

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 18
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/9/0
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 10/9

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

87

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	15/2	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	16-5-1892	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

9 Sheet

ஆவண, கா-1-18,008-15-8-87.

D.V.

4

To

His Excellency The Right Honorable

BEILLY BARON WENLOCK. G. C. I. E.

GOVERNOR AND PRESIDENT IN COUNCIL,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

MADRAS,

*The humble Memorial of A. MOONEAPPA
AUCHARRY, late a Maistry Carpenter in the
Presidency Public Works Workshops, Madras,
residing in No. 30, Sathani Varathier's
Street, Black Town, Madras.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

1. That your Memorialist, after serving continuously in Government employ for nearly 36 years, was most unfairly dismissed from his appointment on the 15th November 1891, by the General Superintendent (Vide Exhibit I) and his appointment given to his Assistant.

2. That the reasons given for thus dismissing an energetic and faithful servant with a very short notice of 12 days were:—

(a) "That 2 Maistries were not required".

(b) "That he has not shewn himself capable of turning out work at a fair rate".

While there were no two Maistries in this branch, but the Memorialist.

3. That, on the 19th November 1891, your Memorialist petitioned the Superintending Engineer, Vth circle, Madras, explaining his case, and praying that he might be re-instated, or, that a small Pension be given him for the support of himself and his large and helpless family, consisting of a wife and 7 children (all of them below 15 years of age) solely dependent on him, in these famine days, and submitted it through the General Superintendent; and a reply thereto was received through the same Officer, Vide letter No. 450 P. W. W. (Exhibit II.) declining

to apply for a Pension, under the circumstances reported in his covering letter No. 2115 W. dated 27th November 1891. Whereupon, your Memorialist applied for a copy of this letter, which the General Superintendent refuses to grant him, vide his letter No. 2716 W. dated 21st March 1892, (Exhibit III).

4. That your Memorialist believes, that the decision of the Superintending Engineer might have been different, had not the covering letter from the General Superintendent been directly averse to the petitioner in question, for, he assumes, that something to his disparagement must have been written or the Superintending Engineer would not have so peremptorily declined to apply for a Pension for his petitioner; while the daily-waged workmen in the Workshops at DOWLASVERAM and in the Presidency Workshops, such as a Turner (the late Mr. Rebara) drawing a rate of Rs 1-4-0 per diem, was pensioned on the recommendation of Captain Baddeley R. E. the then General Superintendent.

5. That, in order to a right appreciation of the facts of his case, it is necessary that your Memorialist should briefly describe his career in the Workshops, upto date of dismissal, which, with your Excellency's permission, he will proceed to do with the earnest and humble hope, that it will meet with your Excellency's benign consideration.

6. That your Memorialist was entertained as a Pattern maker at the flower of his early age in the Artificers Department of the late Madras Mint on the 6th June 1855 on a salary of Rs 25 per mensem. His services were subsequently applied for in August 1866 by the late Mr. Moncrieffe, the then General Superintendent, Presidency Public Works Workshops, and a transfer was effected as Pattern Maker Maistry in the Carpenters Branch on the same salary. On the 18th May 1875, his salary was increased to Rupees 30, and on the 1st February 1877 to Rupees 35 per mensem by Mr. Grainger; and on the 1st May 1884, his salary was finally raised to Rupees 40 per mensem by Captain Baddeley, R. E. who were then General Superintendents.

7. That your Memorialist has rendered a continuous service to the Government of no less than 36 years; the first ten of which were passed in the late Madras Mint and the remainder in the Presidency Public Works Workshops, and always succeeded in his endeavours to give satisfaction to all Officers under whom he served, vide Certificates annexed.

8. That the reasons for dismissal contained in order quoted, are unjustifiable and Memorialist, has not, to his knowledge, given cause for such an extreme proceeding nor has he during all his services been charged with any misconduct or incapacitated by ill-health or any other causes in carrying on his duties.

