

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 12/90
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் by

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

(86)

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1511	அச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் : 16-5-1892	198

மடிக்கவோ கண்படி கையாளவோ கூடாது

7 sheets

ஆவண: கா-1-18,008-15-8-87.

D.V

(3)

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

2516

Date, 30.11. 1910
3 Hours - Minutes.

From	To
<u>R.H.</u>	<u>MNO</u> <u>15X</u>
<u>R.W. Lutt</u>	

Please have it noted that G.O.
 1511 & 16.5.92 is put up with a telephone
 reg for Genl Capt, R.W.W., 828.11.10
 for a copy of the order.

an

Not Filed.

hm
30/11/10

Robt
22/12/10

*Take Robt in folder place
 in the bundle.*

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

2499


Date, 29 11 1910.
Hours Minutes.

From

R. K. P. W. D. Sect

To

Encl
m Ro



Please send a copy of the G.O. no 1511 W 8 16-5-1892 for a telephonic requisition from the Encl. Sept. P. W. Workshops.

Q

~~Ref~~ Encl 2499-1

Recd office

G.O. no 1511 W 8 16-5-92 is a mis paper and is about base coin. It is wanted in bundle. The Regt shows that it was put up for G.O. no 8415 on the 11th Oct 98.

4679c

Lm
25m

Kb
Encl 2499-1

1367 W 199

To the R. K. P. W. D.

4541c
4542c
4543c
5055c

2715c/1986
3564

men's cash chests. The rule above quote

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT. }
OF MADRAS. }

1892.

{ P. W. }
DEPT. }

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189

Madras, 5. May

1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 646 SA

To

W.

Please see remarks of the General Superintendent P.W.Stores against item I of the enclosed contingent disallowance list No.45 dated 3rd. March 1892, and favour me with instructions as to whether the charge of annas two on account of base coin found and destroyed by the General Superintendent, and which was disallowed from the contingent bill for January 1892 with reference to rules 8 to II of the Civil Account Code, chapter 28 may be admitted finally. The amount is trifling, but the admission of the charge may be used as a precedent.

2. The rule on State Railways (Government of India P.W.D.Code, volume IV, chapter VI, paras 13 to 13 A) is that if the receiver of base or light coin fails to detect the defect, he has to make good the loss, and the rule is sound, for in its absence there would probably be a flow of defective coin into Government cash chests. The rule above quote

is based upon Civil Account Code, volume I, chapter 28, paras 8 to II.

Asps
C.

No 2421 C of 9 May 1892

Asps
(Enclure)

Referred to the General Superintendent Public Works Stores for report as to who discovered the base coin in question and how long after receipt of the money from the Bank.

[Signature]

Col. R.R.
Secretary to Govt
P.W.D.

Enclure H
Recd: 6.5.92

W
Submitted that before passing the order, inquiry might be made as to who discovered the base coin. The papers do not show - and it is just possible that it was the Cashier himself. Paper endorsed accordingly.

RS
9.5.92

[Signature] 5/2

P.W.D.

Send the following:-

SA No 646 SA of 5.5.92

15/1/92
For Orders.-

The conditions provided for by the rules in Chapter VI, paras 16 to 18 of the Government of India Code Vol. IV are not quite analagous. In State Railways, the station master or his clerks receive coins directly from the public and may thus be held personally liable. In the present case a large sum of money would seem to have been drawn from the Treasury by a

P. W. D.

Read the folly:—

Office Note from the E. P. W. A. No. 646 S. A. d/5.5.92

15/6
For Orders.—

15/6
The conditions provided for by the rules in Chapter VI, paras 16 to 18 of the Government of India Code Vol. IV are not quite analagous. On State Railways, the station master or his clerks receive coins directly from the public and may thus be held personally liable. In the present case a large sum of money would seem to have been drawn from the Treasury by a

messenger who could not be expected to test the coins. Among them was one spurious two anna piece. It does not seem fair to fix the responsibility for this upon the accountant who does the duties of a cashier in addition to his own; and if not upon the accountant, there seems nobody else upon whom the liability can be thrown.

FOR ORDERS.

The money came from the Madras Bank, not a Govt Treasury. It seems to me that it was the duty of the Cashier to examine the coins in this case as in the case of coins received from the public. The amt. is small but as the Examiner says there is a principle in the case, I think the Cashier is liable.

11/5 May

65

N 99 S.

PRESIDENCY P. W. STORES,

Madras, 11th May 1892.

From

L. L. Wickham Esq^r

GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,

PUBLIC WORKS STORES,

To

THE Secretary to Government

S. W. H.

That I think for a

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No. 2421 B. dated 9th May 1892, (herewith returned with enclosures) I have the honour to report that the coin in question was discovered by a Cooly when paid on the next day after being cashed in the Madras Bank.

I have the honour to be,

Yours most obedient servant

L. L. Wickham

General Supt. P. W. Stores

P. W. CURRENT No. 5096

Presidency P. W. Stores.

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
PUBLIC WORKS STORES,
Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government
P. W. S.

No. 99 S.

Dated 11th

Despatched 11th May 1892.

Received 11th

No. of ENCLOSURES. None.

Subject.

Reports that the base
Coin in question was discovered by
a cooly when paid on the next
day after being cashed in the
Madras Bank.

B
From stores

No. 1511 May 16 May 1892
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Office Note from the Exor. (H.E. No 646 S.A. d/5.5.92)
Letter from the Genl. Supdt P.W.S. (- 995 d/11.5.92)

15th/7

The Government
is of opinion that
it was the duty of the
cashier to have
examined the coins
in this case as in
the case of coins
received from
the public. As he
failed to detect
the base coin he
must be held

liable for the
loss.

50/15
14/5

£ 14/5

(7)

No. 1511 Wap 16-5-92

Ex-OW Acc'to.
with papers 4

S. E. I. T. C. 17/5

£ 18/5

P.S.