

- (1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 6
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 21-9-1991
 (3) ஆதாரங்குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம் 609

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

PWD துறை

126

அரசாணை எண். மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	1267	வச்சகம் பலவகை
நாள் :	20-4-1882	198

3

மடிக்கவேண்டிய கையாளவே கூடாது

3 Shels

2



Madras, Mar 1 1892.
The Secretary to Government,
P. W. Department,
Chennai.

Honoured Sir,

With due respects and humble submission
I beg to bring the following few lines to your
benign consideration with a fervent hope that
your honor will pay due consideration to my
humble request.

I beg to state that I have been
employed in the Office of the Examiner of
Public Works Accounts for the last 15 years
during which time I executed my work to
the entire satisfaction of my superiors and
that there was no blot or stain in my
character, being never found fault with nor
fined on any occasion. A revision of the Scale
of menial establishment attached to the office
was sanctioned in view to providing an increase
of Salary to six of the persons by reducing the
total number of persons by one. The unfortunate
course of dispensing with the services of one
of the persons fell to my lot and the selection
made was very unjust in principle inasmuch
as a man of long service of 15 years was
discharged in preference to 4 men of only one
year's standing and 7 others of shorter service
than myself. I was sent before the District
Surgeon for report as to my fitness for
further duty under Govt and he replied
that I was physically fit for further service.
Without

without any consideration being given to the report
of the District Surgeon or to my long service of 15
years, my services have been dispensed with
just at a time when famine is severely raging
and when the pay of Rupees Seven drawn by me
was barely sufficient to maintain myself,
my wife, and six children. Your honor can
then imagine the miserable condition in which
I am now placed by the deprivation of my
appointment which has been done not for
any fault of mine, but to provide increase
to other persons working like myself. One
week after I was provided with a temporary
place till the 12th Feb 192, when my services
were again dispensed with. I petitioned to
the Examiner in the 17th Dec 91, my petition
being supported by the Superintendent Mr
Courquet and Boyton, and the Dy Examiner Mr
Gagnon, and on the 14th Jan 192, I again appealed.
Setting forth my rights; to both of which I
received no reply as yet. If I am unfit
for duty I should be given donation for the
period of my service and if I am fit as
reported by the District Surgeon, I should be
allowed to continue in my appointment.
Denial of both the claims is a very hard
measure and deserves some consideration from
you. It is just and in accordance with the
rulings of Govt that in rearrangements of
office establishments, those that are of short
service and consequently not entitled to
pension or gratuity should be discharged
in preference to men of long standing. This rule
has in my unfortunate case been entirely overlooked
in this very office where the rule is strictly adhered

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1892.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

189 .

Madras, 13 April 1892.

OFFICE NOTE No. 5285A

To

W.

Your office note No. 1516 C. dated
23rd. March 1892.

Peon C. Moonesawmy was selected to be brought under reduction on the ground that his services were the most unsatisfactory in the whole menial establishment. The records of the office showed that he was frequently absent, generally in continuation of authorized holidays; and when on duty he was represented by responsible heads of Branches as a malingerer to such an extent that his services were useless.

2. This was brought to my notice in August 1891, or some time before there was any idea that the abolition of Code work in this office would admit of a reduction in the number of peons, and he was warned that his services would be dispensed with unless he mended his way.

3. Finding that this had no effect whatever on him, I sent him before the Civil Surgeon for report as to whether

As myself and my large family are at present actually starving, I request that your honor will be pleased to order my reinstatement in the grant of gratuity for which I am eligible.

I beg to remain,
Respectfully,
Sir,

Pro En 1
4088/4

Your most obedient and humble servant.

C. Moonesawmy
Peon, the Office of the Examiner
Public Works accounts.

No. 1516 C dated 23-3-92

Inc

For remarks.

S
222

W

he was fit for further service. I did this because I felt that the man's uselessness might probably be due to physical infirmity, and if that were the case, I wished if possible to retire him on an invalid gratuity. The reply of the Civil Surgeon was to the effect that the man was not physically unfit for service, and the only conclusion that I could form on the subject was that the peon was innately lazy. I therefore felt it my duty to remove him from the list of peons when it became necessary to reduce the scale of peons in December 1891. But having regard to his previous service, I did not wish to throw him out of employment, & therefore offered him work as a "Roller", whose duty it would be to take forms etc. to Press. This he refused.

4. The retention in service of men of his stamp is a waste of public money, and he might have been dismissed for "inefficiency" under Civil Service Regulations article 460(a), involving forfeiture of past service; but I showed him all the leniency I could, in consideration of his previous service.

H/10/4
C.

H/13/4
(Enclosed)

To The Petitioner
Exar. P.W. Accts

ES

23/4

Hm 1894 1220/4. J.C. 21/4

40887
1576C823.3.72

Ex: 1

Recd 14.4.92

No. 1267 Wd/20 April 1892

Hm
19 Apr

The petitioner is informed that the Govt. declines to order his reinstatement.

L
16m

3