9. That, in conclusion, your Memorialist humbly hopes, that, in a review of all the facts of the case now most respectfully submitted and by a reference to G. O. No. 944 W. dated 17th April 1882; wherein it is stated that Maistries in the Public Works Department, drawing salaries of not less than Rupees 25 per mensem shall be deemed to be of Superior Service and in G. O. No. 2488 W. dated 28th September 1882, wherein the then General Superintendent's letter embodied in para 12 under class 13, recommends very strongly about the Maistries and Workmen, who are in long Service, Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to regard his character, conduct and Service and to give his case that Merciful consideration, as shall eventuate, in the evening of his life, in some benefit accruing to him for faithful services rendered for a period extending over 36 years; and your Memorialist, shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Your Excellency's Most respectful and humble Memorialist,

A. Mooneappa Aucharry

Madras, 26th March, 1892.

(Signed.) A. MOONEAPPA AUCHARRY.

1/12/91
CURRENT No. 3488
8-11-92 4948/2

Encls 1
Recd: 36.3.92

EXHIBIT I.

1512/3

PRESIDENCY PUBLIC WORKS WORKSHOPS,
No. 1948 W.

FROM,

L. L. WICKHAM ESQRE.,
General Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops,
MADRAS,

TO,

MOONEAPPA AUCHARY,
Carpenter Maistry,
P. W. Workshops,
MADRAS,

Dated, 2nd. November 1891.

He is informed, under instructions received from the Superintending Engineer, 5th Circle, in letter No. 379 P. W. S. dated 29th October 1891, that his services are not required in the Workshops from 15th November 1891 for the following reasons:—

1. That 2 Maistries were not required.
2. That he has not shewn himself capable of turning out Work at a fair rate.

(Signed.) L. L. WICKHAM,
Genl. Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops.

EXHIBIT II.

No. 450 P. W. W.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, V. CIRCLE,
STATION MADRAS,

Dated, 16th December 1891.

Enclosures. 1 Petition with 5 Certificates returned.

FROM,

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
Vth Circle,

TO,

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
P. W. Workshops,
MADRAS,

His No. 2115 W. dated 27th November 1891.

Under the Circumstances reported in the above letter, the undersigned must decline to apply for a Pension for Carpenter Maistry Mooneappa Auchary.

(Signed.) D. Mc. N. CAMPBELL MAJOR R. E.
Superintending Engineer,
V. Circle.

No. 2264 W. dated 22nd December 1891.

Copy forwarded to Mooneappa Auchary, late Carpenter Maistry, P. W. Workshops, for information.

(Signed.) L. L. WICKHAM,
Genl. Suptt. P. W. Workshops.

EXHIBIT III.

PRESIDENCY PUBLIC WORKS WORKSHOPS,
No. 2716 W.

FROM,

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
P. W. Workshops,
MADRAS,

TO,

MOONEAPPA AUCHARRY,
No. 30 Varathier Street,
Near Mint Street North,
MADRAS,

Dated 21st March 1892.

Your letter dated 19th March 1892. Mooneappa Auchary is informed that a Copy of this office letter No 2115 W. of 27th November 1891 asked for, cannot be given him. This has nothing to do with the Maistry.

(Signed.) L. L. WICKHAM.
Genl. Suptt. P. W. Workshops.

COPIES OF TESTIMONIALS.

Mooneappa Aucharry, employed as Maistry in the Carpenters shop from May 1875, has given satisfaction in the discharge of his duties. He is well behaved, energetic, attentive and regular in his attendance.

(Signed.) J. N. GRAINGER,
General Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops & Stores.
PRESIDENCY P. W. WORKSHOPS,
Madras, 9th March 1878.(Signed.) S. FRANCIS, Supervisor.
Foreman, P. W. Workshops.

MADRAS, 18th December 1884.

Mooneappa Aucharry, Carpenter Maistry in the P. W. Workshops, has discharged his duties very satisfactorily.

