(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 1/91

(3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

70

அரசாணை எண்.
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 1484-5

அச்சகம்
பலவகை

நாள் : 13-5-1852 198 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது

8 Sheets

ஆவண. கா-1—16,006—15-6-87.

Public Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

1787/1

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~paper~~ :—

Memorandum from the Public Works Department, dated 25th April 1892 No. 2172 C.

Order. 28th April 1892 R.to. 952.

A Complete Copy of G.O. dated 13th October 1891, No. 870, will be furnished to the Public Works Department.

/True Extract/

A. Sutherland.
Actg. Under Secretary.

To
The Public Works Dept.
with G.O. 870 13/10/91.

R.

Public Department.

No. 870.

1484-1/2

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Revenue and Agricultural Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 24th August last, No. 12/28 Archs. I am directed to invite attention to this department Endorsement of the 29th July No. 622, and to the G. Os Copies of which were forwarded therewith. A full review of the past history of the Conservation branch of the Archaeological Survey in this Presidency will be found in G.G of 9th January 1891, No. 17, and a Copy of the final list of monuments selected for Conservation accompanied this department Endorsement of the 29th July last, No. 622.

I have &c.

Sd/- J. J. Price
Chief Secy.

Fort St. George
13th October 1891.

Rec'd.

Annexure

Letter from the Government of India, Revenue Agricultural Department, dt 24th August 1891 No. 12/28 Arch. (Copy attached)

Letter from the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, dt 22nd September 1891 No. 439.

With reference to Public Department Current No. 2579, dated 15th September 1891, on Government of India Circular No. 12/28 Arch dated 24th August 1891 I have the honour to report as follows:-

2. Lists of antiquities in Madras were compiled by Mr. Sewell under orders of Government at the begining of the Survey in 1881-82: these formed Volume I, of the Archaeological Survey. Though necessarily incomplete, the work is nevertheless the fullest of any of the kind that has yet been published in India. The book has proved an invaluable aid to the work of the Survey.

3. A list of monuments for conservation, was prepared by Mr. Sewell & Dr. Burgess in 1885. The Examples were Selected from the published Lists of Antiquities. This conservation list unavoidably contained Examples whose Archaeological value might be doubtful.

4. At the begining of the present year Government issued further orders on the subject of Conservation, and directed me to prepare a new list of a hundred Examples based on personal observation

* G.O. No. 17 Public dt 9th January 1891

observation, and including only such monuments as are unique or very ancient, or possess Exceptional merit as typical Specimens of their Style of architecture.

This list was Submitted to Government and accepted* as a provisional one. It has Since been published, and circulated to the officers concerned in the carrying out of the work of Conservation.

5. I would suggest that the Government mentioned in the above first quoted order (G.O. No. 17 Public, dated 9th January 1891,) Contains a full review of the past history of the Conservation branch of the Archæological Survey.

* G.O. No. 378 Public dy 13th May 1891.

× G.O. No. 487, Public dy 19th June 1891. and G.O Nos. 621, 622 Pub dy 24th July 1891.

Pers 5.2 / 4972/52

1891.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

REVENUE AND AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT.

ARCHÆOLOGY.

FILE NO. 28.

SERIAL NO. 3.

1484-85 / 4

To

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND ADMINISTRATIONS.

Circular No. 12/28 Arch.

Dated Simla, the 24th August 1891.

SUBJECT.

Lists of objects of Antiquarian and Archæological interest.

[R. S. W.]

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 656 R. & A. D.—26-8-91.—493.

No. $\frac{12}{28}$ Arch.

1484-85
1/5

FROM

SIR E. C. BUCK, KT.,
Secretary to the Government of India,

TO

Rev. and Agri. Dept.
Archæology.

Simla, the 24th August 1891.

SIR,

I AM directed to address you with reference to the lists of Archæological remains called for in the orders quoted in the margin. Although the administration of the Archæological Survey has, under the orders published in Resolution No. $\frac{2}{5-60}$, dated 5th May 1890, been so far modified since the retirement of Dr. Burgess as to reduce the area upon which the Department is working at any one time, and to transfer the executive control of the officers of the Department from an Imperial Director to the Government of the Province in which they may be working, yet no change has been contemplated in the general principles and method of work which had been previously laid down.

Resolution No. $\frac{3}{160-83}$, dated 26th November 1883.
" No. $\frac{5}{170-82}$, dated 22nd August 1885.
" No. $\frac{6}{198-211}$, dated 30th September 1885.

2. The duties of the Archæological staff are of a twofold character, *viz.*, (1) to survey the ancient remains in each province in more or less detail according to their archæological value, (2) to advise local authorities what buildings are, from their architectural beauty or historical associations, worthy of permanent conservation, and as to the best means of preserving or restoring them.

3. The effective performance of both these duties depends upon a census of the archæological objects of each province, which can be made the basis of a working programme for the survey staff and the officers entrusted with the actual duties of conservation. In the orders referred to in the first paragraph, the Government of India have on more than one occasion urged the preparation of lists which will serve these ends, and laid down instructions for their compilation.

