(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16 pages

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 18/6/91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

185

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1654

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 31-5-1852 19~~8~~.

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

8 Shts

ஆவண. கா-1—18,006—15-6-87.

statement of water Registrar
N Soobba Row—

On the 21st morning I accompanied with the overseer on the channel bank & after he took the measurements I was to write out the bill on the work spot, but on finding that the Sub Division Officer did not come we returned to Kodiveri and prepared the bill there. for Rs. 1248 two bills were not prepared the measurement book was inked up after returned to Kodivery, the bill was posted on the 22nd. I did not take the measurements myself I accompanied with the overseer while he took the measurements some time, I have written down the measurements at his dictation.

N. Soobba Row
Water Registrar
11-5-92

SUPDG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE P.W.D.
10 MAY. 92
FOURTH CIRCLE

E3/ [illegible]

Measurement Book No. 1 Page No. 70

COIMBATORE DIVISION. Sathiamangalam TALUK.

Name of work Providing Scouring sluice in the Manga-mary weir

Name of contractor Ramadyar & Anthoni Mudily

Date of Measurement 28-3 1892

Particulars of Work.	No.	Measurement in Feet.			Contents.
		L.	B.	D.	
Cut stone dressed and filled piers	4	5¼	3	3½	283½
do	4	4½	3	4	216
do	4	4	3	4	192
			Total cft		691½
Dismantling body wall	1	60	6	5	1800
			Total cft		1800
Concrete metal	37	12	3	1½	1998
			Total cft		1998
[illegible]		L	L		
Chunam Parah		L	L		400
[illegible] Parah			L		400

(Sd) P. [illegible]

~~When I check measured the balance of [illegible] in the [illegible]~~

True Copy –

Taylor
Supervisor

18 MAY 92

PW 5492/92
3.3

Particulars of Work	No.	Measurement in Feet			Contents.
		L.	B.	D.	

Item No. of each Piece-worker's account.	Name of Piece-worker.	Description of work.	Item No. of last voucher.	Measurement of Work: Page of Measurement book	Measurement of Work: Date of Measurement.	Unit.	Quantity during the month.	Rate at	Amount: Value of work done.	Amount: Deduct for deferred payment.	Amount: Balance.	Amount: Deduct fines.	Amount: Balance now paid.	Date of payment.	Piece-worker's receipt (stamped when necessary).
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
								Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.		
	Rama Iyer and Anthoni Mody	Cut stones dressed & fitted		70	28-3-92	cft	691½	- 6 -	259 5 -					31-3-92	(Sd) Rama Iyer & Anthoni Mody Piece workers
		Dismantling concrete metal				100 cft	1800	3 - -	54 - -						
						do	1998	4 - -	79 14 9						
		Ring bund						LS.	50 - -						
		Chunam				Paras	400	- 8 -	200 - -						
		Surkhi				do	400	- 5 -	125 - -						
Total of Bill, Rupees:—									768 3 9	78 3 9	690 - -		690 - -		

~~1654/3~~

1684/3

40,000—12-9-90.

NOTES.

Reference to Madras P. W. D. Code,
Edition of 1886.

Paras. 1344A, 1754, 1830A and 1830B.

1. A separate form must be used for each separate work; and payments relating to two or more works must not be included in the same form (para. 1830B).

2. Each man's claim will ordinarily be for a single description of work done on one sub-head of the estimate; but, in exceptional cases if one man's claim is for two or more sub-heads of work, a total of the claim should be given in column 10, and an abstract of the bill showing the total chargeable to each sub-head should be given below these notes.

3. When a final (second) payment is made (see code para. 1830A), the particulars of work done, &c., as shown in previous voucher, should not be detailed in column 3, the entry in this column being merely confined to a reference to the voucher No. and month in which the first payment appeared, thus:—"Balance due on voucher No. —— for ————," reference to the item No. of the voucher being given in column 4.

4. A receipt stamp is required for each payment exceeding Rs. 20.

5. When payment is acknowledged by a mark, it should be certified by the officer in whose presence the payment is made.

51
W 5492/92

True Copy

P. W. D. Form,
No. M 14 A.

Voucher Numbers—
Central Office No. ——
Divisional Office No.
Sub-Divisional „ No. 68

Division Coimbatore
Sub-Division Erode
Month of payment March 1892.

PIECE-WORKER'S BILL.

(To accompany the accounts for the month of March 1892.)

Name of Work Providing scouring sluices in the Manjamarry weir of Arkencottah Channel Supply. Estd Rs 6430

Certified that the measurements on which the claims entered within are based were made on the dates entered in column 6 and are recorded in my measurement book No. 1 on the pages mentioned in column 5. The work has been satisfactorily done.

Station Karpolai
Dated 29-3-92
(Sd) T. P. Panchapikesan
In charge of work. Opr

Pay Rupees (690 00 Six hundred and ninety only)

Station Erode
Dated 31-3-92
(Sd) J. M. Taylor.
Officer ordering payment.