(Signed.) W. W. BADDELEY CAPTAIN R. E.,
General Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops & Stores.

Mooneappa Aucharry, Carpenter Maistry has performed his duties satisfactorily.

(Signed.) HUGH O'CONNELL,
General Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops.
1st April 1887.

During the time, I was Superintendent P. W. Workshops from April 1887 to May 1888, Mooneappa Aucharry was Carpenter Maistry during that period. He had charge in his shop of a good deal of work including eight Horse Boxes for the State Railway. He gave very great satisfaction in the way he turned his work out.

(Signed) ALFRED JOYCE.
Suptt. P. W. Workshops.

2/1278-110
20-4-92 S.I.
P. W. CURRENT No. 3488

1. Enc. No. 2
B. No: 2790
8-4-92

4248/52

FROM,

A. MOONEAPPA AUCHARY,

Late a Carpenter Maistry,

P. W. Workshops,

MADRAS,

TO,

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE

BEILLY BARON WENLOCK G. C. I. E.

Governor and President in Council,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,

MADRAS,

Memorial, dated 26th March 1892

WITH ONE ENCLOSURE.

SUBJECT.

Submits a Memorial for Pension in Consideration
of his long Service of 36 years.

No. 6
S. E. 5

Presidency P. W. Workshops.
Madras, 28th April 1892.

From

L. L. Wickham Esquire.

General Superintendent

Public Works Workshops

To

The Superintending Engineer

S^d Circle, Madras.

Sir

With reference to your Endorsement
No. 145 P.W.S. dated ^{the} 9th April 1892 (herewith
returned) I have the honor to report
that, finding that work in the Carpenter-
Shop, which was looked after by the
Petitioner A. Mooneappa Auchary,
was extremely dear, being 4 Annas
per Sqr. foot or from Rs 1 to Rs 1-8-
per Cubic foot for sluice work, I tried
to reduce the piecework rate in Febru-
ary 1891. The Carpenters struck, and
after doing the work on daily labor,
I obtained a piece worker for half the
rates

rates viz Rs 2. per square foot for large, and Rs 4 for small, or Rs 8 and Rs 1-8 per cubic foot.

2. The Contractor did no work himself, and he had about six men under him, it was evident that the work could be done cheaper by daily labour. I therefore put an order in the office Order-Book, on the 16th July 1891, to the effect that, if the Maistry could not obtain a contractor, or do the work on daily labour at 4 Annas per cubic foot, as in Dowlaishweram and the Bezwađa Workshops, I should have to get another Maistry. He brought at different times, pieceworkers to me, but they would only take it up at the rates then going on, viz, 2 Annas and 4 Annas per cubic foot. Finding ^{Square} it was useless waiting for him, and knowing him to be incorrigibly lazy

I put another Maistry on to the work, on the 21st August 1891 and ordered the Petitioner, on the same date, to look after pattern makers and to work with them. The Bezwađa and Dowlaishweram rates are, I find fair rates. $15\frac{2}{6}$

3. From the above you will please observe, that I gave the man ample chances of showing his work, but he has utterly failed to show any improvement; and I was, therefore, put to the painful necessity of dispensing with his services. As, however, the Petitioner had had a long service under Government, I thought it my duty to lay the whole matter before you - vide - my letter No: 1863 W. dated 26th October 1891, although there was no necessity for so doing, and at the same time, gave him notice; on 23rd October 1891, in anticipation of your sanction, that his services would be dispensed with on the 15th of November

November 1891, vide, copy of office order noted below:-

"In anticipation of sanction of the Superintending Engineer, the Services of Carpenter Maistry ~
"Mooneappa & Sucharry will be dispensed with from the 15th November 1891."

On receipt of your order No: 379 P.W.s. dated the 29th October 1891, the Petitioner was dismissed on the 16th of October 1891.