4. There appear to be three definite stages through which the preparation of the lists must pass before they reach completion.

(*a*) The first is the preparation of an initial list of all ancient remains or buildings of presumably archæological interest in each district or province by district officials and officers of the Archæological Department, or such other agency as the provincial authorities may determine. The list may possibly be incomplete when first drawn up, but

additions may be made to it at any time. It would form the basis on which Archæological officers would arrange their plans of survey.

(*b*) The second is the revision of the initial list by officials of the Archæological Department whose duty it is to advise and suggest which of the objects are of sufficient archæological interest to be worthy of repairs or conservation. It may or may not be necessary that a local examination of the buildings should at this stage be made by the Archæological officials. In some cases records supply ample information.

(*c*) The third is the final selection, under the orders of the Local Government, of those buildings or remains which they may determine to be worthy of being repaired or conserved.

5. The Government of India are aware that in many provinces satisfactory progress in the preparation of the lists has been effected; but they are desirous of receiving more positive information than they now possess as to the actual position reached and the further measures contemplated in each province. I am accordingly to request that a brief statement may be submitted explaining to what extent progress has been made in any one or all of the stages above indicated. The Government of India do not, on the present occasion, desire to learn how far the work of survey and conservation has been carried. Information on these points should, under existing orders, be given in the general administration reports and the special reports of the Archæological Survey Department. The present enquiry is restricted to the preparation of the lists referred to.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient, humble Servant,

E. C. BUCK,

Secretary to the Government of India.

E. 1
Pub 4721/92

1484-85
6

P. W. CURRENT No. 4721

[6]

Govt. of {18} Madras.

To

The P.W.D.

Encl 3

Recd 4-5-92

Proceedings dated 28 April 1892
No. 452

Subject.

Forwarding a Complete Copy of G.O. dt 13/10/91 No. 896.

No 1347 W dt 29-5-84
" 2569 W - 1-10-86
" 59 W - 7-1-88
" 529 W - 16-2-88
" 1664 W - 24-6-89
" 186 W - 20-1-91
" 1574 W - 9-6-91
" 1576 W - 10-6-91
" 2437 W - 10-9-91

" 2909 W - 21-11-81
" 3021 W - 5-12-81
" 2319 W - 27-8-91
" 3426 C - 23-7-91
" 3347 C - 17-7-91
" 787 C - 13-2-91
" 788 C - 13-2-91
" 1409 C - 13-3-91
" 1997 C - 9-4-91
" 1581 W - 10-6-91
" 1338 W - 14-5-91
" 1208 W - 30-4-91
" 1133 W - 23-4-91
" 253 W - 29-1-92
" 1227 W - 9-8-89
" 731 W - 23-3-85
" 139 W - 17-1-91
" 2984 C - 18-6-91
" 2129-30 W - 8-8-91
" 2172 C - 25-4-92

No 1484 Dy 13 May 1892

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

1484/7

READ the following

Letter from the Govt of India (H.E. No 90 Alg dt 6-4-92)
Proceedings, Public Dept (" 452 dt 28-4-92)

A copy of the list furnished to the Govt of India in compliance with its letter No 85 Alg. dated 5th June 1891 will be forwarded to the Public Dept in view to its examination and division by the Superintendent Archæological Survey in the manner indicated in para 2 of the letter above recorded.

Recd 11 May

2. With reference to para 4, it is observed that the list submitted by the Supdt Engineer VI Circle with his endorsement No 6527 C dated 7th July 1891 made no mention of Trimul Nayak's Palace, Madura

The Safty Engineer will now report whether any expenditure was incurred on the building from 1887-88 to 1891-92 on restoration work, as distinguished from additions & improvements carried out to fit the interior for use as public offices.

3. Information with respect to expenditure by public bodies or other departments on archæological remains will be collected in the Public Dept.

4. The Govt of India will be requested to state whether an annual statement prepared in the manner now indicated, will be required in future.

(Ext. No 1485 W dt 13 May '92

To, The Secretary to the Govt of India, P.W.D.

Sir,

To, The Public Dept. with copy of list. D. S. E. VI Conf. 14/5

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 90 Alg. dated 6th April 1892, and to state that the statement of expenditure on buildings of historical & architectural interest forwarded with my No 2130 W dt 8th Aug: 91 will be examined in the manner indicated, and resubmitted in due course. In the meantime I am to inquire whether an annual statement of such expenditure is required by the Govt of India in future.

I have &c.

D 14/5

Cu

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
07 MAY 92
GOVERNMENT OF NIGERIA

No. 1484 – 5 W 6/13-5-92

Rec'd

Remained 1484 W dt 15-11-92
1
To Public Dept

(8)

CS. 7/5.
Hon 10/5 D. 12/5

Sd 14/5 JC 14/5