that experience had shown the nature of the soil at Rajahmundry to be unsuited to pierce work (2) that it was undesirable to have a pierce wall round a close prison, as the worst characters are confined therein and such a wall would afford a better chance of escape than one of stone. He accordingly recommended that a stone wall should be substituted and in official Memorandum dated the 7th January Colonel Mc Donald Smith approved of this proposal. The revised estimates herewith submitted have been prepared accordingly.

4. From the comparative statements of differences which accompany this letter, it will be observed that of the excess of Rs 4240 in the revised over the original estimate for the Quarantine Yard, Rs 2130 are due to the substitution of stone for pierce in the compound wall. The remaining differences amounting to Rs 2010 are caused by (1) a revision of the rate for brick (2) omission of cartage from the

the original estimate, which has now been supplied. I understand that the whole of the buildings except the compound wall have been completed, and the only practical question to be settled is therefore that of stone versus pierce.

5. The case as regards the close prison is much the same. The change in material of the compound wall accounts for Rs 5040 out of the total difference between revised and original estimate of Rs 11,650. The remaining increase of Rs 6110 is due to omissions and insufficient rates in the original estimate. On the 7th May last, the Superintendent reported that the close prison has been nearly completed throughout except the compound wall.

6. As regards the material to be used for this, I concur in Colonel Mc Donald Smith's and the Superintendent's opinion that stone is preferable to pierce, and I understand that the Public Works authorities are of the same opinion.

7. In conclusion, I beg that the Government may be pleased to make an allotment from the Provincial Public Works Reserve for the completion of these buildings as early as possible within the current year. It will be observed that four years have passed since Mr. Grimes first submitted the estimates for the

the Quarantine Ward, and when I visited
Rajahmundry in March 1891 the buildings
were already in an advanced state. They will
not be improved by remaining empty so long.
The Close Prison is still more urgently needed,
and it requires only the compound wall to
enable it to be occupied. I trust, therefore,
that it may be possible to provide the
necessary funds for completing these works
at an early date.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

A. G. Gordon.

Ag. Inspector General of Prisons.

1924
5

JUDICIAL.

JAIL (1892) DEPARTMENT.

P. W. CURRENT No. 6401

From

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF JAILS,

Ootacamund

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Port Bluff

Recd: 20. 11. 92

Dated

Despatched

Received

Regd 8

No. 2504

ENCLOSURES 10

Subject.

Submitting revised estimates amounting to Rs 13,090 and 40,910 respectively for the construction of a Quarantine Ward, Close Prison in the Rajahmundry Central Jail - for approval and sanction of Government.

C. 15/6
R. 17/6

7021229:23-7-90 mis
" 825:11-5-89 ..
" 1318:13-6-88 ..
" 943:20-4-88 ..
Total 890:25-5-88 mis

18.4 June 1892 No. 1704.

18.4 June

approved & transferred to the Public Works Department.

(By order)

Attharwork.

Ag. Under Secretary.

No. 508 W of 6-3-85
" 1106 W - 11-5-85
" 2428 C - 3-7-88
" 4364 C - 8-11-88
" 1084 W - 24-4-89
" 3462 - 24-6-90
" 1851 W - 7-7-90

Public Works Department.

Current No.

6401

Letter No.

1704

Dated

18.4 June 1892

From the

Judicial Dept.

TECHNICAL NOTE.

The accompanying two revised estimates for Constructing a Quarantine Ward with out-houses & for a Close Prison in the Rajahmundry Central Jail are submitted for the sanction of Govt.

(1) Quarantine Ward

The original estimate for this work amounting to Rs 9,650 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1014 of 24.4.1892 and the present revised estimate amounts to Rs 13,090 with an excess of Rs 3,440 or nearly 35% over the original sanction. This excess is chiefly due to change of materials for the compound wall from "pucca masonry" to "rough stone in mortar" and increase of rates for masonry, iron bars, windows, iron work, etc. to omissions of workshop charges.