4. In conclusion, I may ~ add, that, from his certificates and my own experience of him, I would not say that he was incompetent, but that either out of sheer laziness or untrustworthiness, he had failed to do his duty to the Government. A Maistry, whose rates are so much in excess of those elsewhere, means that he does not look after his men, and

and that they have fallen into lazy habits and are ruined. The harm done by a Maistry of this stamp, is incalculable. Under the above circumstances, I did not think it advisable to recommend the Maistry for a Pension, which is done under special and exceptional circumstances.

Shave pen

(Signed), L. L. Wickham
General Supl: P.W. Works
shops

Enclosure

one original

Endorsement

No: 1793 C dated 6th April 1892 returned.

No: 202 W

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department with reference to his Endorsement No: 1793 C dated 6th April 1892.

2. According to G. O. No: 2320 dated 27th August 1890, and last sentence of para 2 of G. O. No: 1292 W. dated 14th May 1889, the Establishment of the P.W. Workshops, charged to Works is not entitled to pension.

J. W. Campbell Esq. R.E.

6th May 1892 }

Superintending Engineer
5th Circle

L. L.

From
No. 4948

The Chief Engineer
Fifth Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Dated 6th
Recd 10th May 1892

Encls. 12

No. 202 W

Mooniappa Achary
Late Carpenter Maistry
P. W. Workshops.

Stating that — is
not entitled to pension

No. 94454 17-4-82
" 24885 - 25-9-82
" 4015 - 4-2-88
" 2575 - 31-1-89
" 18670 - 12-4-89
" 12925 - 14-5-89
" 23940 - 23-5-90
" 2602 - 9-6-90
" 4002 - 10-7-90
" 5502 - 29-7-90
" 6372 - 18-8-90
" 23205 - 27-8-90

4948

On

15/2
8

For order.

The service of
Ministries of the P. W. D.
on par of not less than
Rs 25- per annum is
classified as "Superior"
by art. 435 C.S.R.
This however refers
to men holding
pensionable appoint-
ments. The petitioner
has been charged to
work, his service
was non pensionable.

The point for
consideration is whether
the petitioner dis-
missed was entitled

Cen
11-5-92

Whereas the
Cen. Super. satisfied

The matter of pay was
Charged

Ministry that
 their Master work
 carpenters labour
 should not exceed
 2 annas four per
 cent. foot - The
 Ministry declined
 to do, or to assist
 in doing his work
 at this rate; &
 his dismissal was
 therefore justifiable

W. W.

W. W.

His dismissal was clearly
 justifiable. The appointment
 and dismissal of assistants
 rests with the Executive Engineer,
 and except in very special
 circumstances I should not think
 of interfering with them - In
 this case Mr. Wickham
 appears to have taken the
 decision in matters before him
 the Ministry, which makes the
 case still stronger - L. W.

Read the following:—

Petition from A. Mooneappa Achari, late Carpenter -
 Maistry, P. W. Workshops, Madras d/26. 3. 92.
 From the Suptg Engineer P. Circle, No 202 W. d/6 May 1892.

FOR ORDERS.

5/9

The dismissal of the
 Maistry appears to have
 been clearly justifiable.

The appointment and
 dismissal of Maistries rests
 with the Executive Engineer
 and except in very special
 cases it does not seem
 desirable to interfere with
 them. In this case Mr.
 Wickham appears to have
 taken the Suptg Engineer's
 instructions before dis-
 missing the Maistry which
 makes the Case still stronger
 against the latter.

* The Suptg Engineer carries
 the powers of an Executive
 Engineer in connection with
 the Workshops -

As his service was non-pensionable
 discharge him

W. W.
 14 May

The Maistry's pay was
 charged

Charged to works and his
service was nonpensionable

S
W
125

1552

B
9
from order

No. 1512 Waj 16 May 92

Petitioner is
informed that H. S.
the Governor in Council
declines to interfere
in the matter of
his appeal.

16592 S₁₆₅

To the Petitioner
" H. S. Council
217/5

2.16