

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 22 May 1971
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 100

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	1683-84	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	3-6-1882	198-

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

8 Shab

ஆவண, கா-1-18,000-15-0-87.

ஆவண கா. 3. RAGIND-N-C

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம். மூலப்படி
 (ஆராய்ச்சிக்கூடம்.)

பதிவுருக்களுக்கான/ஏடுகளுக்கான கோரிக்கை
 தேவைக் கோரிக்கையாளரின் பெயர்.....

பதிவுருக்கள்/ஏடுகள் பற்றிய விவரங்கள்:

எண்.	நாள்.	துறை.
நூலக எண்.		ஏட்டின் பெயர்.

PWD Proceeding
 3rd June 1892 No 1683 No
 109/992/த
 நாள் 11/5/95
 குறிப்பு. - ஒரு படிவத்தில் ஒரு பதிவுருவுக்கு/ஒரு ஏட்டுக்குமேல் கேட்கக்கூடாது.
 வழங்கப்பட்டது.
 உதவியாளர், கூப்பு

32

51/c

Camp Nachmarie 10th May 2

1183-84
1

Secretary to Government of Madras
P. W. D. Madras.

Mr. Henry Juvin C.E. Consulting
Architect to Govt Government having
been good enough to prepare a design,
which has been approved of, for the
new Law Courts at Nagpore, the Chief
Commissioner desires to mark his
appreciation of Mr. Juvin's services
in connection therewith by giving
him an honorarium of Rs 500/- under
article 89, Civil Service Regulations
I am accordingly directed to
inquire

P. M. CURRENT 1892

Inquire if the Government of
Madras approves of the honorarium
being paid to Mr. Irwin.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Yours most obedient servant.

J. W. Jones C.B.

Secy. to Chief Commr.

10/5/92 P. W. D. G.

Recd. 14-5-92

Enclosures
nil

No. 1124 w of 5-4-92
No. 636 w of 6-3-91
3066 w - 17-11-90

5690 No 2640C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 25 May 92

OFFICE NOTE.

From

W

To

C. A.

1657/2

2600 c of 20.5.92
1182 w of 8.4.92
636 w of 6-3-91
3066 w of 17-11-90

Your letter No. 557
of 23-5-92

Please return the
letter from the Chief
Commissioner Central
Provinces sent to you with
endorsement from this
office No. 2640C dated
20th May 1892.

1/2 of 00.13
56

25/5

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
 Chepauk, Madras,
 23 May 1892.

No. 557

From

Henry Swin, Esq., C.I.E.,
 Consulting Architect
 to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
 P.W. Department
 Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your No 2640.e
 of 20th instant I have the honor to
 explain that I was asked in the first
 instance by Mr. Glass who is a personal
 friend whether I could help him with a
 design for the new Law Courts at Nagpur.
 I undertook to do it for him without thinking
 of the official nature of the work or the
 understanding that the expenses of the
 draughtsman &c. that I should have to
 employ should be paid. I regret that I
 should have inadvertently infringed any
 of the rules. I see that I should have applied
 for permission to undertake the work in
 the first instance in accordance with article
 87 (a)

87 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations -
On a previous occasion during my employment
a similar I undertook a similar work at
the request of the Chief Commissioner Central
Prvinces, (the design of the Jabulpore
Law Courts). I believe I had the permission
of the Government of India to do so.
It was an oversight my not applying for
permission in this case which I hope will
be excused.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Yours most obedient servant

Henry Francis

Commissioner of Prisons to Govt

1683-84
4

Public Works Department.

From
THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 557

Dated 23rd May 1892
Despatched
Received 26th

No. of ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Submitting explanation how the Engg. Archt. came to undertake the designing of the plan & etc. for Law Courts at Nagpur without the previous permission of Govt.

No. 30665 of 17-11-90
- 6365 - 6-3-91
- 11845 - 8-4-92
- 2640C - 20-5-92

Read the following:-

Letter from the Secretary to the Chief Commr.
P. W. Dept., Central Provinces No. 32 of 10th May '92

16/4

FOR ORDERS.

There appears no objection to Mr. Irwin's acceptance of the fee, but it is suggested that the work ought not to have been undertaken in the first instance without the sanction of Govt. It is very desirable that the latter should retain complete control over the execution of private or extra-official work by its Superior officers.

2. In this case the certificate required by

by article 89(a) of the
C.S. Regulations can
hardly be given by
the Chief Engineer,
who knew nothing
of the work till it
was completed.

S

W

165

I quite agree with the above remarks
Mr. Inam Chandra Inamdar has explained
his undertaking the work without
permission of the Chief Engineer.

W

in reply

R 186

14/5/1915



P. W. D.

No 2640C dated 20th May 1892

Letter from the Secy. to the Chief Commr,
P. W. D., Central Provinces, No. 32
57C d/10.5.92

* Proposing a fee to the
Commr architect for preparing
designs for the new
Law Courts, Nagpore

Forwarded to

The Commr. Architect,
with the request that
he will explain how
he came to under-
take the work in
question without
the previous sanction
of the Chief Engineer
or of Government,
which is required
by Article 89(a) of
the C. S. Regs.

20th The Commr. Architect
into paper in original

S

205 E.W.

1184 W d/ 8. 6. 92
 636 W d/ 6. 3. 91
 3066 W d/ 17. 11. 90

2640 C d/ 20. 5. 92

P. W. S.

Read the fully:—

Letter from the Secy. the Ch. Comm: P. W. S. C. P. No. 32 d/ 10. 1. 92
 Letter from the Com: Architect No. 557 d/ 23. 1. 92
 Office note from — do — No. 587 d/ 28. 1. 92

For orders.

It may be accepted that Mr. Irwin had no idea of departing from rule, and there appears no reason why he should not be allowed to accept the honorarium offered, but it would be as well to lay down the rule that in future no extra-official

work should be
undertaken without
the previous knowledge
and approval of
the Chief Engineer

S

20.5

Approved

W.S.

1/11

FOR ORDERS.

No. 1683 Wd/3^d June 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Secy: to the Chief Comm:
P.W.D. Central Provinces... (H.E. No. 32 d/10.5.92)
570
Letter from the Comm: Architect (... 537 d/23.5.92)
Office note from the — do — (... 587 d/28.5.92)

H.E. the Govt in
Council sanctions the
acceptance by Mr.
Irwin of the honorarium
of Rs 500 offered by the
Chief Commissioner,
Central Provinces, who
will be informed
accordingly.

2. It must however
be understood that
in future no extra-
official work shall be
undertaken without
the previous knowledge
and approval of the

Chief Engineer.

(H.E. No. 1684 Wd/3^d June '92 Consy: Architect. ✓

To,

The Chief Commissioners
Central Provinces.

Sir,

I am directed to
acknowledge the receipt
of your Secretary's
letter No. 31/57 C dated
10th May 1892 and to

No. 1683 - 4 Wd/3692

inform you in reply
that His Excellency the
Governor in Council
sanctions the acceptance
by Mr. Spavin of the
honourarium of Rs. 500
offered for the designs
prepared by him for
the new Law Courts
at Nagpur.

(8)

24/6/92
S. C. 4/6

24/6
S. C. 4/6