The excess of Rs 3,440 is made up as follows:-
(a) Alteration in materials for Compound

The change changes must remain -
The work was done with the best workshop men. The change proportions of the work is clear. The alterations in materials of the compound wall. The original proposal was to build the wall of rough stone & this is now found necessary to be -

The rate for iron bars - masonry etc. should not have been so high as before.

Provision

over 17.65

(a) Increased rate for teakwood -	629
(b) - do - iron barred windows -	375
(c) - do - iron work -	22
(d) Omission in carriage charges -	948
(e) - do - General charges 2% -	74
(f) Other petty items -	12

What excess Rs 4240

Under G.O. No. 1892 W dated 29th Oct 1891 no carriage charges were to be charged for works executed in Civil Divisional workshops for the P.W.D. from Provincial funds. Hence the carriage amounting to Rs 948 included in the revised estimate may be omitted. By this omission the excess of Rs 4240 will be reduced to Rs 3292 or nearly 24% in excess over the sanctioned amount instead of 44% as now provided & the revised estimate will be reduced from Rs 13890 to Rs 12942. The estimate having been sanctioned long before the issue of the G.O. under reference, the carriage charges may have been included in the accounts of the Eastern Godavari Division which may now be difficult to distinguish & under para 3 of the G.O. the charge may be admitted.

In para 2 of G.O. No. 1898 W dated 27th Oct 1890. The fixing of the carriage

to Rs. 1892 W of 29th Oct 91.

for General charges was left to the discretion of the Divisional Engineer subject to the approval of the Sd. The provision of Rs 74 included in the revised estimate at 2% may be allowed.

(2) Chore Puzos

The original estimate amounting to Rs 29260 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1851 W dated 7th July 90 & the revised estimate now submitted amounts to Rs 40910 being Rs 11650 or nearly 40% in excess of the original sanction. This increase is also due to alteration in materials for the compound wall & to increase of rates.

The excess of Rs 11650 is made up as follows:-

(a) Change of materials in compound wall -	Rs 5540
(b) Increased rate for iron barred doors & shutters -	4575
(c) - do - teakwood -	205
(d) Increased workshop charges -	1441
(e) Omission of General charges -	171

Grand Total Rs 11932
Deduct minor savings 282
What excess Rs 11650

With regard to workshop charges the same remarks under General charges may be applied.

means of Rs 11,650 will be reduced
to Rs (11,650 - 1441) 10,209 or 34.82%
over the original sanction instead
of 40% as now provided & the as-
sessment of the revised estimate will
be reduced from Rs 40,910 to Rs 39,500.

The increased rates provided
in the revised estimates cannot
be checked into workshop bills in
support of the charges are sub-
mitted.

No note is made in the revised
estimate as to the reduction to be
effected by the use of prison labor
as required by para 937 of the Code.

The estimates have been exam-
ined & approved by the Sup^r. General of
Jails & approved in the Judicial
Dept.

24. 11. 92.

No. 1774 W of 9 July 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Inspector G. of Jails No. 2504 of 3 June 1892
Encl^d. thereon in the Judicial Dept. No. 1704 of 15 June 1892

The undermentioned
revised estimates are
sanctioned.

Civil Buildings, Original Estimate

Constructing a quarantine
ward in the Central Jail,
Rajahmundry - in Super-
session of the estimate
of Rs. 9650 sanctioned
in G.O. No. 1084 W of 24
April 1889

Rs. 13870 - Procl. No. 27 W of 1892-93

Constructing a close
prison in - do -
in supersession of the
estimate of Rs. 29,260
sanctioned in G.O. No.
1851 W of 7 July 1890

40910 - Procl. No. 28 W of 1892-93

2. Funds have been provided
for the various works in G.O.
1752 W of 13 June 1892

The S.E. Circle
with 10 enclosed
Edn. P.W. Dept.
Judicial Dept.

20/11/92

Govt. of (189) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

117/52

No. 9th July 1922 No 1974 W

Central Jail Rajamundry.
to estimates of Rs 138 90 and Rs 40 76
for constructing a quarantine
ward and a close prison in
the — Sanctioned in Super-
session of previous orders.

(9)

80
4

4-10/22 4.4.22