Paid by Cheque No $\frac{3\,10}{49884}$ of 31-3-92 in my presence.

(Sd) J. M. Taylor
Dated 31-3-92 Signature and rank of officer.

True Copy
Approved.
[illegible]
Station
Dated
Supg. ~~Executive Eng~~ineer.

Examined.

Divisional Accountant

No 247
W

Superintending Engineer's office, 4th Circle,
Coimbatore, 22 May 1892.

1654/4

From,
Lt. Col. R.R.E. Drake-Brockman, R.E.,
Supg. Engineer, 4th Circle,

To,
The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department,

Sir,

Enclo - 3.
1 Statement
1 Measurement
1 Bill

I have the honor to bring to your notice for such steps as you may deem necessary a case of wilful fraud on the part of Overseer T. Panchabigesier employed in the Erode Sub Division in having on the 29th March last prepared bills for lime supplied for the Manjamari weir work on the Arakencotta Channel when considerably less than the quantity stated therein had on that date been supplied.

2. I received when at Coonoor on the 28th ultimo a petition from a resident of Koduveri bringing to light this and other facts in connection with the works that are in progress under this Over-seer

Overseer, and I immediately made arrangements to run down to the work spot and enquire into the truth of these assertions. I ordered the Sub Division officer Mr. J. M. Taylor to meet me there. I visited the works on the 6th instant, and on checking the heap of lime stored in the shed, found it to contain 450 cubic feet only. Since the 29th March some concrete work had been carried out as well as some granite ashlar for the piers of the undersluices of the weir, utilising about 100 cubic feet of lime; thus bringing the total supplied up to date of the bill to 550 cubic feet.

3. The amount billed for was 400 parahs as will be seen from true copy of the bill herewith sent, or 933 cubic feet; thus leaving 383 cubic feet or 165 parahs of lime still to be supplied on the 6th May last. I did not check the quantity of Surkie in the shed but from its appearance I concluded there was as great a shortfall in supply as in the case of the lime.

4. The Supervisor Mr. J. M. Taylor had paid the bill without check measuring it or going near the work till he was ordered to meet me there. He should have taken the earliest opportunity to have checked the work, as well as the clearances carried out in the upper 6 miles of the Arakencottah Channel, which he had also left untouched, before admission of water into the channel. He is wanting in that energy and acumen required in dealing with Overseers of the type of P. Panchabigesier, who was removed by me from the North Arcot District under circumstances which led me to suspect his honesty. 1684/5

5. The character of this subordinate was made known by me to the Executive Engineer and although a similar petition to the one I received was sent to him, he contented himself with remaining on in Ootacamund and sending it to Mr. Taylor for report although Mr. Taylor was also charged therein with apparent collusion with the Overseer in having paid for the work without previously check measuring it.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedt. servant,
R. Hedrake-Buchanan Lt. Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer, 4th Circle.

P. W. CURRENT No. 5192

From

The Actg. Supdt.
4 Circle

Dated 22 } May 1892
Despd 23 }
Rd 25-5-92

No 247
IV

Encls 3

Overseer P. Panchalu
gesier

Bringing a case of wilful fraud on the part of — to the notice of the Chief Engr. P.W.D.

5192

Ow 168/6

For orders.
Apparently the overseer's defence should be called for.

C.
25-5-92

As the Supdt. Engineer is apparently able to prove his fraud I think the Ovr should be prosecuted & the S.E. directed to take the necessary steps to do so — Meanwhile the overseer should be suspended.

OEH
25-5-92

C.

P. W. D.

Read the follg:—

Letter from the S. E. IV Circle No. 2471 d/22. 5. 92

1627/K

For Orders

As the Supdg. Engr. is apparently able to prove the fraud, it is suggested that he be directed to prosecute the overseer criminally.

Meanwhile the overseer will be suspended.

27.5

Why did not S.E. take statements from the overseer & supervisor on the spot? Until we know what they have to say it is not safe to order a criminal prosecution.

Suspend the overseer by all means, but let there be a proper enquiry before he is prosecuted.

29 May

Chief

No. 1654 W d/ 31st May 189

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

B/ From orders

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the S.E. IV Circle (P.E. No 247 W d/ 22. V. 92

1657/8

The Government considers that the Supdg Engineer should have obtained statements on the spot from overseer P. Panchapakesa Aiyar and supervisor Mr J. M. Taylor, and submitted them with the report above recorded.

2. The Supdg Engineer will now take steps to hold a formal enquiry into the alleged fraud on the part of overseer

Funchapakesa
yar, and will submit
te overseer's statement
ith such depositions
s may be obtainable
to enable the Government
to pass further orders
n the matter.

3. Meanwhile overseer
P. Funchapekesa Aiyar
will be placed under
suspension on receipt
of this order.

31.5

No. 1654 W d/ 31-5-92

8

S. E. IV Circle 31/5
S. E. III Circle 5
Roa (\[illegible\] 3 \[illegible\] \[illegible\]) 31/5

J 2/6

J. C. 31/5

Cn